BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of DR. IRENE G. PINKARD, Respondent.

Complainant the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission, and Respondent Dr. Irene G. Pinkard agree that this Stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Fair Political Practices Commission at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

The parties agree to enter into this Stipulation to resolve all factual and legal issues raised in this matter and to reach a final disposition without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine the liability of Respondent, pursuant to Section 83116 of the Government Code.

Respondent understands, and hereby knowingly and voluntarily waives, any and all procedural rights set forth in Sections 83115.5, 11503 and 11523 of the Government Code, and in Sections 18361.1 through 18361.9 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations. This includes, but is not limited to, the right to personally appear at any administrative hearing held in this matter, to be represented by an attorney at Respondent’s own expense, to confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying at the
hearing, to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, to have an impartial administrative law judge
preside over the hearing as a hearing officer, and to have the matter judicially reviewed. It is further
stipulated and agreed that Respondent Dr. Irene G. Pinkard violated the Political Reform Act by failing
to report gifts received in the amount of fifty dollars or more on his annual Statement of Economic
Interests, in violation of Section 87203 of the Government Code (1 count). All counts are described in
Exhibit 1, which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
Exhibit 1 is a true and accurate summary of the facts in this matter.

Respondent agrees to the issuance of the Decision and Order, which is attached hereto.

Respondent also agrees to the Commission imposing upon him an administrative penalty in the amount
of One Thousand Dollars ($1,000). A cashier’s check from Respondent in said amount, made payable
to the “General Fund of the State of California,” is submitted with this Stipulation as full payment of the
administrative penalty, to be held by the State of California until the Commission issues its decision and
order regarding this matter. The parties agree that in the event the Commission refuses to accept this
Stipulation, it shall become null and void, and within fifteen (15) business days after the Commission
meeting at which the Stipulation is rejected, all payments tendered by Respondent in connection with
this Stipulation shall be reimbursed to Respondent. Respondent further stipulates and agrees that in the
event the Commission rejects the Stipulation, and a full evidentiary hearing before the Commission
becomes necessary, neither any member of the Commission, nor the Executive Director, shall be
disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

Dated: ______________________

Gary Winuk, Enforcement Chief,
on behalf of the
Fair Political Practices Commission

Dated: ______________________

Dr. Irene G. Pinkard,
Respondent
DECISION AND ORDER

The foregoing Stipulation of the parties “In the Matter of Dr. Irene G. Pinkard,” FPPC No. 12/310, including all attached exhibits, is hereby accepted as the final decision and order of the Fair Political Practices Commission, effective upon execution below by the Chair.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: __________________________

Ann Ravel, Chair
Fair Political Practices Commission
EXHIBIT 1

INTRODUCTION

Respondent Dr. Irene G. Pinkard ("Respondent") is a member of the Oxnard City Council and has held that position since December, 2007, and at all times relevant to this matter. As such, Respondent was required to file an annual Statement of Economic Interests ("SEI") disclosing all income received as required by the Political Reform Act (the "Act"). In this matter, Respondent received gifts that exceeded the reporting threshold of $50 in 2009, and failed to report these gifts on her annual SEI for that year.

For the purposes of this Stipulation, Respondent's violations of the Act are stated as follows:

COUNT 1: During 2009, Respondent Dr. Irene G. Pinkard, a member of the city council for the City of Oxnard, failed to report gifts from J.F. Shea Co., & Affiliated Companies, in the amount of fifty dollars or more on her 2009 annual Statement of Economic Interests, in violation of Section 87203 of the Government Code.

SUMMARY OF THE LAW

Duty to File Annual Statement of Economic Interests

An express purpose of the Act, as set forth in Section 81002, subdivision (c), is to ensure that the assets and income of public officials, that may be materially affected by their official actions, be disclosed, so that conflicts of interests may be avoided. Under the Act, every person who holds an office specified in Section 87200, which includes mayors and members of city councils, shall, each year at a time specified by Commission regulations, file an annual SEI disclosing his investments, his interests in real property and all sources of income, including gifts received of $50 or more from a single source, who may foreseeably be affected materially by any decision made or participated in by the city councilmember by virtue of his position. (Section 87203.) Section 87200 includes chief administrative officers of counties, mayors, city managers, chief administrative officers and members of city councils of cities, and other public officials who manage public investments, and to candidates for any of these offices at any election. (Emphasis added.)

