



STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
**FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION**  
1102 Q Street • Suite 3050 • Sacramento, CA 95811  
(916) 322-5660 • Fax (916) 322-0886

**To:** Chair Silver and Commissioners Brandt, Ortiz, and Wilson  
**From:** Lindsey Nakano, Sr. Legislative Counsel  
**Subject:** **Legislative Update – June 2025**  
**Date:** June 4, 2025

---

Subject:	Legislative Update – June 2025 .....	1
I.	General Update .....	1
II.	Upcoming Legislative Deadlines .....	1
III.	FPPC Priority Bills .....	2
IV.	Other Commission-Related Bills .....	10
V.	Bills Not Moving Forward This Year .....	17

## **I. General Update**

- As of the date of this report, there are 13 active bills relating to the Political Reform Act, including 8 Commission-initiated bills.
- Staff is continuing to reach out to and work with authors, other members, interested parties, and stakeholders, and to seek bipartisan support on Commission legislation.

## **II. Upcoming Legislative Deadlines**

- June 6 - Last day for each house to pass bills introduced in that house.
- June 9 - Committee meetings may resume.
- June 15 - Budget bill must be passed by midnight.
- July 18 - Last day for policy committees to hear and report bills.
  - Summer Recess begins upon adjournment, provided Budget Bill has been passed.
- Aug. 18 - Legislature reconvenes from Summer Recess.
- Aug. 29 - Last day for fiscal committees to hear and report bills to the Floor.
- Sept. 2-12 - Floor session only. No committees may meet for any purpose, except Rules Committee, bills referred pursuant to Assembly Rule 77.2, and Conference Committees.
- Sept. 5 - Last day to amend on the Floor.
- Sept. 12 - Last day for each house to pass bills.
  - Interim Recess begins upon adjournment.

- Oct. 12 - Last day for Governor to sign or veto bills passed by the Legislature before Sept. 12 and in the Governor's possession on or after Sept. 12.

### III. FPPC Priority Bills

#### Updates (as of 6/4/25)

- **Passed in the Appropriations Committee:** AB 359 (Ramos), AB 775 (Fong)
- **Passed in the House of Origin:** AB 359 (Ramos), AB 775 (Fong), AB 808 (Addis), AB 953 (Pacheco and Alanis), AB 1029 (Valencia), AB 1286 (Boerner), SB 280 (Cervantes and Dahle), SB 852 (Committee on Elections and Constitutional Amendments)

#### Status and Summaries

##### 1. [AB 359 \(Ramos\)](#) – **Extension of Local Contracting Authority**

**Status:** Passed in the Assembly Appropriations Committee (14-0); passed in the Assembly (79-0)

**Short Summary:** AB 359 would delete the sunset provision in the statute that authorizes the FPPC to contract with local government agencies, upon mutual agreement, to administer, implement, and enforce the agency's local campaign finance or government ethics laws.

#### **Detailed Summary:**

*Existing law:* Existing law authorizes the FPPC, upon mutual agreement with the governing body of a local government, to assume primary responsibility for the impartial, effective administration, implementation, and enforcement of a local campaign finance or government ethics law passed by the local government agency. Existing law includes a sunset provision that repeals this section on January 1, 2026, unless other legislation extends or repeals the sunset provision, and required the FPPC to submit a report to the Legislature on January 1, 2025, on the performance of any agreements entered under this section, which was timely submitted by the FPPC.

*Current contracts:* Pursuant to the authority in this section, the FPPC has one current contract with the City of San Bernardino.

*Extend the authority indefinitely:* AB 359 would delete the sunset provision, thereby extending the operation of the section indefinitely. The bill would also delete the expired reporting provision.

*Clarify authority:* Pursuant to the general authority granted to administer, implement, and enforce these local laws, the FPPC has interpreted the section to include authority to audit. For clarity, AB 359 would add explicit authority for the FPPC to conduct audits with regard to the local campaign finance or government ethics laws.

