	1		
1	GALENA WEST		
2	Chief of Enforcement MICHAEL W. HAMILTON Commission Counsel FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION 1102 Q Street, Suite 3000 Sacramento, CA 95811		
3			
4			
5	Telephone: (916) 322-5772 Email: mhamilton@fppc.ca.gov		
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7			
8	BEEODE THE EVID DOLUTION	CAL DD ACTICES COMMISSION	
9	BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	STATE OF	CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of) FPPC No. 14/1263	
12			
13	GLORIA OLMOS, RE-ELECT	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
14	GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOOL BOARD 2013, AND COMMITTEE		
15	TO ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SOUTH EL MONTE CITY) (Gov. Code §11503)	
16	COUNCIL MEMBER 2015,		
17)	
18	Respondents.	-	
19	Complainant, the Enforcement Division	of the Fair Political Practices Commission, hereby	
20	Complainant, the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission, hereby submits this Default Decision and Order for consideration by the Fair Political Practices Commission a		
21	its next regularly scheduled meeting.		
22	Pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act (APA), Respondents Gloria Olmos		
23	("Olmos"), Re-Elect Gloria Olmos for School Board 2013 (the "School Board Committee"), and		
24	Committee to Elect Gloria Olmos for South El Monte City Council Member 2015 (the "City Council		
25	Committee"), have been served with all of the documents necessary to conduct an administrative hearing		
26	regarding the above-captioned matter, including the following:		
27			
28	¹ The California Administrative Procedure Act, which 11370 through 11529 of the Government Code.	ch governs administrative adjudications, is contained in section	
	-	1	

- 1. An Order Finding Probable Cause;
- 2. An Accusation:
- 3. A Notice of Defense (Two Copies);
- 4. A Statement to Respondent; and,
- 5. Copies of Sections 11506, 11507.5, 11507.6 and 11507.7 of the Government Code.

Government Code section 11506 provides that failure of a respondent to file a Notice of Defense within fifteen days after being served with an Accusation shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing on the merits of the Accusation. The Statement to Respondent, served on Olmos, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee, explicitly stated that a Notice of Defense must be filed in order to request a hearing. Olmos, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee failed to file Notices of Defense within 15 days of being served with an Accusation. Olmos, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee failed to file Notices of Defense by October 13, 2017. Government Code Section 11520 provides that, if the respondent fails to file a Notice of Defense, the Commission may take action, by way of a default, based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence, and that affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to the respondent.

Olmos, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee violated the Political Reform Act (Act)² as described in Exhibit 1, which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein. Exhibit 1 is a true and accurate summary of the law and evidence in this matter. This Default Decision and Order is submitted to the Commission to obtain a final disposition of this matter.

Dated: 2/21/18

Galena West, Chief of Enforcement Fair Political Practices Commission

26

27

28

²⁵

DECISION AND ORDER The Commission issues this Default Decision and Order and imposes an administrative penalty of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) upon Respondents Olmos and the School Board Committee and Eight Thousand Dollars (\$8,000) upon Respondents Olmos and the City Council Committee, payable to the "General Fund of the State of California." IT IS SO ORDERED, effective upon execution below by the Chair of the Fair Political Practices Commission at Sacramento, California. Dated: Joann Remke, Chair Fair Political Practices Commission

EXHIBIT 1

INTRODUCTION

Respondent Gloria Olmos ("Olmos") is former member of the school board for the Valle Lindo Elementary School District located in Los Angeles County and currently serves as a member of the South El Monte City Council. Respondent Re-Elect Gloria Olmos for School Board 2013 (the "School Board Committee") was Olmos' controlled committee for school board. Respondent Committee To Elect Gloria Olmos for South El Monte City Council Member 2015 (the "City Council Committee") is Olmos' controlled committee for city council.

The Political Reform Act (the "Act")¹ requires candidates and committees to file semi-annual campaign statements bi-annually. Olmos and the School Board Committee violated the Act by failing to file three semi-annual campaign statements.

Approximately two years after being re-elected to the school board, Olmos was elected to the South El Monte City Council. Olmos and the City Council Committee violated the Act by failing to do the following: accurately report campaign activity on the summary page, report expenditures and accrued expenses on campaign statements.

DEFAULT PROCEEDINGS UNDER THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT

When the Commission determines that there is probable cause for believing that the Act has been violated, it may hold a hearing to determine if a violation has occurred.² Notice of the hearing, and the hearing itself, must be conducted in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act (the "APA").³ A hearing to determine whether the Act has been violated is initiated by the filing of an accusation, which shall be a concise written statement of the charges, specifying the statutes and rules which the respondent is alleged to have violated.⁴

Included among the rights afforded a respondent under the APA, is the right to file the Notice of Defense with the Commission within 15 days after service of the accusation, by which the respondent may (1) request a hearing; (2) object to the accusation on the ground it does not state acts or omissions upon which the agency may proceed; (3) object to the form of the accusation on the ground that it is so indefinite or certain that the respondent cannot identify the transaction or prepare a defense; (4) admit the accusation in whole or in part; (5) present new matter by way of a defense; or (6) object to the accusation on the ground that, under the circumstances,

¹ The Act is contained in Government Code Sections 81000 through 91014. All statutory references are to the Government Code, unless otherwise indicated. The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission ("Commission") are contained in Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations. All regulatory references are to Title 2, Division 6 of the California Code of Regulations, unless otherwise indicated.
² Section 83116.

³ The California Administrative Procedure Act, which governs administrative adjudications, is contained in Sections 11370 through 11529 of the Government Code; Section 83116.

⁴ Section 11503.

compliance with a Commission regulation would result in a material violation of another department's regulation affecting substantive rights.⁵

The APA provides that a respondent's failure to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service of an accusation constitutes a waiver of the respondent's right to a hearing. Moreover, when a respondent fails to file a Notice of Defense, the Commission may take action based on the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to the respondent.

PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS AND HISTORY

A. <u>Initiation of the Administrative Action</u>

The service of the probable cause hearing notice, as required by Section 83115.5, upon the person alleged to have violated starts the administrative action.⁸

A finding of probable cause may not be made by the Commission unless the person alleged to have violated the Act is 1) notified of the violation by service of process or registered mail with return receipt requested; 2) provided with a summary of the evidence; and 3) informed of his or her right to be present in person and represented by counsel at any proceeding of the Commission held for the purpose of considering whether probable cause exists for believing the person violated the Act. Additionally, the required notice to the alleged violator shall be deemed made on the date of service, the date the registered mail receipt is signed, or if the registered mail receipt is not signed, the date returned by the post office. On

No administrative action pursuant to Chapter 3 of the Act alleging a violation of any of the provisions of Act may be commenced more than five years after the date on which the violation occurred.¹¹

Documents supporting the procedural history are included in the attached Certification of Records ("Certification") filed herewith at Exhibit 1, A–1 through A–19, and incorporated herein by reference.

