- 1			
1	GALENA WEST		
2	Chief of Enforcement CHRISTOPHER BURTON		
3	Commission Counsel Fair Political Practices Commission		
4	428 J Street, Suite 620 Sacramento, CA 95814		
5	Telephone: (916) 322-5660		
6	Attorneys for Complainant Fair Political Practices Commission, Enforcement Division		
7			
8	BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of:	FPPC Case No. 16/19934	
12	OUR WATER NOW – H2OWN "YES	STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER	
13	ON MEASURE W," RICHARD PIERCY, AND ADOLPH COLLASO,		
14	Respondents.		
15			
16	INTRODUCTION		
17	Our Water Now – H2Own "Yes on Measure W" (the "Committee") is a primarily formed ballot		
18	measure committee that was created to support Measure W, a measure on the November 2016 ballot in		
19	Apple Valley, California. The principal officer of the Committee is Richard Piercy ("Piercy"), and its		
20	treasurer is Adolph Collaso ("Collaso").		
21	Despite being primarily formed to support Measure W, the Committee did not change its name to		
22	reflect its support of the measure until contacted by the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political		
23	Practices Commission (the "Commission") months after the election, thereby resulting in a violation of		
24	Sections 84103 and 84107 of the Political Reform Act (the "Act"). Further, the Committee failed to		
25	sufficiently report certain financial activity on its pre-election campaign statements, in violation of		
26			
27 28	¹ The Act is contained in Government Code sections 81000 through 91014. All statutory references are to the Government Code, unless otherwise indicated. The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission are contained in Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations. All regulatory references are to Title 2, Division 6 of the California Code of Regulations, unless otherwise indicated.		

15

///

///

///

27

28

Section 84211, subdivisions (a), (b), (c), (d), (f), (i), and (k), of the Act.

SUMMARY OF THE LAW

The Act and its regulations are amended from time to time. The violations in this case occurred in 2016. For this reason, all legal references and discussions of law pertain to the Act's provisions as they existed at that time.

Need for Liberal Construction and Vigorous Enforcement of the Political Reform Act

When enacting the Act, the people of California found and declared that previous laws regulating political practices suffered from inadequate enforcement by state and local authorities.² For this reason, the Act is to be construed liberally to accomplish its purposes.³

One purpose of the Act is to promote transparency by ensuring that receipts and expenditures in election campaigns are fully and truthfully disclosed so that voters are fully informed and improper practices are inhibited.⁴ Along these lines, the Act includes a comprehensive campaign reporting system—and the true sources of campaign contributions may not be concealed.⁵ Another purpose of the Act is to provide adequate enforcement mechanisms so that the Act will be "vigorously enforced."

Duty to Identify Committee as Primarily Formed Ballot Measure Committee

The Act defines "primarily formed committee" to include a committee which is formed or exists primarily to support or oppose a single ballot measure.⁷ Within 30 days of the designation of the numerical order of propositions appearing on the ballot, any committee which is primarily formed to support or oppose a ballot measure shall, if supporting the measure, include the statement "a committee for Proposition __," in any reference to the committee required by law.⁸

```
<sup>2</sup> Section 81001, subd. (h).
```

³ Section 81003.

⁴ Section 81002, subd. (a).

⁵ Sections 84200, et seq., and 84301.

⁶ Section 81002, subd. (f).

⁷ Section 82047.5.

⁸ Section 84107.

///

///

Mandatory Filing of Campaign Statements

At the core of the Act's campaign reporting system is the requirement that committees file campaign statements and reports for certain reporting periods, by certain deadlines, and including certain information.⁹

The Act requires that committees report the total amount of contributions received during the period covered by the campaign statement from (i) persons who have given a cumulative amount of \$100 or more; and (ii) persons who have given a cumulative amount of less than \$100.¹⁰ Further, the Act requires that committees report the name, address, occupation, and employer of each person that contributes \$100 or more on its campaign statements.¹¹

The Act also requires that committees report the total amount of expenditures made during the period covered by the campaign statement to (i) persons who have received \$100 or more; and (ii) persons who have received less than \$100.12 For each person to whom an expenditure of \$100 or more has been made, the committee must report the name and address of the recipient, the amount of the expenditure, and a brief description of the consideration for which each expenditure was made. 13

Joint and Several Liability of Committee, Principal Officer, and Treasurer

It is the duty of a committee treasurer to ensure that the committee complies with the Act.¹⁴ It is the duty of the committee's principal officer to authorize the content of communications made by the committee, authorize expenditures made by the committee, and determine the committee's campaign strategy.¹⁵ A treasurer and principal officer may be held jointly and severally liable, along with the committee, for violations committed by the committee.¹⁶

```
<sup>9</sup> Sections 84200, et seq.
```

¹⁰ Section 84211, subds. (a), (c), and (d).