**FPPC Position:** Sponsor

**FPPC Costs:** The costs of the bill would be reimbursable by the contracting local agency or agencies. The costs for each contract vary depending on the scope of the agreement.

## 2. [AB 775 \(Fong\) – Behested Payment Reporting](#)

**Status:** Passed in the Assembly Appropriations Committee (14-0); passed in the Assembly (79-0)

**Short Summary:** AB 775 would require behested payment reports submitted by elected state and local officers and members of the Public Utilities Commission to be electronically filed directly with the FPPC. As an alternative to electronic filing with the FPPC, the bill would permit local elected officers to file directly with their local filing officer under the condition that the reports are posted publicly on the local agency’s website. The bill would also alter the reporting deadline, create a new threshold for when a subsequent report is required to be filed for additional behested payments from the same donor, and codify two existing regulations.

### **Detailed Summary:**

#### *Existing law:*

- *Definition of behested payment:* Generally, a “behested payment” is a payment requested or solicited by an elected official that is paid by one individual or organization to another individual or organization for a legislative, governmental, or charitable purpose.
- *Reporting threshold for behested payments:* An elected officer or member of the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) is required to submit a behested payment report when a single source (payor) makes a behested payment or

payments at the behest of the elected officer or PUC member totaling \$5,000 or more in the aggregate in a calendar year.

- *Reporting process and deadline:* Elected officers and PUC members must file behested payment reports with their respective agencies within 30 days of reaching the reporting threshold. At the state level, the agency is then required to forward a copy of the report to the FPPC within 30 days. At the local level, the agency is required to forward a copy of the report to the local filing officer within 30 days.
- *Subsequent reports:* If an elected officer or PUC member submits a behested payment report for a payor, and that same payor later makes another behested payment in any amount in the same calendar year, the elected officer or PUC member must submit a subsequent behested payment report for that payor.

*Reporting process, electronic filing:* AB 775 would require behested payment reports filed by elected state or local officers or PUC members to be filed directly with the FPPC using the FPPC's electronic filing system for behested payment reports. The bill would require that the FPPC's e-filing systems for behested payment reports send an automatic confirmation email after the report is submitted.

*Reporting process, alternate option for local elected officers:* As an alternative to electronic filing with the FPPC, AB 775 would permit local elected officers to file behested payment reports directly with their local filing officer if all behested payment reports for officers of that agency are posted publicly on the local agency website. Local electronic filing would also be authorized, and the bill would clarify that an electronically filed report is an original report. The bill would require local agencies to post the behested payment reports online within 10 days of receipt.

*Deadline for initial behested payment reports:* AB 775 would alter the deadline for filing behested payment reports. Behested payments that meet the threshold of \$5,000 or more in the aggregate from a single source in a calendar year would be required to be reported within 30 days following the end of the calendar quarter in which that threshold was met.

*Subsequent reports:* AB 775 would require reporting of subsequent payments made after an initial behested payment report for a particular donor only after reaching an additional \$1,000 from the same donor in the same calendar year.

These subsequent reports would also be due within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter.

*Codification of two regulations:* AB 775 would codify two existing regulations, which specify additional information that must be reported on a behested payment report and permit a good faith estimate of the behested payment amount to be reported.

**FPPC Position:** Sponsor

**FPPC Costs:** \$177,000 first year and \$170,000 ongoing for 1 IT position and annual software costs.

### 3. [AB 808](#) (Addis) – Cal-Access Replacement System Clean-Up Bill

**Status:** Passed in the Assembly (69-0); referred to the Senate Elections Committee

**Short Summary:** AB 808 makes conforming amendments to sections in the PRA that become operative after the certification of the Cal-Access Replacement System by the Secretary of State.

#### **Detailed Summary:**

*Background:* Cal-Access is the statewide campaign finance and lobbying reporting platform, created and administered by the Secretary of State. The Cal-Access Replacement System, known as CARS, is currently in development and will be a modernized, data-driven filing and search system. Several sections of the PRA have been amended by prior legislation with delayed operative dates, set to take effect after CARS is certified by SOS.