In accordance with Sections 83115.5 and 91000.5, the Enforcement Division initiated the administrative action against Olmos, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee in this matter by personally serving Olmos with a Report in Support of a Finding of Probable Cause (the "Report") (Certification, Exhibit A–1)¹² on June 13, 2017. (Certification, Exhibit A–2.) The administrative action commenced on June 13, 2017, and the five-year statute of limitations was effectively tolled on this date.

⁵ Section 11506, subdivision (a)(1)–(6).

⁶ Section 11506, subdivision (c).

⁷ Section 11520, subdivision (a).

⁸ Section 91000.5, subdivision (a).

⁹ Section 83115.5.

¹⁰ Section 83115.5.

¹¹ Section 91000.5.

¹² Section 83115.5.

As required by Section 83115.5, the packet served on Olmos, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee contained a cover letter and a memorandum describing probable cause proceedings, advising that Olmos had 21 days in which to request a probable cause conference and/or to file a written response to the Report. (Certification, Exhibit A–3.) Olmos neither requested a probable cause conference nor submitted a written response to the Report.

B. Ex Parte Request for a Finding of Probable Cause

Because Olmos failed to request a probable cause conference or submit a written response to the Report by the statutory deadline, the Enforcement Division submitted an Ex Parte Request for a Finding of Probable Cause and an Order that an Accusation Be Prepared and Served to the Hearing Officer of the Commission on August 9, 2017. (Certification, Exhibit A–4.)

On August 17, 2017, Hearing Officer Brian Lau, Senior Commission Counsel, Legal Division, issued a Finding of Probable Cause and an Order to Prepare and Serve an Accusation on Olmos, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee. (Certification, Exhibit A–5.)

C. The Issuance and Service of the Accusation

Under the Act, if the Hearing Officer makes a finding of probable cause, the Enforcement Division must prepare an accusation pursuant to Section 11503 of the APA, and have it served on the persons who are the subject of the probable cause finding.¹³

Section 11503 states:

A hearing to determine whether a right, authority, license, or privilege should be revoked, suspended, limited, or conditioned shall be initiated by filing an accusation or District Statement of Reduction in Force. The accusation or District Statement of Reduction in Force shall be a written statement of charges that shall set forth in ordinary and concise language the acts or omissions with which the respondent is charged, to the end that the respondent will be able to prepare his or her defense. It shall specify the statutes and rules that the respondent is alleged to have violated, but shall not consist merely of charges phrased in the language of those statutes and rules. The accusation or District Statement of Reduction in Force shall be verified unless made by a public officer acting in his or her official capacity or by an employee of the agency before which the proceeding is to be held. The verification may be on information and belief.

Upon the filing of the accusation, the agency must 1) serve a copy thereof on the respondent as provided in Section 11505, subdivision (c); 2) include a post card or other form entitled Notice of Defense that, when signed by or on behalf of the respondent and returned to the agency, will acknowledge service of the accusation and constitute a notice of defense under Section 11506; 3) include (i) a statement that respondent may request a hearing by filing a notice of defense as

¹³ Regulation 18361.4, subdivision (e).

provided in Section 11506 within 15 days after service upon the respondent of the accusation, and that failure to do so will constitute a waiver of the respondent's right to a hearing, and (ii) copies of Sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7.¹⁴ The APA also sets forth the language required in the accompanying statement to the respondent.¹⁵

The Accusation and accompanying information may be sent to the respondent by any means selected by the agency, but no order adversely affecting the rights of the respondent may be made by the agency in any case unless the respondent has been served personally or by registered mail as set forth in the APA. ¹⁶

On September 19, 2017, the Commission's Chief of Enforcement, Galena West, issued an Accusation against Olmos, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee in this matter. (Certification, Exhibit A-6.) In accordance with Section 11505, the Accusation and accompanying information, consisting of a Statement to Respondent, two copies of a Notice of Defense Form, copies of Government Code Sections 11506, 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7, were personally served on Olmos on September 28, 2017. (Certification, Exhibit A–7 and 8.)

Along with the Accusation, the Enforcement Division served Olmos with a "Statement to Respondent," which notified her that she could request a hearing on the merits and warned that, unless a Notice of Defense was filed within 15 days of service of the Accusation, she would be deemed to have waived the right to a hearing. (Certification, Exhibit A–8.) Olmos did not file a Notice of Defense within the statutory time period, which ended on October 13, 2017.

As a result, on February 20, 2018, the Enforcement Division sent a letter to Olmos advising that this matter would be submitted for a Default Decision and Order at the Commission's public meeting scheduled for March 22, 2018. (Certification, Exhibit A–19.) A copy of the Default Decision and Order, and this accompanying Exhibit 1 with attachments, was included with the letter.

SUMMARY OF THE LAW

The Act requires elected officials, candidates, and committees to file a semi-annual campaign statement each year by July 31 for the period ending June 30, and by January 31 for the period ending December 31.¹⁷

Under the Act, a committee must report on its campaign statements the total amount of contributions received during the reporting period, the total amount of contributions received during the reporting period from persons who have given \$100 or more, the total amount of contributions received during the reporting period from persons who have given less than \$100, the total amount of expenditures made during the period to persons who have received less than \$100, and in cases where persons have received more than \$100, the name, address, the amount of

¹⁴ Section 11505, subdivision (a).

¹⁵ Section 11505, subdivision (b).

¹⁶ Section 11505, subdivision (c).

¹⁷ Section 84200.

each expenditure, and a brief description of the consideration shall be reported on the committee's campaign statement.¹⁸

Under the Act, it is the duty of the candidate and the treasurer of a controlled committee to ensure that the committee complies with all the requirements of the Act concerning the receipt, expenditure, and reporting of funds. ¹⁹ The candidate and treasurer may be held jointly and severally liable, along with the committee, for violations committed by the committee. ²⁰

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

School Board Committee

On November 5, 2013, Olmos was re-elected to the school board of the Valle Lindo Elementary School District located in Los Angeles County. On the semi-annual statement covering the reporting period of October 20, 2013 – December 31, 2013, the School Board Committee disclosed that they had an ending cash balance of \$1,289.26. (Certification, Exhibit A–9.) The School Board Committee failed to timely file semi-annual campaign statement for the reporting periods of January 1, 2014 – June 30, 2014, due July 31, 2014, July 1, 2014 – December 31, 2014, due February 2, 2015, and January 1, 2015 – June 30, 2015, due July 31, 2015.

The School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement for the reporting period of January 1, 2014 – June 30, 2014, due July 31, 2014. Per the referral from the Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk (the "Clerk"), the Clerk notified Olmos and the School Board Committee of the outstanding statement as follows: verbal notification was given on August 7, 2014, written notification was given on August 11, 2014, verbal notification was given again on August 21, 2014, and a final notice in writing was provided on September 11, 2014. (Certification, Exhibit A–10.) On October 3, 2014, the Enforcement Division received a referral from the Clerk's office regarding the statement due July 31, 2014. (Certification, Exhibit A–10.)

