



# California Fair Political Practices Commission

October 5, 1989

Arthur Forcier  
11783 Laurelcrest Dr.  
Studio City, CA 91604

Re: Your Requests for  
Informal Assistance  
Our File Nos. I-89-494  
and I-89-513

Dear Mr. Forcier:

You have requested advice concerning the campaign provisions of the Political Reform Act (the "Act").<sup>1/</sup> Your letters ask several general and hypothetical questions. Accordingly, we consider them to be requests for informal assistance pursuant to Regulation 18329(c) (copy enclosed).<sup>2/</sup>

## QUESTIONS

You have asked if a PAC<sup>3/</sup> could give up to \$2,500 to another PAC under the following circumstances:

---

1/ Government Code Sections 81000-91015. All statutory references are to the Government Code unless otherwise indicated. Commission regulations appear at 2 California Code of Regulations Section 18000, et seq. All references to regulations are to Title 2, Division 6 of the California Code of Regulations.

2/ Informal assistance does not provide the requestor with the immunity provided by an opinion or formal written advice. (Section 83114; Regulations 18329(c)(3).)

3/ For purposes of this discussion, we will assume that your letter is referring to "general purpose recipient committees" as defined in Sections 82013(a) and 82027.5, which qualify as "political committees" (Section 85102(c)) or "broad based political committees" (Section 85102(d)) for purposes of the contribution limitations.

1. The donating and recipient PACs give contributions to the same candidates;
2. The donating PAC gave a maximum amount to some of the candidates endorsed by both PACs; and
3. Both PACs share the same treasurers, accountants and campaign consultants or advisors.

DISCUSSION

The Act does not prohibit PACs from making contributions up to \$2,500 per fiscal year to other PACs which make contributions to the same candidates, or from sharing the same treasurer, accountant or advisors. However, if the same person or a majority of the same persons in fact directs and controls the decisions of two or more entities to make contributions or expenditures to support or oppose candidates for elective office, those entities are considered one person, one political committee, or one broad based political committee for purposes of the contribution limitations. (Section 85312; and Regulation 18531.5, copy enclosed.)

If you have any questions about this letter, please call me at (916) 322-5662.

Sincerely,

Kathryn E. Donovan  
General Counsel

  
By: Wayne P. Imberi  
Political Reform Consultant

KED:WPI:eab

Enclosures

# AFT College Guild

Local 1521, American Federation of Teachers, AFL-CIO / 617 West 7th Street / Suite 610 / Los Angeles, CA 90017 / (213) 629-1631

August 25, 1989

Fair Political Practices Committee  
P.O. Box 807  
428 5th Street, Suite 800  
Sacramento, CA 95804-0807

To Whom It May Concern:

I understand that, at least prior to July 1, 1989:

- 1) A PAC could give up to \$2,500 to another PAC.
- 2) This could be done even though the recipient PAC was supporting many of the same candidates as was the donating PAC.
- 3) This could be done even though the donating PAC had given a maximum amount to some of the candidates that had been endorsed by both PACs.
- 4) This could be done even though both PACs had the same accountants and/or treasurers and/or sometimes received campaign advice from the same people, the accountants and/or treasurer and/or campaign advisors not being in control of either PAC but rather resource persons.

I also understood that the PACs had to be independent of one another and that independence meant that the same majority could not control both PACs.

Are my understandings correct? If not please inform me as to the correct policy.

Sincerely,



Arthur Forcier  
AFT College Guild

opeiu:30afl-cio/rm

Aug 29 8 50 AM '89  
PPPP

# AFT College Guild

Local 1521, American Federation of Teachers, AFL-CIO / 617 West 7th Street / Suite 610 / Los Angeles, CA 90017 / (213) 629-1631

August 25, 1989

Fair Political Practices Committee  
P.O. Box 807  
428 5th Street, Suite 800  
Sacramento, CA 95804-0807

AUG 29 8 50 AM '89  
ppp p 0

To Whom It May Concern:

I understand that, at least prior to July 1, 1989:

- 1) A PAC could give up to \$2,500 to another PAC.
- 2) This could be done even though the recipient PAC was supporting many of the same candidates as was the donating PAC.
- 3) This could be done even though the donating PAC had given a maximum amount to some of the candidates that had been endorsed by both PACs.
- 4) This could be done even though both PACs had the same accountants and/or treasurers and/or sometimes received campaign advice from the same people, the accountants and/or treasurer and/or campaign advisors not being in control of either PAC but rather resource persons.