*Eliminates filing by fax:* AB 808 would eliminate references to filing by fax in the PRA.

*Deletes reference to “forms”:* AB 808 would delete the reference to “forms” in the definition of “campaign statement.”

*Clarifies when signature verification is needed:* AB 808 would clarify for campaign finance reporting, verification is needed for semiannual and pre-election statements. This would clarify that, once CARS is certified, this verification is not needed for the 24-hour/10-day independent expenditure reports, consistent with current law.

**FPPC Position:** Sponsor (Co-Sponsor with SOS)

**FPPC Costs:** Minor and absorbable

4. **AB 953 (Pacheco and Alanis) – Preventing Foreign Interference in California Elections**

**Status:** Passed in the Assembly (71-0)

**Short Summary:** AB 953 would expand the existing prohibition on foreign governments and foreign principals making contributions, expenditures, and independent expenditures to apply additionally to foreign nationals.

**Detailed Summary:**

*Existing federal law* prohibits a foreign national from making a contribution, expenditure, or independent expenditure in connection with a federal, state, or local candidate election.

*Existing state law* further prohibits a foreign government or foreign principal from making a contribution, expenditure, or independent expenditure in connection with a state or local ballot measure. In California, “foreign principal” is defined for these purposes to include an individual who is “outside the United States” and is not a U.S. citizen. Because the definition of “foreign principal” in state law is centered on the location of the individual (outside the United States), state law would permit a foreign national to enter the country and make a contribution, expenditure, or independent expenditure in connection with a ballot measure, even though that person would be prohibited from making the contribution or expenditure if they were located outside of the United States.

*Extension of the prohibition:* AB 953 would prohibit a foreign national from making a contribution, expenditure, or independent expenditure in connection with a state or local ballot measure.

*“Foreign national” defined:* AB 953 would define “foreign national” to mean a person who is not a citizen of the United States and who is not a lawfully admitted permanent resident. This is the same definition used in federal law.

*Exemption for DACA:* AB 953 would exempt from the definition of “foreign national” a person who has been granted deferred action, and whose deferred action has not expired, under the federal Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) program, as described in guidelines issued by the United States Department of Homeland Security.

**FPPC Position:** Sponsor

**FPPC Costs:** Minor and absorbable

**5. [AB 1029](#) (Valencia) – Disclosure of Digital Financial Assets (Cryptocurrency)**

**Status:** Passed in the Assembly (69-0); referred to the Senate Elections Committee

**Coauthor:** Senator McNerney

**Short Summary:** AB 1029 would revise the definition of “investment” to include a “digital financial asset,” as defined, for purposes of disclosure on the Statement of Economic Interests (Form 700) and the conflict of interest provisions.

**Detailed Summary:**

*Existing law:*

- *Statement of Economic Interests:* Existing law requires every elected official and public employee who makes or influences governmental decisions to submit a Statement of Economic Interest (Form 700). Generally, filers must disclose their financial interests, including investments, income, and interests in real property.
- *Conflicts of interest:* Existing law prohibits a public official from taking part in a government decision if it is reasonably foreseeable that the decision would have a material financial effect on one or more of the official’s financial interests.
- *Definition of investment:* Under existing law, “investment” generally means any financial interest in, or security issued by, a business entity that is located in or does business in the jurisdiction that is worth \$2,000 or more. The FPPC Legal Division has previously determined that the existing definition of investment is too narrow to be interpreted to include cryptocurrency.
- *Definition of digital financial asset:* Under existing law in the Financial Code, “digital financial asset” is defined to mean a digital representation of value that is used as a medium of exchange, unit of account, or store of value, and that is not legal tender, whether or not denominated in legal tender, subject to certain exceptions.

*Investments:* AB 1029 would revise the definition of “investment” in the PRA to include a direct or indirect interest in a “digital financial asset,” as defined in the Financial Code. The bill would also make conforming amendments in other sections in the PRA. As an investment under the PRA, digital financial assets would be subject to disclosure on the Form 700 in the same manner as other types of investments and could give rise to a conflict of interest if it was reasonably foreseeable that a government decision would have a material financial effect on the digital financial asset.