The School Board Committee failed to timely file a second semi-annual campaign statement for the reporting period of July 1, 2014 – December 31, 2014, due February 2, 2015. According to the Clerk, Olmos and the School Board Committee were notified about the outstanding statement as follows: written notification was given on February 6, 2015, verbal notification was provided on February 17, 2015, written notification was given again on February 25, 2015, and a final verbal notification was provided on March 10, 2015. (Certification, Exhibit A–11.) On March 16, 2015, the Enforcement Division received a referral for the statement due February 2, 2015. (Certification, Exhibit A–11.)

On June 22, 2015, the Enforcement Division notified Olmos and the School Board Committee in writing that they had failed to file semi-annual campaign statements for the periods of January 1, 2014 – June 30, 2014 and July 1, 2014 – December 31, 2014. (Certification, Exhibit A–12.)

¹⁸ Section 84211, subdivisions (a), (d), (f), (j), and (k).

¹⁹ Sections 81004, 84100, 84213, and Regulation 18427.

²⁰ Sections 83116.5 and 91006.

For the January 1, 2015 – June 30, 2015 reporting period, Olmos and the School Board Committee filed an officeholder and candidate statement short form (Form 470) instead of a standard semi-annual campaign statement (Form 460). (Certification, Exhibit A–13.) The Form 470 is to be filed by candidates that do not have controlled committees. The School Board Committee was never terminated. Therefore, Olmos and the School Board Committee were required to file the standard semi-annual campaign statement.

On or around July 14, 2015, Olmos was notified in writing by the Clerk's office that she had filed the wrong form and needed to file a Form 460. (Certification, Exhibit A–14.). Olmos failed to file a Form 460 for the January 1, 2015 – June 30, 2015 reporting period despite being informed of her obligation to do so.

City Council Committee

On November 3, 2015, Olmos was elected to the South El Monte City Council. (Certification, Exhibit A–15.) On the pre-election statement covering the reporting period of September 20, 2015 – October 17, 2015, the City Council Committee failed to include required information regarding its expenditures. The only information reported on the statement regarding expenditures was on the statement summary page. The statement did not include schedules D, E, and F, which would provide specific information regarding expenditures. (Certification, Exhibit A–16.) Further, the reporting of expenditures on the summary page was not accurate. The total amount of expenditures reported was \$5,340, but this exceeded the amount of money the campaign reported having in the City Council Committee's bank account during this reporting period, which was approximately \$2,627 (contributions received plus beginning cash balance). (Certification, Exhibit A–16.)

On the semi-annual statement covering the reporting period of October 18, 2015 – December 31, 2015, the City Council Committee reported making approximately \$4,918 in expenditures and acquiring \$1,700 in accrued expenses. (Certification, Exhibit A–17). However, the City Council Committee did not report any details of the expenditures and accrued expenses on any of the applicable schedules of the campaign statement. (Certification, Exhibit A–17.)

Summary of Contacts

Overall, Olmos was contacted at least 22 times regarding her duty to timely file campaign statements, as follows:

- On or around August 7, 2014, Olmos was given verbal notification from the Clerk.
- On or around August 11, 2014, Olmos was sent written notification from the Clerk.
- On or around August 21, 2014, Olmos was given verbal notification from the Clerk.
- On or around September 11, 2014, Olmos was sent written notification from the Clerk.
- On or around February 6, 2015, Olmos was sent written notification from the Clerk.
- On or around February 17, 2015, Olmos was given verbal notification from the Clerk.
- On or around February 25, 2015, Olmos was sent written notification from the Clerk.
- On or around March 10, 2015, Olmos was given verbal notification from the Clerk.

- On or around June 22, 2015, written notification from the Enforcement Division was sent to Olmos.
- On or around July 14, 2015, written notification from the Clerk was sent to Olmos.
- On June 13, 2017, Olmos was personally served with a probable cause report.
- On September 28, 2017, Olmos was personally served with an accusation.
- On October 20, 2017, a staff member of the Enforcement Division left a voicemail for Olmos. (Certification, Exhibit A–18)
- On November 17, 2017, a staff member of the Enforcement Division left a voicemail for Olmos. (Certification, Exhibit A–18.)
- On November 21, 2017, a staff member of the Enforcement Division called Olmos but was unable to leave a voicemail because the mail box was full. (Certification, Exhibit A–18.)
- On January 9, 2018, a staff member of the Enforcement Division sent Olmos an email to her city council email account to inform her a default would be submitted to the Commission in February and that she still had time to resolve this matter. (Certification, Exhibit A-18)
- On January 10, 2018, a staff member of the Enforcement Division left a voicemail for Olmos on her personal phone. (Certification, Exhibit A-18)
- On January 10, 2018, a staff member of the Enforcement Division sent Olmos an email to her personal email account to inform her a default would be submitted to the Commission in February and that she still had time to resolve this matter. (Certification, Exhibit A-18)
- On January 10, 2018, a staff member of the Enforcement Division left a message with a receptionist at Olmos' city council office to have her call him. (Certification, Exhibit A-18)
- On January 11, 2018, a staff member of the Enforcement Division left a message with the receptionist at Olmos' city council office. (Certification, Exhibit A-18)
- On January 11, 2018, a staff member of the Enforcement Division left a voicemail on Olmos' personal phone. (Certification, Exhibit A-18)
- On January 11, 2018, Olmos called back and agreed to file and amend her statements as needed. The staff member explained submission of the default would continue as planned until the matter was fully resolved. (Certification, Exhibit A-18)

As of February 21, 2018, Olmos has not filed her outstanding statements or amended her statements as required.

VIOLATIONS

Olmos, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee committed five violations of the Act, as follows:

COUNT 1

Failure to Timely File a Semi-Annual Campaign Statement

Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement covering the reporting period of January 1, 2014 –June 30, 2014, by the July 31, 2014 deadline, in violation Government Code section 84200, subdivision (a).

COUNT 2

Failure to Timely File a Semi-Annual Campaign Statement

Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement covering the reporting period of July 1, 2014 –December 31, 2014, by the February 2, 2015 deadline, in violation of Government Code section 84200, subdivision (a).

COUNT 3

Failure to Timely File a Semi-Annual Campaign Statement

Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement covering the reporting period of January 1, 2015 –June 30, 2015, by the July 31, 2015 deadline, in violation of Government Code section 84200, subdivision (a).

COUNT 4

Failure to Timely Report Expenditures

Olmos and the City Council Committee failed to timely and accurately report expenditures made, on the pre-election statement covering the reporting period of September 20, 2015 – October 17, 2015, in violation of Government Code section 84211, subdivisions (j) and (k)

COUNT 5

Failure to Timely Report Expenditures

Olmos and the City Council Committee failed to timely fully and accurately report expenditures and accrued expenses on the applicable schedules of the semi-annual statement covering the reporting period of October 18, 2015 – December 31, 2015, in violation of Government Code section 84211, subdivisions (j) and (k).