¹¹ Section 84211, subd. (f).

¹² Section 84211, subds. (b), (i), and (j).

¹³ Section 84211, subd. (k).

¹⁴ Sections 81004, 84100, 84104, and 84213; Regulation 18427.

¹⁵ Section 82047.6; Regulation 18402.1, subd. (b).

¹⁶ Sections 83116.5 and 91006.

SUMMARY OF THE FACTS

Measure W was a measure on the November 2016 General Election ballot in Apple Valley, California which would have resulted in the acquisition of a water utility by the town. The measure did not pass, receiving only 43.23 percent of the vote.

The Committee filed its initial Form 410 statement of organization on or about May 23, 2016, which identified the Committee as a primarily formed committee supporting the "town water company purchase." Measure W was officially added to the ballot on July 26, 2016, and assigned its letter on August 16, 2016. On or about October 3, 2016, the Committee filed an amendment to its statement of organization, noting that it had qualified as a committee on September 26, 2016; however, the Committee did not change its name at this time.

Leading up to the November 2016 General Election, the Committee ran several different advertisements supporting Measure W. In particular, the Committee paid for a video digital ad that ran on two different occasions prior to the election; a radio ad which ran locally between October 10 and November 1, 2016; and a direct mail piece which was distributed between October 6 and November 5, 2016.

The digital ad displayed text that read "Paid for by H2Own – Our Water Now Committee #1386018." The radio ad verbally disclosed that the ad was "Paid for by H2O-Our Water Now ID# 1386018." The direct mailer included the words "Paid for by: H2Own-Our Water Now Citizens Committee-ID#1386018," along with the Committee's address. At this time, the name of the Committee still did not include reference to Measure W, either on its statement of organization, or in any of the advertisements.

On or about October 5, 2016, the Committee received a \$1,500 sales order for the radio advertisement; however, the Committee did not report the expenditure until its campaign statement for the period of October 23 to December 31, 2016, which was filed January 25, 2017, over three months after the pre-election expenditure was made.

In 2016, the Committee reported \$25,987.59 in contributions and \$21,889.63 in expenditures.

¹⁷ It is noteworthy that none of these advertisements correctly stated the name of the Committee as it appeared on the operative statement of organization - "Our Water Now – H2Own."

21

22

23 24

26

25

27

28

¹⁸ Section 83116, subd. (c).

The Committee also created a GoFundMe web site, which garnered a total of \$375 in contributions, including a \$200 contribution from Lance Arnt. None of these contributions were timely reported on the Committee's campaign statements.

On or about February 17, 2017, after being contacted by the Enforcement Division, the Committee filed an amendment to its statement of organization, changing the name of the committee to "Our Water Now – H2Own 'Yes on Measure W."

VIOLATIONS

Count 1: Failure to Timely Change Committee Name to Reflect Supported Ballot Measure

The Committee, Piercy, and Collaso failed to timely change the name of the Committee, a primarily formed ballot measure committee, to reflect the supported ballot measure, within 30 days of the designation of the measure as "Measure W," by amending its statement of organization, in violation of Sections 84103 and 84107 of the Act.

Count 2: Failure to Timely Report Financial Activity on Pre-Election Campaign Statements

The Committee, Piercy, and Collaso failed to timely report a total of \$175 in unitemized contributions under \$100 and a contribution of \$200 received from Lance Arnt on its pre-election campaign statement covering the reporting period of July 1 to September 24, 2016, and failed to timely report an expenditure of \$1,500 paid for radio advertisements on its pre-election campaign statement covering the reporting period of September 25 to October 22, 2016, in violation of Section 84211, subdivisions (a), (b), (c), (d), (f), (i), and (k), of the Act.

PROPOSED PENALTY

This matter consists of two counts. The maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$5,000 per count. Thus, the maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$10,000.¹⁸

In determining the appropriate penalty for a particular violation of the Act, the Commission considers the facts of the case, the public harm involved, and the purposes of the Act. Also, the Commission considers factors such as: (a) the seriousness of the violation; (b) the presence or absence of any intention to conceal, deceive or mislead; (c) whether the violation was deliberate, negligent or

inadvertent; (d) whether the violation was isolated or part of a pattern; (e) whether corrective amendments voluntarily were filed to provide full disclosure; and (f) whether the violator has a prior record of violations. Additionally, the Commission considers penalties in prior cases with comparable violations.

Comparable cases in which a penalty was charged for violating Sections 84103 and 84107 include the following:

• In the Matter of Kenneth Pon and For the Children of West County; FPPC No. 14/403.