*Delayed operative date:* AB 1029 will become operative on January 1, 2027.

**FPPC Position:** Sponsor

**FPPC Costs:** Minor and absorbable

6. **AB 1286 (Boerner) – Disclosure of Prospective Employment**

**Status:** Passed in the Assembly (77-0)

**Short Summary:** AB 1286 would require the Statement of Economic Interests (Form 700) filers listed in Section 87200 to disclose arrangements for prospective employment on their Form 700s.

**Detailed Summary:**

*Existing law:*

- Existing law prohibits a public official from making, participating in making, or using the public official’s official position to influence, any governmental decision directly relating to any person with whom the public official is negotiating, or has any arrangement concerning, prospective employment.
- Public officials listed in Section 87200 or designated in their agency’s conflict of interest code are required to file Statements of Economic Interests (Form 700s).

*New disclosure on Form 700:* AB 1286 would require the public officials listed in Section 87200 to disclose on the Form 700 an “arrangement for prospective employment,” defined in the bill to mean “an agreement pursuant to which a prospective employer’s offer of employment has been accepted by the prospective employee, including through verbal or written acceptance.”



*Content of disclosure:* Under AB 1286, public officials required to disclose prospective employment must disclose (1) the date that the filer accepted the prospective employer's offer of employment, (2) the business position, (3) a general description of the business activity of the prospective employer, and (4) the name and street address of the prospective employer.

**FPPC Position:** Sponsor

**FPPC Costs:** Minor and absorbable

7. **SB 280 (Cervantes and Dahle) – Contributions in State and Local Offices and Office Buildings**

**Status:** Passed in the Senate (38-0)

**Short Summary:** SB 280 would expand the existing prohibition on delivering or receiving contributions in state offices and office buildings to additionally apply to local government offices and office buildings and legislative district offices.

**Detailed Summary:**

*Existing law:* Existing law prohibits a person from receiving, personally delivering, or attempting to deliver a contribution in the State Capitol, any state office building, or in any office for which the state pays the majority of the rent other than a legislative district office.

*Expanding the prohibition:* SB 280 would apply the above prohibition to local government office buildings, provide that the prohibition applies to any office for which the state or a local government pays any rent, and strike the exemption for legislative district offices.

*Definition:* "State or local government office building" would be defined in the bill to mean any state-owned or local government-owned building in which more than 50 percent of the total floor area is used as office space for government employees.

**FPPC Position:** Sponsor

**FPPC Costs:** Minor and absorbable

8. **SB 852 (Committee on Elections and Constitutional Amendments) – PRA Bill Notifications**

**Status:** Passed in the Senate (34-0); referred to the Assembly Elections Committee

**Short Summary:** SB 852 would eliminate the manual notification requirement for PRA bills.

**Detailed Summary:**

*Existing law:* Existing law requires that the PRA may be amended by the Legislature if at least 8 days before passage in each house, or at least 12 days before passage in each house if the previous form of the bill did not amend the PRA, the bill in its final form has been delivered to the FPPC for distribution to persons who have requested that the FPPC send PRA bill updates.

*Background:* In accordance with that requirement, FPPC staff send manual notifications by email whenever a PRA bill is introduced or amended. Three individuals are currently signed up for this email list.

*Legislative history:* As of January 1, 2024, [leginfo.legislature.ca.gov](http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov) has an automatic notice function for changes to all PRA bills. This function is available to the public and a link to receive these notifications is displayed on the Legislative Information homepage. The alert must be sent no later than 9 a.m. the calendar day after the legislative action. SB 681 (2023) added this special notice function to Section 81012.5.

*Manual notification:* SB 852 would eliminate the manual notification requirement, linking the 8- or 12-day period described above to when the bill was printed, distributed to Members of the Legislature, and published on the internet, rather than when the bill was delivered to the Commission for manual distribution.