Disclosure Provisions

"Income" is defined, in part, as a payment received, including but not limited to any salary, wage, or gift, including any gift of food or beverage. (Section 82030, subd. (a).) When

---

1 The Political Reform Act is contained in Government Code Sections 81000 through 91014. All statutory references are to the Government Code as it was in effect at the time of the violations, unless otherwise indicated. The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission are contained in Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations. All regulatory references are to Title 2, Division 6 of the California Code of Regulations as in effect at the time of the violations, unless otherwise indicated.
income is required to be reported, the SEI shall contain the name and address of each source of income aggregating five hundred dollars ($500) or more in value, or fifty dollars ($50) or more in value if the income was a gift, and a general description of the business activity, if any, of each source. (Section 87207.)

SUMMARY OF THE FACTS

This matter arose from a referral from the Ventura County District Attorney’s office. Respondent Pinkard is a member of the Oxnard City Council and has held that position since December, 2007, and at all times relevant to this matter. Respondent Pinkard failed to disclose J.F. Shea Co., & Affiliated Companies (“Shea LLC”), a developer who does business in the City of Oxnard, on her annual SEI for the year 2009 after receiving meals from Shea LLC exceeding the $50 reporting threshold.

COUNT 1
Failure to Disclose Gifts on a Statement of Economic Interests

Respondent Pinkard was a member of the city council for the City of Oxnard in 2009 and at all times relevant to this matter. As such, Respondent was required to file an annual SEI disclosing all income received, including gifts. Respondent filed her 2009 SEI with the Oxnard City Clerk on February 4, 2010, and disclosed multiple economic interests, but did not report the receipt of any gifts. The chart below identifies the gifts received by Respondent Pinkard in 2009:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Gift</th>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/17/09</td>
<td>Dinner (Respondent &amp; Spouse)</td>
<td>Shea LLC</td>
<td>$409.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By failing to report $409.14 in gifts received from Shea LLC in 2009 on her 2009 annual SEI, Respondent violated Section 87203 of the Government Code.

CONCLUSION

This matter consists of one count, which carries a maximum possible administrative penalty of Five Thousand Dollars ($5,000).

In determining the appropriate penalty for a particular violation of the Act, the Enforcement Division considers the typical treatment of a violation in the overall statutory scheme of the Act, with an emphasis on serving the purposes and intent of the Act. Additionally, the Enforcement Division considers the facts and circumstances of the violation in context of the factors set forth in Regulation 18361.5, subdivision (d)(1)-(6): 1) the seriousness of the violations; 2) the presence or lack of intent to deceive the voting public; 3) whether the violation was deliberate, negligent, or inadvertent; 4) whether the Respondent demonstrated good faith in consulting with Commission staff; 5) whether there was a pattern of violations; and 6) whether
the Respondent, upon learning of a reporting violation, voluntarily filed amendments to provide full disclosure.

**SEI Non-Disclosure:** Penalties for SEI non-disclosure violations range widely depending on the circumstances of each case. Disclosure of economic interests is important to provide transparency and prevent conflicts of interest. Failure to report all required information on an SEI is a serious violation of the Act because it deprives the public of important information about a public official’s economic interests and it has the potential to conceal conflicts of interest.

Regarding the failure to disclose gifts received over the $50 reporting threshold on SEIs, the typical penalty amounts have varied depending on the circumstances of the case. Recent prior penalties concerning SEI disclosure violations include:

- **In re Michael Rubio,** FPPC No. 07/293 (Approved January 28, 2011). Michael Rubio, as a member of the Kern County Board of Supervisors, failed to disclose his wife’s income on his 2006 SEI. No evidence was found to show that his wife’s income was intentionally omitted from his SEI. Further, he stated that he was married shortly before taking office and was unaware of the requirement to disclose his wife’s income. However, this source of income was the source of a conflict of interest when he voted to approve a contract for his wife’s employer. The approved stipulated settlement was $1,000 for the violation of failing to disclose income on an SEI and $2,500 for the violation of the conflict of interest provisions of the Act.

- **In re Gregory Fox,** FPPC No. 10/798 (Approved November 10, 2011). The Commission also approved a $1,000 per violation regarding the non-disclosure of real property interests. In that case, Respondent Fox failed to disclose his interest in two undeveloped properties but insisted that he had received advice that led him to believe that the two parcels could be treated as part of his personal residence property and therefore not disclosed.

In this matter, Respondent Pinkard did not disclose gifts received from a developer who regularly does business within her jurisdiction. Respondent has no prior history of violating the Act. Respondent amended her SEI when contacted by the Enforcement Division.

**PROPOSED PENALTY**

After consideration of the factors of Regulation 18361.5, including whether the behavior in question was inadvertent, negligent or deliberate and the Respondent’s pattern of behavior, as well as consideration of penalties in prior enforcement actions, the imposition of a penalty of One Thousand Dollars ($1,000) is recommended.