5

8

16

20

SUMMARY OF THE LAW

The Act and its regulations are amended from time to time. The violations in this case occurred in 2016 and 2017. For this reason, all legal references and discussions of law pertain to the Act's provisions as they existed at that time.

Need for Liberal Construction and Vigorous Enforcement of the Political Reform Act

When enacting the Act, the people of California found and declared that previous laws regulating political practices suffered from inadequate enforcement by state and local authorities.² For this reason, the Act is to be construed liberally to accomplish its purposes.³

One purpose of the Act is to promote transparency by ensuring that receipts and expenditures in election campaigns are fully and truthfully disclosed so that voters are fully informed and improper practices are inhibited.⁴ Along these lines, the Act includes a comprehensive campaign reporting system—and the true sources of campaign contributions may not be concealed.⁵ Another purpose of the Act is to provide adequate enforcement mechanisms so that the Act will be "vigorously enforced."

Mandatory Filing of Campaign Statements

At the core of the Act's campaign reporting system is the requirement that committees file campaign statements and reports for certain reporting periods and by certain deadlines.⁷

The Act requires a recipient committee to file semiannual campaign statements twice per year disclosing its campaign contributions and expenditures. A recipient committee must file a semiannual statement by January 31 for the period ending December 31 and by July 31 for the period ending June 30, or the next business day if the deadline falls on a weekend or holiday.⁸

Joint and Several Liability of Candidate, Committee, and Treasurer

It is the duty of a committee treasurer to ensure that the committee complies with the Act. 9 A

² Section 81001, subd. (h).

³ Section 81003.

⁴ Section 81002, subd. (a).

⁵ Sections 84200, et seq.; and 84301.

⁶ Section 81002, subd. (f).

⁷ Sections 84200, et seq.

⁸ Section 84200, subd. (a); Regulation 18116, subd. (a).

⁹ Sections 81004, 84100, 84104, and 84213; Regulation 18427.

treasurer may be held jointly and severally liable, along with the candidate and the committee, for violations committed by the committee.¹⁰

SUMMARY OF THE FACTS

The Committee filed a statement of organization on or about September 16, 2003. Gillis was successfully elected to the Board in the November 2003 General Election. Since, at least, the second half of 2015, the Committee has not had any financial activity, but continues to report an ending cash balance of \$14,077 on its campaign statements. Further, Gillis no longer serves on the Board.

The Committee failed to timely file the following campaign statements:

Statement Type	Reporting Period	Due Date	Date Filed	Amount of Contributions/ Expenditures
Semiannual	7/1/15 – 12/31/15	2/1/16	4/12/16	\$0/\$0
Semiannual	1/1/16 – 6/30/16	8/1/16	8/30/17	\$0/\$0
Semiannual	7/1/16 – 12/31/16	1/31/17	8/30/17	\$0/\$0
Semiannual	1/1/17 — 7/31/17	7/31/17	8/30/17	\$0/\$0

On March 29, 2016, the Enforcement Division of the Commission sent a letter to the Committee requesting that it file its past-due campaign statement for the period of July 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015 within 30 days. On or about April 12, 2016, the Committee filed the pertinent statement.

The Enforcement Division attempted to contact Gillis by telephone on April 4, 2017 and by email on June 2, 2017 regarding the Committee's past-due campaign statements for the periods of January 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016 and July 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016, but was either unable to reach or received no response from Gillis.

On July 6, 2017, the Enforcement Division sent a letter to Respondents requesting that they file the Committee's past-due campaign statements for the periods of January 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016 and

¹⁰ Sections 83116.5 and 91006.

July 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016 within 30 days. Respondents did not comply. Therefore, on or about August 26, 2017, the Enforcement Division served a probable cause report on Respondents.

On or about August 30, 2017, the Committee filed its semiannual campaign statements for the reporting periods of January 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016; July 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016; and January 1, 2017 to June 30, 2017.

VIOLATIONS

Count 1: Failure to Timely File Semiannual Campaign Statements

The Committee and Gillis failed to timely file the Committee's semiannual campaign statement for the period of July 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015 by February 1, 2016; and the Committee's semiannual campaign statement for the period of January 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016 by August 1, 2016, in violation of Section 84200, subdivision (a).

Count 2: Failure to Timely File Semiannual Campaign Statements

The Committee and Gillis failed to timely file the Committee's semiannual campaign statement for the period of July 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016 by January 31, 2017; and the Committee's semiannual campaign statement for the period of January 1, 2017 to June 30, 2017 by July 31, 2017, in violation of Section 84200, subdivision (a).

PROPOSED PENALTY

This matter consists of two counts. The maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$5,000 per count. Thus, the maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$10,000.¹¹

In determining the appropriate penalty for a particular violation of the Act, the Commission considers the facts of the case, the public harm involved, and the purposes of the Act. Also, the Commission considers factors such as: (a) the seriousness of the violation; (b) the presence or absence of any intention to conceal, deceive or mislead; (c) whether the violation was deliberate, negligent or inadvertent; (d) whether the violation was isolated or part of a pattern; (e) whether corrective amendments voluntarily were filed to provide full disclosure; and (f) whether the violator has a prior record of violations.¹²

¹¹ Section 83116, subd. (c).