**FPPC Position:** Sponsor

**FPPC Costs:** None

#### **IV. Other Commission-Related Bills**

**Updates** (as of 6/4/25)

- **Passed in the Appropriations Committee:** SB 42 (Umberg, Allen, and Cervantes), SB 827 (Gonzalez)

- **Passed in the House of Origin:** AB 950 (Solache), AB 1511 (Committee on Elections), SB 42 (Umberg, Allen, and Cervantes), SB 760 (Allen), SB 827 (Gonzalez)
- **Amended:** AB 1511 (Assembly Committee on Elections, SB 42 (Umberg, Allen, and Cervantes)
- **Not Moving Forward This Year:** SB 321 (Cervantes), SB 458 (Niello and Umberg), SB 644 (Blakespear)

## Status and Summaries

### 9. [AB 950 \(Solache\)](#) – **Campaign Advertisement Disclaimers**

**Status:** Passed in the Assembly (71-0)

**Short Summary:** AB 950 makes several changes to the campaign advertisement disclaimer requirements. The bill would (1) clarify that the campaign disclaimer statements on yard signs and billboards may use shortened committee names, (2) provide detailed criteria and specific approved abbreviations for shortening top contributor names in campaign advertisement disclaimers, (3) change the way top contributor names must be separated/differentiated when they are not listed on separate lines, (4) and alter the disclaimer statements required for ads not paid for or authorized by the candidate.

#### **Detailed Summary:**

*Existing law:* Existing law in the PRA generally requires candidates and campaign committees to put disclosures on campaign advertisements that identify the committee that paid for or authorized the communication.

*Clarification regarding shortened committee name:* Existing law authorizes certain types of campaign advertisements, including print advertisements that are larger than those designed to be individually distributed, to use a shortened committee name in the disclaimer statement. AB 950 clarifies that those print advertisements include, but are not limited to, yard signs or billboards. The bill additionally makes formatting changes to this section.

*Authorizes shortening top contributor names:* AB 950 would authorize the top contributor names in the disclaimer to be shortened according to a specific set of rules and criteria, including a list of approved abbreviated words. The bill would authorize the Commission to establish other acceptable abbreviations, subject to certain criteria.

*Differentiation between top contributor names:* Existing law provides that the top contributors do not need to be listed on separate lines if the names are separated by commas. AB 950 would instead require that each top contributor has a clearly visible bullet point or is numbered (1., 2., 3.), largest to smallest, if the names do not appear on separate lines.

*Disclaimer for ads paid for by independent expenditure:* Existing law requires a disclaimer statement that an advertisement supporting or opposing a candidate paid for by independent expenditure was not authorized by a candidate or a committee controlled by a candidate. AB 950 would instead require the specific statement, “Not paid for by a candidate.”

*Disclaimer for ads paid for by a candidate for a different office:* Existing law, for an advertisement authorized or paid for by a candidate for another office, requires a disclaimer the statement: “This advertisement was not authorized or paid for by a candidate for this office or a committee controlled by a candidate for this office.” AB 950 would instead require the statement: “Not paid for by a candidate for this office.”

**FPPC Position:** No position

**FPPC Costs:** Minor and absorbable

#### **10. [AB 1511](#) (Assembly Committee on Elections) – Transfer of Candidate Funds**

**Status:** Amended on 5/1/25; passed in the Assembly (77-0); referred to the Senate Elections Committee

**Short Summary:** AB 1511 would revise the conditions under which a candidate who, before the primary election, raises campaign funds for the general election may transfer those general election funds to another candidate committee.

**Detailed Summary:**

*Existing law:* Existing law permits a state, county, or city candidate to raise contributions for a general or special general election before the primary or special primary election for the same office if those contributions are set aside and used for the general or special general election. Existing law requires the candidate to refund those general or special general election funds to the contributors if the candidate is defeated in the primary or special primary election or otherwise withdraws from the general or special general election.