CONCLUSION

This matter consists of five Counts of violating the Act, which carries a maximum administrative penalty of \$25,000.²¹

In determining the appropriate penalty for a particular violation of the Act, the Enforcement Division considers the typical treatment of a violation in the overall statutory scheme of the Act, with an emphasis on serving the purposes and intent of the Act. Additionally, the Enforcement Division considers the facts and circumstances of the violation in the context of the following factors set forth in Regulation 18361.5 subdivision (d)(1) through (6): (1)The seriousness of the violation; (2) The presence or absence of any intention to conceal, deceive or mislead; (3) Whether the violation was deliberate, negligent or inadvertent; (4) Whether the violator demonstrated good

²¹ Section 83116, subdivision (c).

faith by consulting the Commission staff or any other governmental agency in a manner not constituting complete defense under Government Code section 83114(b); (5) Whether the violation was isolated or part of a pattern and whether the violator has a prior record of violations of the Political Reform Act or similar laws; and (6) Whether the violator, upon learning of a reporting violation, voluntarily filed amendments to provide full disclosure.

The Enforcement Division does not have any evidence indicating that Olmos, the School Board Committee, or the City Committee intentionally concealed information from the public. The violations appear to be the result of the candidate's negligence in fulfilling her filing and reporting obligations. Additionally, Olmos previously received a warning letter in FPPC Case No. 07/0422 for failing to file a semi-annual campaign statement.

A comparable case for failing to timely file semi-annual campaign statements recently approved by the Commission is:

In the Matter of Committee to Elect Marina Fraser, Marina Fraser, and Jackie M. Buckley; FPPC Case No. 16/140 (approved April 20, 2017), the Commission approved a default judgement against the respondents for failing to timely file semi-annual campaign statements in amount of \$4,000 per Count (8 Counts). Marina Fraser was a successful candidate for the Half Moon Bay City Council. In this matter, the Committee disclosed on the last statement it filed an ending cash balance of \$8,769.40. The Committee failed to file statements for 8 subsequent periods. The statements were not filed.

Regarding Counts 1 and 2, the circumstances regarding the School Board's unfiled statements are similar to *Fraser*. First, Olmos was a successful candidate for school board of the Valley Lindo Elementary School District. Second, the amount of activity is similar. Third, the School Board Committee has not filed the outstanding statements. Based on the similarity of the circumstances, a penalty of \$4,000 per Count is recommended for Counts 1-2.

Regarding Count 3, all of the factors discussed for Counts 1 and 2 are the same with the exception that the School Board Committee filed a 470 for this reporting period providing some disclosure unlike in *Marina Fraser* where there was no disclosure. However, the School Board Committee still did not file the required semi-annual campaign statement. Based on the mitigating factor, a penalty of \$2,000 is recommended for Count 3.

A comparable case for failing to timely report campaign activity that was recently approved by the Commission is:

In the Matter of Sonny Dhaliwal, Sonny Dhaliwal for City Council 2010, and Sarabjit Dhaliwal FPPC Case No. 12/086 (approved June 19, 2014), the Commission approved a default judgement in the amount of \$4,000 per Count (4 Counts) for the respondent's failure to report receiving \$4,600 over 5 reporting periods.

The failure of the City Council Committee to timely and accurately report expenditures denied the public information regarding where it was spending money like *Dhaliwal*. Therefore, a penalty of \$4,000 per Count is recommended for Counts 4 and 5.

PROPOSED PENALTY

After considering the factors of Regulation 18361.5 and the penalties imposed in prior cases, it is recommended the following penalties are imposed against Olmos, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee: a penalty of 10,000 against Olmos and the School Board Committee for Counts 1-3 and penalty of 8,000 against Olmos and the City Council Committee for Counts 4 and 5.



DECLARATION OF CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS CALIFORNIA FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION Enforcement Division

CERTIFICATION OF RECORDS

The undersigned declares and certifies as follows:

- 1. I am employed as a Staff Services Analyst by the California Fair Political Practices Commission (Commission). My business address is: California Fair Political Practices Commission, 1102 Q Street, Suite 3000, Sacramento, CA 95811.
- 2. I am a duly authorized custodian of the records maintained by the Commission in the Enforcement Division. As such, I am authorized to certify copies of those records as being true and correct copies of the original business records which are in the custody of the Commission.
- 3. I have reviewed documents maintained in FPPC Case No. 14/1263; Gloria Olmos, Re-Elect Gloria Olmos for School Board 2013 and Committee to Elect Gloria Olmos for South El Monte City Council Member 2015, and have caused copies to be made of documents contained therein. I certify that the copies attached hereto are true and correct copies of the documents prepared in the normal course of business and which are contained in files maintained by the Commission. The attached documents are as follows:
- EXHIBIT A-1: Report in Support of a Finding of Probable Cause, dated May 8, 2017
- EXHIBIT A-2: Proof of Service on June 13, 2017 for the Report in Support of a Finding of Probable Cause and applicable statutes and regulations, dated June 28, 2017
- EXHIBIT A-3: Cover letter to the respondents, dated May 8, 2017, regarding the Report in Support of a Finding of Probable Cause, memorandum describing Probable Cause Proceedings, and applicable statutes and regulations
- EXHIBIT A-4: Ex Parte Request for a Finding of Probable Cause and an Order that an Accusation Be Prepared and Served, dated August 9, 2017
- EXHIBIT A-5: Finding of Probable Cause and Order to Prepare and Serve an Accusation, dated August 17, 2017

- EXHIBIT A-6: Accusation, dated September 19, 2017
- EXHIBIT A-7: Statement to the Respondents, Notices of Defense, applicable statutes, and Proof of Service for Accusation and accompanying documents
- EXHIBIT A-8: Proof of Service on September 28, 2017 regarding Accusation and accompanying documents from process server, dated September 29, 2017
- EXHIBIT A-9: Cover pages and summary page of semi-annual statement covering the reporting period of October 20, 2013 December 31, 2013, filed on February 6, 2014
- EXHIBIT A-10: Notifications and referral to the Enforcement Division from the Los Angeles County Registrar Recorder/County Clerk pertaining to the reporting period of January 1, 2014 June 30, 2014
- EXHIBIT A-11: Notifications and referral to the Enforcement Division from the Los Angeles County Registrar Recorder/County Clerk pertaining to the reporting period of July 1, 2014 December 31, 2014
- EXHIBIT A-12: Notification from the Enforcement Division to Olmos and the School Board Committee pertaining to the unfiled statements for the reporting period of January 1, 2014 June 30, 2014 and July 1, 2014 December 31, 2014
- EXHIBIT A-13: Officeholder and Candidate Statement Short Form (Form 470) filed on July 15, 2015
- EXHIBIT A-14: Notification from the Los Angeles County Registrar Recorder/County Clerk pertaining unfiled semi-annual statement covering the reporting period of January 1, 2015 June 30, 2015
- EXHIBIT A-15: Election results for the South El Monte City Council Election
- EXHIBIT A-16: Pre-Election statement covering the reporting period of September 20, 2015 October 17, 2015, filed on October 22, 2015
- EXHIBIT A-17: Semi-Annual statement covering the reporting period of October 18, 2015 December 31, 2015, filed on February 1, 2016
- EXHIBIT A-18: Declaration of Michael W. Hamilton in support of Default Decision and Order

EXHIBIT A-19: Letter sent to Olmos regarding intent to Enter into Default Decision and Order, dated February 14, 2018

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on February 22, 2018, at Sacramento, California.

