

(Regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission Title 2, Division 6, California Code of Regulations.)

**§ 18421.8. Reporting an Expenditure by a Candidate Controlled General Purpose Ballot Measure Committee.**

(a) When reporting an expenditure of \$100 or more, a general purpose ballot measure committee controlled by a candidate for elective state office shall identify each measure for which the expenditure is made to support or oppose by its assigned ballot designation. If a potential measure has not been assigned an official ballot designation, the report shall briefly describe the purpose of each potential measure for which the expenditure is made to support or oppose.

(b) When reporting an expenditure under subdivision (a) to support or oppose more than one measure or potential measure, a general purpose ballot measure committee controlled by a candidate for elective state office shall specify the amount of the expenditure attributed to the support or opposition of each measure or potential measure. However, this subdivision shall not apply to an expenditure made for operating costs, administrative overhead, fundraising activities, travel, compliance costs, and attorney's fees incurred in general support or opposition to the measures and potential measures supported or opposed by the committee if the amount of the expenditure cannot be attributed to each specific measure or potential measure.

(c) For any committee reporting under this regulation, the information required in subdivisions (a) and (b) is in addition to any information otherwise required under Section 84211.

Note: Authority cited: Section 83112, Government Code. Reference: Sections 82027.5, 82047.5, 84101, 84102, 84211 and 84303, Government Code.

## HISTORY

1. New section filed 1-30-2009; operative 3-1-2009. Submitted to OAL for filing pursuant to *Fair Political Practices Commission v. Office of Administrative Law*, 3 Civil C010924, California Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, nonpublished decision, April 27, 1992 (FPPC regulations only subject to 1974 Administrative Procedure Act rulemaking requirements and not subject to procedural or substantive review by OAL) (Register 2009, No. 5).