*Existing law:* Existing law, as added by SB 948 (2024), provides that the above refund requirement does not apply to a candidate who does not file a declaration of candidacy to qualify for a primary or special primary election, and the candidate is not required to refund those contributions. Existing law permits these candidates to instead transfer those funds to a committee established for the same or a different office, subject to the attribution rules.

*Expansion of transfer authority:* AB 1511 would revise the above provision to instead permit a candidate to transfer general or special general election funds if (1) the candidate's name has not been listed on the ballot at a primary or special primary election, and (2) the candidate has not qualified to have write-in votes cast on their behalf counted by elections officials for nomination or election to an elective office at a primary or special primary election."

*Corrections:* AB 1511 makes two corrections to citations to federal law in the PRA.

*Voter information guide:* AB 1511 would also change "ballot pamphlet" to "voter information guide" throughout the PRA.

**FPPC Position:** No position

**FPPC Costs:** Minor and absorbable

#### 11. **SB 42 (Umberg, Allen, and Cervantes) – Public Campaign Financing**

**Status:** Passed in the Senate Appropriations Committee (5-1); amended on 5/23/25; passed in the Senate (28-10)

**Principal Coauthors:** Senators Becker, Blakespear, and Stern, and Assemblymembers Berman and Lee

**Coauthors:** Senators Arreguín, Cortese, McNerney, and Wiener, and Assemblymembers Haney and Schiavo

**Short Summary:** SB 42 would generally permit the use of public money for the purpose of seeking elective office under certain conditions and restrictions. The bill would also make an unrelated change in the PRA to increase the maximum penalty for violations of the foreign contributions prohibition. The bill would be subject to voter approval at the November 2026 election.

**Detailed Summary:**

*Existing law, public campaign financing:* Existing law prohibits a public officer from expending, and a candidate from accepting, public money for the purpose of seeking elective office.

*Legislative and legal history:* In 2016, an exception was added to allow public funds to be used for campaigns under specific conditions. The 2016 exception was challenged and was declared void and unenforceable by a Superior Court decision and affirmed by the Court of Appeals in 2019 as an improper legislative amendment of a voter initiative.

*Eliminates the prohibition:* SB 42 would strike the general prohibition on using public funds for the purpose of seeking elective office.

*Earmarked funds:* SB 42 would prohibit the use of public funds for the purpose of seeking elective office if the funds are earmarked by any state or local entity for education, transportation, or public safety.

*Conditions for receiving public funds:* SB 42 would require candidates to abide by expenditure limits and meet “strict criteria,” set by statute, ordinance, or charter, to qualify for public funds. The bill requires that the criteria require candidates to demonstrate broad-based support in their district.

*Prohibited use:* SB 42 would prohibit public funds from being used to pay legal defense fees or fines, repay a personal loan to their campaign, or use of any source of funds to repay a personal loan to the campaign after the campaign ends.

*Expenditure limits:* SB 42 would authorize a statute, ordinance, or charter to increase the expenditure limits for each qualified, voluntarily participating candidate, subject to a specific restriction.

*Prohibition on party, challenger, or incumbent preference:* SB 42 would prohibit public funding statutes, charters, ordinances, and resolutions from discriminating based on party or according to whether a candidate is a challenger or an incumbent.

*Enforcement:* SB 42 would provide that the FPPC is not responsible for the administration or enforcement of a local system of public funding of candidates.

*Existing law, foreign contributions:* Existing law prohibits a foreign government or foreign principal from making a contribution, expenditure, or independent expenditure in connection with a state or local ballot measure or the election of a candidate to state or local office. Existing law provides that a person who violates this prohibition shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and fined an amount equal to the amount contributed or expended.

*Increasing the penalty:* SB 42 would provide that a violation of the foreign contributions prohibition is subject to a fine of, at minimum, the amount contributed or expended, or up to three times the amount contributed or expended.

*Voter approval:* The bill would be submitted to the voters for approval at the November 3, 2026, statewide general election.