Dominika Wojenska Staff Services Analyst, Enforcement Division Fair Political Practices Commission Exhibit A-1

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER FPPC NO. 14/1263

		· ·	
	1 GALENA WEST Chief of Enforcement		
	MICHAEL W. HAMILTON Commission Counsel		
	3 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSI	ION	
	4 Sacramento, CA 95814		
	Telephone: (916) 322-5772 Facsimile: (916) 322-1932		
	6 Attorneys for Complainant Enforcement Division of the Fair Patrice Patrice		
	Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission		
	BEFORE THE EARD DOLLARS		
9	BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of) FPPC No. 14/1263	
12)	
13	II ODOMA ODMOS. KE-FLECT (SLADIA) REPORT IN SUPPORT OF A	
14	AND ELECT GLORIA OF MOS FOR) REPORT IN SUPPORT OF A FINDING OF) PROBABLE CAUSE	
15	SOUTH EL MONTE CITY COUNCIL MEMBER 2015,	Conference Date: TBA Conference Time: TBA	
16		Conference Location: Commission Offices	
17	Respondents.	428 J Street, Suite 620 Sacramento, CA 95814	
18			
19]		
20	INTROD	INTRODUCTION	
21	Respondent Gloria Olmos ("Olmos") was re-elected to the Valle Lindo Elementary School District		
22	in 2013. Respondent Re-Elect Gloria Olmos for School Board 2013 (the "School Board Committee") is		
23	her candidate controlled committee. Olmos served as the treasurer of the School Board Committee. The		
24	Political Reform Act (the "Act") requires candidates and committees to file semi-annual campaign		
25	campaign		
26	¹ The Political Reform Act is contained in Government 81000 through 91014. The regulations of the Fair Political are contained in Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations.		
27	contained in Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations.		
28	REPORT IN SUPPORT OF FINDING OF PROBABLE CAUSE		
	FPPC Case No. 14/1263		

///

statements bi-annually. Olmos and the School Board Committee violated the Act by failing to file three semi-annual campaign statements.

Approximately two years later, Olmos was elected to the South El Monte City Council. Respondent the Committee to Elect Gloria Olmos for South El Monte City Council Member 2015 (the "City Council Committee") was Olmos' controlled committee. Olmos and the City Council Committee violated the Act by failing to report the following: the total amount of contributions received, the expenditures made by the City Council Committee, the City Council Committee's accrued expenses, and a late contribution received by the City Council Committee.

SUMMARY OF THE LAW

All legal references and discussions of law pertain to the Act's provisions as they existed at the time of the violations.

Jurisdiction

The Fair Political Practices Commission (the "Commission") has administrative jurisdiction to enforce the provisions of the Act.²

Probable Cause Proceedings

Prior to the Enforcement Division commencing an administrative action, the General Counsel of the Commission or her designee (the "hearing officer"), must make a finding that there is probable cause to believe the respondent has violated the Act.³ After a finding of probable cause, the Commission may hold a noticed hearing in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act⁴ to determine whether violations occurred, and levy an administrative penalty of up to \$5,000 for each violation.⁵

² § 83116.

³ § 83115.5, and Reg. 18361 and 18361.4.

⁴ § 11500, et seq.

⁵ § 83116, and Reg. 18361.4, subdivision. (e).

Standard for Finding Probable Cause

To make a finding of probable cause, the hearing officer must be presented with sufficient evidence to lead a person of ordinary caution and prudence to believe, or entertain a strong suspicion, that a respondent committed or caused a violation.⁶

Need for Liberal Construction and Vigorous Enforcement of the Political Reform Act

When enacting the Political Reform Act, the people of the state of California found and declared that previous laws regulating political practices suffered from inadequate enforcement by state and local authorities.⁷ To that end, the Act must be liberally construed to achieve its purposes.⁸

Semi-Annual Campaign Statements

The Act requires elected officials, candidates, and committees to file a semi-annual campaign statement each year by July 31 for the period ending June 30, and by January 31 for the period ending December 31.9

Late In Kind Contributions

The Act requires each candidate or committee that receives a late in kind contribution to file a 24-hour report within 48 hours of the time the contribution is received.¹⁰

Contents of a Campaign Statement

Under the Act, a committee must report on its campaign statements the total amount of contributions received during the reporting period, the total amount of contributions received during the reporting period from persons who have given \$100 or more, the total amount of contributions received during the reporting period from person who have given less than \$100, the total amount of expenditures made during the period to persons who have received less than \$100, and in cases where persons have

⁶ Reg. 18361.4, subdivision, (e).

⁷ § 81001, subd., (h).

⁸ § 81003.

¹⁰ § 84203.3, subd. (b).

9

11 12

10

13

15

14

16 17

18

20 21

19

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

received more than \$100, the name, address, the amount of each expenditure, and a brief description of the consideration shall be reported on the committee's campaign statement. 11

Candidate and Treasurer Liability

Under the Act, it is the duty of the candidate and the treasurer of a controlled committee to ensure that the committee complies with all the requirements of the Act concerning the receipt, expenditure, and reporting of funds. 12 The candidate and treasurer may be held jointly and severally liable, along with the committee, for violations committed by the committee. 13

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

School Board Committee

On November 5, 2013, Olmos was re-elected to the school board of the Valle Lindo Elementary School District located in Los Angeles County. The last semi-annual campaign statement filed by Olmos and the School Board Committee on February 6, 2014, disclosed an ending cash balance of \$1,289.26.

Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement for the reporting period January 1, 2014 - June 30, 2014, due July 31, 2014. The Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk (the "Clerk") sent Olmos and the School Board Committee two written notifications, dated August 11, 2014 and September 11, 2014. According to the call report from the Clerk's office, the Clerk also provided Olmos and the School Board Committee with verbal notifications on August 7, 2014 and August 21, 2014. On October 3, 2014, the Enforcement Division received a referral from the Clerk's Office regarding the statement due July 31, 2014.

Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a second semi-annual campaign statement for the reporting period of July 1, 2014 - December 31, 2014, due February 2, 2015. On February 6, 2015 and February 25, 2015, the Clerk sent Olmos and the School Board Committee two written notifications regarding the outstanding statements. According to the call report from the Clerk's office, the Clerk also gave Olmos and the School Board Committee two verbal notifications on February

^{11 § 84211,} subd. (a), (d), (f), (j), and (k).
12 §§ 81004, 84100 84213, and Regulation 18427.
13 §§ 83116.5 and 91006.

17, 2015 and March 10, 2015. On March 16, 2015, the Enforcement Division received a referral for the statement due February 2, 2015. On June 22, 2015, the Enforcement Division notified Olmos and the School Board Committee in writing that they had failed to failed to file semi-annual campaign statements for the periods of January 1, 2014 – June 30, 2014 and July 1, 2014 – December 31, 2014.