I also understood that the PACs had to be independent of one another and that independence meant that the same majority could not control both PACs.

Are my understandings correct? If not please inform me as to the correct policy.

Sincerely,



Arthur Forcier  
AFT College Guild

opeiu:30afl-cio/rm



# California Fair Political Practices Commission

August 29, 1989

Arthur Forcier  
AFT College Guild  
617 West 7th Street, Suite 610  
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Re: Letter No. 89-513

Dear Mr. Forcier:

Your letter requesting advice under the Political Reform Act was received on August 29, 1989 by the Fair Political Practices Commission. If you have any questions about your advice request, you may contact me directly at (916) 322-5662.

We try to answer all advice requests promptly. Therefore, unless your request poses particularly complex legal questions, or more information is needed, you should expect a response within 21 working days if your request seeks formal written advice. If more information is needed, the person assigned to prepare a response to your request will contact you shortly to advise you as to the information needed. If your request is for informal assistance, we will answer it as quickly as we can. (See Commission Regulation 18329 (2 Cal. Code of Regs. Sec. 18329).)

You also should be aware that your letter and our response are public records which may be disclosed to the public upon receipt of a proper request for disclosure.

Very truly yours,

*Jeanne Pritchard by plh*  
Jeanne Pritchard  
Chief Technical Assistance  
and Analysis Division

JP:plh

FPPC  
Aug 21 9 06 AM '89

August 17, 1989

Fair Political Practices Committee  
P.O. Box 807  
428 5th Street, Suite 800  
Sacramento, CA 95804-0807

To Whom It May Concern:

I understand that, at least prior to July 1, 1989:

- 1) A PAC could give up to \$2,500 to another PAC.
- 2) This could be done even though the recipient PAC was supporting many of the same candidates as was the donating PAC.
- 3) This could be done even though the donating PAC had given a maximum amount to some of the candidates that had been endorsed by both PACs.
- 4) This could be done even though both PACs had the same accountants and/or treasurers and/or sometimes received campaign advice from the same people, the accountants and/or treasurers and/or campaign advisors not being in control of either PAC but rather resource persons.

I also understood that the PACs had to be independent of one another and that independence meant that the same majority could not control both PACs.

Are my understandings correct? If not please inform me as to the correct policy.

Sincerely,



Arthur Forcier  
11783 Laurelcrest Dr.  
Studio City, CA 91604

opeiu:30afl-cio/rm



# California Fair Political Practices Commission

August 21, 1989

Arthur Forcier  
11783 Laurelcrest Drive  
Studio City, CA 91604

Re: Letter No. 89-494


Dear Mr. Forcier:

Your letter requesting advice under the Political Reform Act was received on August 21, 1989 by the Fair Political Practices Commission. If you have any questions about your advice request, you may contact me directly at (916) 322-5662.

We try to answer all advice requests promptly. Therefore, unless your request poses particularly complex legal questions, or more information is needed, you should expect a response within 21 working days if your request seeks formal written advice. If more information is needed, the person assigned to prepare a response to your request will contact you shortly to advise you as to the information needed. If your request is for informal assistance, we will answer it as quickly as we can. (See Commission Regulation 18329 (2 Cal. Code of Regs. Sec. 18329).)

You also should be aware that your letter and our response are public records which may be disclosed to the public upon receipt of a proper request for disclosure.

Very truly yours,

  
Jeanne Pritchard  
Chief Technical Assistance  
and Analysis Division

JP:plh