Respondents, a primarily formed ballot measure committee and its treasurer, campaigned for various local measures in various elections. However, despite its activity advocating for the measures, the committee failed to timely change its name to reflect the measures it supported prior to three different elections. Further, as a result of the committee's failure to change its name, the proper name of the committee was also not printed on over half of a million dollars' worth of campaign literature distributed by the committee, including mailers. For settlement purposes, the naming and advertising disclosure violations were combined into one count per affected election, charging the respondents \$5,000 per count. The Commission imposed these penalties in November 2016.

Comparable cases in which a penalty was charged for violating Section 84211 include the following:

• In the Matter of Roger Gaylord III and Roger Gaylord for Folsom City Council 2014; FPPC No. 15/097. Respondents, a candidate and his controlled committee, failed to timely report \$4,131.28 in expenditures and \$390 in contributions on two different pre-election campaign statements. Further, Respondents under reported certain loans and under or over reported expenditures on the same pre-election statements. In February 2017, the Commission imposed a penalty of \$2,000 on one count.

As to Count 1, Respondents here both failed to timely change the Committee name to reflect the supported ballot measure and failed to include the requisite name on any of its campaign advertisements, similar to the respondents in *Pon*. Given that the committee name appears on all advertisements and

¹⁹ Regulation 18361.5, subd. (d).

mailers, disclosing the name of the ballot measure and the sender's position on the measure in the committee's name is crucial to the public's ability to easily identify the nature of the committee.

The violations here are mitigated somewhat by the smaller amount of advertising activity; therefore, a lower penalty is appropriate. Further, according to the Committee, it was outspent by a ratio of 130 to 1 during the ultimately unsuccessful campaign. As a result, a penalty in the amount of \$3,500 is recommended for Count 1.

As to Count 2, the public was harmed by Respondents' failure to timely disclose important information related to the campaign, including the advertising activity of the Committee, the identity of contributors, and the full amount of contributions received. That harm was aggravated by the fact that Respondents' violations occurred before the election. *Gaylord* is analogous given that the financial activity went unreported during pre-election periods. Therefore, a similar penalty in the amount of \$2,000 is recommend for Count 2.

Based on the foregoing, a total penalty in the amount of \$5,500 is recommended.

CONCLUSION

Complainant, the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission, and Respondents, Our Water Now – H2Own "Yes on Measure W," Richard Piercy, and Adolph Collaso, hereby agree as follows:

- 1. The Respondents violated the Act as described in the foregoing pages, which are a true and accurate summary of the facts in this matter.
- 2. This stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Fair Political Practices

 Commission at its next regularly scheduled meeting—or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
- 3. This stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter—for the purpose of reaching a final disposition without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine the liability of the Respondents pursuant to Section 83116.
- 4. The Respondents understand, and hereby knowingly and voluntarily waive, any and all procedural rights set forth in Sections 83115.5, 11503, 11523, and Regulations 18361.1 through 18361.9. This includes, but is not limited to, the right to appear personally at any administrative hearing held in this matter, to be represented by an attorney at the Respondents' own expense, to confront and cross-

examine all witnesses testifying at the hearing, to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, to have an impartial administrative law judge preside over the hearing as a hearing officer, and to have the matter judicially reviewed.

- 5. The Respondents agree to the issuance of the decision and order set forth below. Also, the Respondents agree to the Commission imposing against them an administrative penalty in the amount of \$5,500. One or more cashier's checks or money orders totaling said amount—to be paid to the General Fund of the State of California—is/are submitted with this stipulation as full payment of the administrative penalty described above, and same shall be held by the State of California until the Commission issues its decision and order regarding this matter.
- 6. If the Commission refuses to approve this stipulation—then this stipulation shall become null and void, and within fifteen business days after the Commission meeting at which the stipulation is rejected, all payments tendered by the Respondents in connection with this stipulation shall be reimbursed to the Respondents. If this stipulation is not approved by the Commission, and if a full evidentiary hearing before the Commission becomes necessary, neither any member of the Commission, nor the Executive Director, shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.
- 7. The parties to this agreement may execute their respective signature pages separately. A copy of any party's executed signature page including a hardcopy of a signature page transmitted via fax or as a PDF email attachment is as effective and binding as the original.

Dated:	Galena West, Chief of Enforcement Fair Political Practices Commission
Dated:	 Richard Piercy, individually and on behalf of Our Water Now – H2Own "Yes on Measure W"
Dated:	 Adolph Collaso, individually and on behalf of Our Water Now – H2Own "Yes on Measure W"
	8

1	The foregoing stipulation of the parties "In the Matter of Our Water Now – H2Own 'Yes on Measure		
2	W,' Richard Piercy, and Adolph Collaso," FPPC Case No. 16/19934 is hereby accepted as the final		
3	decision and order of the Fair Political Practices Commission, effective upon execution below by the		
4	Chair.		
5			
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7			
8	Dated:		
9	Joann Remke, Chair Fair Political Practices Commission		
10	Fair Political Practices Collinission		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			