For the January 1, 2015 – June 30, 2015 reporting period, Olmos filed an officeholder and candidate statement short form (Form 470) instead of a standard semi-annual campaign statement. On or around July 14, 2015, Olmos was notified in writing by the Clerk's office that she had filed the wrong form and needed to file standard semi-annual campaign statement. Candidates and officeholders who have an open committee are not permitted to file the Form 470 because it does not contain any information about contributions received or expenditures made and requires the public official to sign under penalty of perjury that they will not raise or spend more than \$2,000 for the entire calendar year, which would cause them to be required to file as a recipient committee. The Enforcement Division learned that Olmos and the School Board Committee had not filed the standard semi-annual campaign statement during a phone conversation with the Clerk's office.

To date, Olmos has not filed the School Board Committee's semi-annual campaign statements with the Clerk.

City Council Committee

On November 5, 2015, Olmos filed an amended statement of organization with the Secretary of State's Office that renamed the School Board as the City Council Committee. Olmos then treated the City Council Committee as her candidate controlled committee for the city council election. Olmos and the City Council Committee failed to properly report campaign expenditures and contributions on the committee's campaign statements. On the pre-election statement covering the reporting period of September 20, 2015 – October 17, 2015, Olmos and the City Council Committee reported on the summary page making approximately \$5,340 in expenditures and acquiring \$3,700 in accrued expenses. However, Olmos and the City Council Committee did not report any details of the expenditures and accrued expenses on any applicable schedule of the campaign statement. Additionally, the expenditures

///

Olmos and the City Council Committee report making during this period exceed both the beginning cash balance and the contributions received during this period.

On the semi-annual statement covering the reporting period of October 18, 2015 – December 31, 2015, Olmos and the City Council Committee report making approximately \$4,918 in expenditures and acquiring \$1,700 in accrued expenses. However, Olmos and the City Council Committee do not report any details of the expenditures and accrued expenses on any of the applicable schedules of the campaign statement. Additionally, the expenditures Olmos and the City Council Committee report making during this period exceed both the beginning cash balance and the contributions received during this period.

On October 30, 2015, a committee by the name Grow Elect filed a 24-hour report to disclose making two in kind contributions totaling approximately \$4,175 to the City Council Committee. Olmos and the City Council Committee did not file a 24-hour report to disclose receiving these contributions.

VIOLATIONS

Count 1: Failure to File a Semi-Annual Campaign Statement

Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement for the January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014 reporting period by the July 31, 2014, deadline in violation of section 84200, subdivision (a).

Count 2: Failure to File a Semi-Annual Campaign Statement

Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement for the July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014 reporting period by the February 2, 2015 deadline, in violation of section 84200, subdivision (a).

Count 3: Failure to File a Semi-Annual Campaign Statement

Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement for the January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015 reporting period by July 31, 2015 deadline, in violation of section 84200, subdivision (a).

Count 4: Contents of a Campaign Statement 1 2 Olmos and the City Council Committee failed to report expenditures, accrued expenses, and the total amount of contributions received during the pre-election statement covering the reporting period of 3 September 20, 2015 - October 17, 2015, in violation of Government Code section 84211, subdivisions 4 5 (a), (j), and (k). Count 5: Contents of a Campaign Statement 6 7 Olmos and the City Council Committee failed to report expenditures, accrued expenses, and the total amount of contributions received during the semi-annual reporting period of October 18, 2015 -8 December 31, 2015, in violation of Government Code section 84211, subdivisions (a), (j), and (k). 9 10 Count 6: Failure to timely file a 24-hour Report 11 Olmos and the City Council Committee failed to timely file a 24-hour report within 48 hours of receiving a in kind contribution of \$1,000 or more, in violation of Government Code sections 84203, 12 subdivision (a) and 84203.3, subdivision (b). 13 14 EXCULPATORY AND MITIGATING INFORMATION 15 At this time, no exculpatory or mitigating factors are known. 16 /// 17 /// 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

CONCLUSION

Probable cause exists to believe that Olmos and the School Board Committee committed three violations of the Act as set forth above in Counts 1-3. Additionally, probable cause exists to believe that Olmos and the City Council Committee committed three violations of the Act as set forth above in Counts 4-6. The Enforcement Division respectfully requests an order finding probable cause pursuant to Section 83115.5 and Regulation 18361.4.

Dated: 5/8/17

Respectfully Submitted,

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Galena West

Chief of Enforcement

By: Michael W. Hamilton Commission Counsel Enforcement Division



ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: FOR COURT USE ONLY GALENA WEST FAIR POLITCAL PRACTICES COMMISSION 428 J. STREET STE 620 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 TELEPHONE NO.: (916) 322-5660 FAX NO.: (916) 322-1932 ATTORNEY FOR: FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO STREET ADDRESS: 428 J STREET, SUITE 620 MAILING ADDRESS: 428 J STREET, SUITE 620 CITY AND ZIP CODE: SACRAMENTO, 95814 BRANCH NAME: RE: FPPC 14/1263: THE PEOPLE CASE NUMBER: RESPONDENT: GLORIA OLMOS, RE-ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOOL FPPC 14/1263 BOARD 2013, AND ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SOUTH MONTE CITY COUNSIL MEMBER 2015 Ref. No. or File No.: PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

- At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
- 2. I served copies of:

f. other (specifiy documents):

REPORT IN SUPPORT OF A FINDING OF PROBABLE CAUSE; PROBABLE CAUSE FACT SHEET;

3. a. Party served: GLORIA OLMOS

Address where the party was so

- 5. served the party
 - by personal service. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to receive service of process for the party on 6/13/2017 at 6:30 pm
- The "Notice to the Person Served" (on the summons) was completed as follows: 6.
 - as an individual defendant.
- 7. Person who served papers

a. Name: Carlos Abrego

Firm: C.A. PROCESS SERVICE

- Address: 14800 Rinaldi St #24, Mission Hills, CA 91345 b.
- Telephone number: (800) 331-1072
- The fee for the service was: \$105.00 d.
- e I am:
 - (3) a registered California process server:

 - Registration No.: 6456 (ii)
 - (iii) County: Los Angeles

RE: FPPC 14/1263: THE PEOPLE
RESPONDENT: GLORIA OLMOS, RE-ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOOL
BOARD 2013, AND ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SOUTH:
MONTE CITY COUNSIL MEMBER 2015

8. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

CASE NUMBER:
FPPC 14/1263

FPPC 14/1263

CASE NUMBER:
FPPC 14/1263

FPPC 14/1263

RESPONDENT:

(SIGNATURE)

Page 2 of 2

Exhibit A-3

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER FPPC NO. 14/1263



FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

428 J Street · Suite 620 · Sacramento, CA 95814-2329 (916) 322-5660 · Fax (916) 322-0886

May 8, 2017

CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Gloria Olmos

In the Matter of Gloria Olmos, Re-Elect Gloria Olmos For School Board 2013, and Elect Gloria Olmos for South El Monte City Council Member 2015; FPPC No. 14/1263

Dear Ms. Olmos:

The Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission is proceeding with an administrative action against you for your failure to comply with the filing and disclosure provisions of the Political Reform Act (the "Act"), as described in our previous correspondence dated June 22, 2015. The enclosed Report in Support of a Finding of Probable Cause (the "Report") contains a summary of the alleged violations and the relevant law and evidence.