¹² Regulation 18361.5, subd. (d).

In this case, considering the low level of financial activity involved and the fact that Gillis is no longer in office, the seriousness of the violations contained herein is low. Further, the Enforcement Division found no evidence that Respondents intended to conceal, deceive, or mislead the public, nor did the violations appear to be deliberate. Also considered was the fact that Respondents have a prior history of violating the Act; therefore, the violations contained herein constitute part of a pattern.

Additionally, the Commission considers penalties in prior cases involving similar violations.

Recent similar cases include the following:

• In the Matter of Contra Costa Supervisor John Gioia 2010 Officeholder Account, Contra Costa Supervisor John Gioia 2014 Officeholder Account, John Gioia, and Jennifer Peck; FPPC Nos. 17/84 and 17/86. Respondents, a candidate, two candidate-controlled committees, and their treasurers, failed to timely file a total of 14 semiannual campaign statements. Many of the subject statements were due after Gioia had run for office and converted his committees to officeholder account committees. In August 2017, the Commission approved a penalty of \$1,500 on each of six counts.

The failure to timely file semiannual campaign statements undermines one of the Act's central purposes – transparency. The violation here is aggravated by Respondents' prior enforcement history with the Commission related to the same violation. In particular, in FPPC No. 15/215, in December 2015, the Commission approved a stipulation which imposed a streamline penalty of \$400 against Respondents for their failure to timely file two semiannual campaign statements covering the reporting periods of July 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014; and January 1, 2015 to June 30, 2015. As displayed by the foregoing, Respondents have a pattern of violating the Act by disregarding their obligation to timely file semiannual campaign statements.

This case is similar to the comparable case *Gioia* in that the pertinent statements covered reporting periods occurring after Gillis ran for office. However, in aggravation, Respondents were nonresponsive to the Enforcement Division's communications until a probable cause report was served on them. This fact, combined with Respondents' prior history, justifies a penalty in the amount of \$2,000 for each of Counts 1 and 2.

Based on the foregoing, a penalty in the total amount of \$4,000 is recommended.

///

CONCLUSION

Complainant, the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission, and Respondents, Committee to Elect Ron Gillis and Ronald E. Gillis, hereby agree as follows:

- 1. The Respondents violated the Act as described in the foregoing pages, which are a true and accurate summary of the facts in this matter.
- 2. This stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Fair Political Practices

 Commission at its next regularly scheduled meeting—or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
- 3. This stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter—for the purpose of reaching a final disposition without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine the liability of the Respondent pursuant to Section 83116.
- 4. The Respondents understand, and hereby knowingly and voluntarily waive, any and all procedural rights set forth in Sections 83115.5, 11503, 11523, and Regulations 18361.1 through 18361.9. This includes, but is not limited to, the right to appear personally at any administrative hearing held in this matter, to be represented by an attorney at the Respondents' own expense, to confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying at the hearing, to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, to have an impartial administrative law judge preside over the hearing as a hearing officer, and to have the matter judicially reviewed.
- 5. The Respondents agree to the issuance of the decision and order set forth below. Also, the Respondents agree to the Commission imposing against them an administrative penalty in the amount of \$4,000. One or more cashier's checks or money orders totaling said amount—to be paid to the General Fund of the State of California—is/are submitted with this stipulation as full payment of the administrative penalty described above, and same shall be held by the State of California until the Commission issues its decision and order regarding this matter.
- 6. If the Commission refuses to approve this stipulation—then this stipulation shall become null and void, and within fifteen business days after the Commission meeting at which the stipulation is rejected, all payments tendered by the Respondents in connection with this stipulation shall be reimbursed to the Respondents. If this stipulation is not approved by the Commission, and if a full evidentiary hearing before the Commission becomes necessary, neither any member of the Commission,

1	nor the Executive Director, shal	ll be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.			
2	7. The parties to thi	is agreement may execute their respective signature pages separately.			
3	copy of any party's executed signature page including a hardcopy of a signature page transmitted via				
4	or as a PDF email attachment is	as effective and binding as the original.			
5					
6	Dated:				
7		Galena West, Chief of Enforcement Fair Political Practices Commission			
8					
9	Dated:	Ronald E. Gillis, individually and on behalf of			
10		Committee to Elect Ron Gillis			
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27 28					
28					

A

fax

1	The foregoing stipulation of the parties "In the Matter of Committee to Elect Ron Gillis and Ronald E.			
2	Gillis," FPPC Case No. 16/278 is hereby accepted as the final decision and order of the Fair Political			
3	Practices Commission, effective upon execution below by the Chair.			
4				
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
6				
7	Dated:			
8	Joann Remke, Chair Fair Political Practices Commission			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				