**FPPC Position:** No position

**FPPC Costs:** \$205,000 first year and \$198,000 ongoing for 1 position in the Legal Division. The FPPC may incur potential additional costs in an unknown amount for enforcement against local jurisdictions.

**12. SB 760 (Allen) – Behested Payments Reporting Exemption for Public Appeals for Payment**

**Status:** Passed in the Senate (39-0)

**Short Summary:** SB 760 would create an exemption to the behested payment reporting requirements for certain public appeals for payment.

**Detailed Summary:**

*Existing law:* Existing law requires elected officers and members of the Public Utilities Commission to submit behested payment reports when behested payments from a single donor reach \$5,000 or more in the aggregate in a calendar year. Generally, a “behested payment” is a payment requested or solicited by an elected official that is paid by one individual or organization to another individual or organization for a legislative, governmental, or charitable purpose.

*Exemption to reporting:* SB 760 would provide that no behested payment report is required if the officer or member makes a public appeal for payment by:

(A) Television.

(B) Radio.

(C) Billboard.

(D) A public message on an online platform.

(G) A public speech to a group of 100 or more individuals.

*Limit to exemption:* SB 760 provides that the reporting exemption above does not apply if either of the following apply:

(A) It is reasonably foreseeable that the payment will have a material financial effect, distinguishable from its effect on the public generally, on the behesting officer or member, or a member of their immediate family.

(B) The behesting officer or member is knows that a specific payment was made in response to the officer or member's public appeal.

**FPPC Position:** No position

**FPPC Costs:** Minor and absorbable

### 13. **SB 827 (Gonzalez) – Ethics Training for Local Agency Officials**

**Status:** Amended 5/1/25 and 5/12/25; passed in the Senate (28-10)

**Coauthor:** Senator Arreguín

**Short Summary:** SB 827 would expand the local agency ethics training requirements to an additional category of individuals and would shorten the deadline for completing the training.

**Detailed Summary:**

*Existing law:* Existing law requires local agency officials to complete training on general ethics principles and ethics laws, including ethics requirements in the PRA. A bill effective this year imposed a statutory requirement that the FPPC, in consultation with the AG, create, maintain, and make available to local agency officials an ethics training course that satisfies the training requirements.

*Expansion to additional individuals:* SB 827 would expand those ethics training requirements additionally to “[a] department head or other similar administrative officer of a local agency.”

*Training deadline:* Existing law requires that the ethics training must be completed within 1 year from the first day of service with the local agency. SB 827 would instead require local agency officials who commence service with a local agency on or after January 1, 2026, to receive the training no later than six months from the first day of service with the local agency.



*Local agency duty:* Existing law requires a local agency that is subject to the ethics training requirements to maintain certain records of the training. SB 827 would require the local agency, if the local agency has an internet website, to publish those records on its internet website.

*Other changes:* The bill makes additional changes in the Government Code unrelated to the FPPC.

**FPPC Position:** No position

**FPPC Costs:** Approximately \$5,000 annually for additional software costs for the online training system.

## **V. Bills Not Moving Forward This Year**

1. [AB 26](#) (DeMaio) – Intent Bill
2. [AB 351](#) (McKinnor) – Section 84308; Contributions to Agency Officers
3. [AB 884](#) (Essayli) – Prohibition on Contributions from Investor-Owned Utilities
4. [SB 300](#) (Padilla) – Exception to the Conflict of Interest Prohibition (2-Year Bill)
5. [SB 321](#) (Cervantes) – Late Signature Curing Expenditure Reports (Held in Appropriations)
6. [SB 401](#) (Hurtado) – State Employee Restriction on Business Ownership (2-Year Bill)
7. [SB 458](#) (Niello and Umberg) – Ballot Measure Titles, Summaries, and Financial Impact Estimates (Held in Appropriations)
8. [SB 644](#) (Blakespear) – Contribution Limits for Candidates for Judicial, School District, and Community College District Office (Held in Appropriations)
9. [SB 817](#) (Choi) – Intent Bill