You have the right to file a written response to the Report. That response may contain any information you think is relevant and that you wish to bring to the attention of the Commission's Hearing Officer. In your response, please indicate whether you would like the Hearing Officer to make a determination of probable cause based on the written materials alone (the Report and your response) or request a conference, during which you may orally present your case to the Hearing Officer. Probable cause conferences are held in our office which is located at 428 J Street, Ste. 620, Sacramento, CA 95814. You may appear at the conference in person or by telephone and you are entitled to be represented by counsel. If you wish to submit a written response or request a probable cause conference, it must be filed with the Commission Assistant at the address listed above within 21 days from the date of service of this letter.

Please note that probable cause conferences are not settlement conferences. The sole purpose of a probable cause conference is to determine whether there is probable cause to believe that the Act was violated. However, settlement discussions are encouraged by the Commission and may take place at any time except during a probable cause conference. If you are interested in reaching a settlement in this matter, please contact Michael Hamilton at (916) 322-5772 or mhamilton@fppc.ca.gov.

Finally, you have the right to request discovery of the evidence in possession of, and relied upon by, the Enforcement Division. *This request must also be filed with the Commission Assistant within 21 days from the date of service of this letter.* Should you request discovery, the Enforcement Division will provide the evidence by service of process or certified mail. From the date you are served with the evidence, you would have an additional 21 days to file a written response to the Report, just as described above.

Should you take no action within 21 days from the date of service of this letter, your rights to respond and to request a conference are automatically waived and the Enforcement Division will independently pursue the issuance of an accusation.

For your convenience, I have enclosed a fact sheet on probable cause proceedings and copies of the most relevant statutes and regulations.

Sincerely,

Michael W. Hamilton Commission Counsel Enforcement Division

Enclosures (3)

PROBABLE CAUSE FACT SHEET

INTRODUCTION

The Fair Political Practices Commission is required by law to determine whether probable cause exists to believe that the Political Reform Act (the "Act") was violated before a public administrative accusation may be issued.

The probable cause proceedings before the Fair Political Practices Commission are unique, and most respondents and their attorneys are unfamiliar with them. Therefore, we have prepared this summary to acquaint you with the process.

THE LAW

Government Code sections 83115.5 and 83116 set forth the basic requirement that a finding of probable cause be made in a "private" proceeding before a public accusation is issued and a public hearing conducted in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act.

The Commission has promulgated regulations further defining the probable cause procedure and delegating to the General Counsel (the "Hearing Officer" for purposes of these proceedings) the authority to preside over such proceedings and decide probable cause. A copy of these statutes and regulations are attached for your convenience.

In summary, the statutes and regulations entitle you to the following:

- a) A written probable cause report containing a summary of the law alleged to have been violated, and a summary of the evidence, including any exculpatory and mitigating information and any other relevant material and arguments;
- b) The opportunity to request discovery, respond in writing, and to request a probable cause conference within 21 days of service of the probable cause report;
- c) If the Commission met to consider whether a civil lawsuit should be filed in this matter, a copy of any staff memoranda submitted to the Commission and a transcript of staff discussions with the Commission at any such meeting: and
- d) If a timely request was made, a non-public conference with the General Counsel and the Enforcement Division staff to consider whether or not probable cause exists to believe the Act was violated.

THE PROCEDURE

Probable Cause Report

Administrative enforcement proceedings are commenced with the service, by registered or certified mail or in person, of a probable cause report. The report will contain a summary of the law and the evidence, including any exculpatory and mitigating information of which the staff has knowledge and any other relevant material and arguments. It is filed with the Hearing Officer.

Discovery

Within 21 calendar days following the service of the probable cause report, you may request discovery of the evidence in the possession of the Enforcement Division. This is not a right to full discovery of the Enforcement Division file, but to the evidence relied upon by the Division along with any exculpatory or mitigating evidence¹.

This request must be sent by registered or certified mail to the Commission Assistant.

Response to Probable Cause Report

Within 21 calendar days following the service of the probable cause report (or, if you timely requested discovery, within 21 calendar days from the service of the evidence) you may submit a response to the Report. By regulation, the written response may contain, "... a summary of evidence, legal arguments, and any mitigating or exculpatory information." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 18361.4, subd. (c).)

You must file your response with the Commission Assistant and provide a copy, by service of process or registered or certified mail with return receipt requested, to all other proposed respondents listed in the probable cause report.

Staff Reply

Within 10 calendar days following the date the response was filed with the Commission Assistant, Commission staff may submit any evidence or argument in rebuttal. You will be served with a copy of any such reply.

Probable Cause Conference

Probable cause conferences are held at the offices of the Fair Political Practices Commission, which is located at 428 J Street, Ste. 620, Sacramento, CA 95814. You may appear at the conference in person or by telephone. The proceedings are not public unless all proposed respondents agree to open the conference to the public. Otherwise, the probable cause report, any written responses, and the probable cause conference itself are confidential.

Unless the probable cause conference is public, the only persons who may attend are the staff of the Commission, any proposed respondent and his or her attorney or representative, and, at the discretion of the Hearing Officer, witnesses.

The Hearing Officer may, but need not, permit testimony from witnesses. Probable cause conferences are less formal than court proceedings. The rules of evidence do not apply. The conferences will be recorded and a copy of the recording will be provided upon request.

Since it has the burden of proof, the Enforcement Division is permitted to open and close the conference presentations. The Hearing Officer may also hold the record open to receive additional evidence or arguments.

Probable cause conferences are not settlement conferences. The sole purpose of a probable cause conference is to determine whether or not there is probable cause to believe that the

¹ But see Title 2, California Code of Regulations, Section 18362, which states that the Commission provides access to complaints, responses to complaints, and investigative files and information in accordance with the requirements of the Public Records Act. (Govt. Code § 6250, et seq.)

Political Reform Act was violated. Anyone who wishes to discuss settlement with the Enforcement Division may do so before or after the probable cause conference but not during the

Pursuant to Title 2, California Code of Regulations, Section 18361.4, subdivision (e), the Hearing Officer will find probable cause "if the evidence is sufficient to lead a person of ordinary caution and prudence to believe or entertain a strong suspicion that a proposed respondent committed or caused a violation."

Ordinarily, probable cause determinations are made based upon the written probable cause report, any written response by the respondent, any written reply by the Enforcement Division, and the oral arguments presented at the conference. Timely written presentations are strongly recommended.

Probable Cause Order and Accusation

Once the matter is submitted to the Hearing Officer, the probable cause decision will normally be made within ten days. If the Hearing Officer finds probable cause, he will issue a Finding of Probable Cause, which will be publicly announced at the next Commission Meeting. An accusation will be issued soon after the Finding of Probable Cause is publicly announced.

Continuances

Every reasonable effort is made to accommodate the schedules of parties and counsel. However, once a date has been set it is assumed to be firm and will not be continued except upon the order of the Hearing Officer after a showing of good cause. Settlement negotiations will be considered good cause only if the Hearing Officer is presented with a fully executed settlement, or is convinced that settlement is imminent.

Settlements

Settlement discussions may take place at any time except during the probable cause conference. In order to open settlement discussions, a proposed respondent or his or her counsel or representative should present a written offer to settle stating, where appropriate, the violations to be admitted, and the monetary penalty or other remedy to be tendered.

The Enforcement Division attorney assigned to the case will negotiate any potential settlement on behalf of the Fair Political Practices Commission, and will draft the language of the settlement agreement. The Hearing Officer will not directly participate in the negotiations, but will be represented by Enforcement Division attorneys. Staff attorneys will present settlement offers to the Hearing Officer for his/her approval.

CONCLUSION

This fact sheet was intended to give you a brief summary of the probable cause process at the Fair Political Practices Commission. Such a summary cannot answer every question that might arise in such proceedings. Therefore, if you have any questions that are not addressed by this fact sheet or the copies of the law and regulations we have attached, feel free to contact the attorney whose name appears on the probable cause report.

Attachments: Relevant Sections of (1) California Government Code, and (2) Regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission, Title 2, Division 6 of the California Code of Regulations.

CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE

Probable Cause Statutes

§ 83115.5. Probable cause; violation of title; notice of violation; summary of evidence; notice of rights; private proceedings

No finding of probable cause to believe this title has been violated shall be made by the commission unless, at least 21 days prior to the commission's consideration of the alleged violation, the person alleged to have violated this title is notified of the violation by service of process or registered mail with return receipt requested, provided with a summary of the evidence, and informed of his right to be present in person and represented by counsel at any proceeding of the commission held for the purpose of considering whether probable cause exists for believing the person violated this title. Notice to the alleged violator shall be deemed made on the date of service, the date the registered mail receipt is signed, or if the registered mail receipt is not signed, the date returned by the post office. A proceeding held for the purpose of considering probable cause shall be private unless the alleged violator files with the commission a written request that the proceeding be public.

§ 83116. Violation of title; probable cause; hearing; order

When the Commission determines there is probable cause for believing this title has been violated, it may hold a hearing to determine if a violation has occurred. Notice shall be given and the hearing conducted in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act (Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500), Part 1, Division 3, Title 2, Government Code). The Commission shall have all the powers granted by that chapter. When the Commission determines on the basis of the hearing that a violation has occurred, it shall issue an order that may require the violator to do all or any of the following:

- (a) Cease and desist violation of this title.
- (b) File any reports, statements, or other documents or information required by this title.
- (c) Pay a monetary penalty of up to five thousand dollars (\$5,000) per violation to the General Fund of the state. When the Commission determines that no violation has occurred, it shall publish a declaration so stating.

REGULATIONS OF THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION TITLE 2, DIVISION 6 OF THE CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS

Probable Cause Regulations

§ 18361 (b). Delegation by the Executive Director Pertaining to Enforcement Proceedings and Authority to Hear Probable Cause Proceedings.

Probable cause proceedings under Regulation 18361.4 shall be heard by the General Counsel or an attorney from the Legal Division. The General Counsel may delegate the authority to hear probable cause proceedings, in writing, to an administrative law judge.

§ 18361.4. Probable Cause Proceedings

- (a) <u>Probable Cause Report</u>. If the Chief of the Enforcement Division decides to commence probable cause proceedings pursuant to Sections 83115.5 and 83116, he or she shall direct the Enforcement Division staff to prepare a written report, hereafter referred to as "the probable cause report." The probable cause report shall contain a summary of the law and evidence gathered in connection with the investigation, including any exculpatory and mitigating information of which the staff has knowledge and any other relevant material and arguments. The evidence recited in the probable cause report may include hearsay, including declarations of investigators or others relating the statements of witnesses or concerning the examination of physical evidence.
- (b) No probable cause hearing will take place until at least 21 calendar days after the Enforcement Division staff provides the following, by service of process or registered or certified mail with return receipt requested, to all proposed respondents:
 - (1) A copy of the probable cause report;
 - (2) Notification that the proposed respondents have the right to respond in writing to the probable cause report and to request a probable cause conference at which the proposed respondent may be present in person and represented by counsel, and;
 - (3) If the Commission met in executive session on this matter pursuant to Regulation 18361.2, a copy of any staff memoranda submitted to the Commission at that time along with the recording of any discussion between the Commission and the staff at the executive session as required in subdivision (b) of Regulation 18361.2.

(c) Response to Probable Cause Report.

- (1) Each proposed respondent may submit a written response to the probable cause report. The response may contain a summary of evidence, legal arguments, and any mitigating or exculpatory information. A proposed respondent who submits a response must file it with the Commission Assistant who will forward the response to the General Counsel or an attorney in the Legal Division (the "hearing officer") and provide a copy, by service of process or registered or certified mail with return receipt requested, to all other proposed respondents listed in the probable cause report not later than 21 days following service of the probable cause report.
- (2) Within 21 calendar days following the service of the probable cause report, a proposed

respondent may request discovery of evidence in the possession of the Enforcement Division. This request must be sent by registered or certified mail to the Commission Assistant. Upon receipt of the request, the Enforcement Division shall provide discovery of evidence relied upon by the Enforcement Division sufficient to lead a person of ordinary caution and prudence to believe or entertain a strong suspicion that a proposed respondent committed or caused a violation, along with any exculpatory or mitigating evidence. This is not a right to full discovery of the Enforcement Division file. The Enforcement Division shall provide access to documents for copying by the Respondent, or upon agreement among the parties, the Enforcement Division will provide copies of the requested documents upon payment of a fee for direct costs of duplication. The Enforcement Division shall provide such evidence by service of process or registered or certified mail with return receipt requested to all respondents, with a copy to the Commission Assistant. A respondent may submit a written response to the probable cause report described in subsection (1) no later than 21 calendar days after service of discovery.

- (3) The Commission staff may submit any evidence or argument in rebuttal to the response. When the Commission staff submits evidence or argument in rebuttal to the response, it shall provide a copy, by service of process or registered or certified mail with return receipt requested, to all proposed respondents listed in the probable cause report not later than 10 calendar days following the date the response was filed with the Commission Assistant. The hearing officer may extend the time limitations in this section for good cause. At any time prior to a determination of probable cause, the hearing officer may allow additional material to be submitted as part of the initial response or rebuttal.
- (d) Probable Cause Conference. Any proposed respondent may request a probable cause conference. The request shall be served upon the Commission Assistant and all other proposed respondents not later than 21 days after service of the probable cause report unless the hearing officer extends the time for good cause. The Commission Assistant shall fix a time for the probable cause conference and the hearing officer shall conduct the conference informally. The conference shall be closed to the public unless a proposed respondent requests and all other proposed respondents agree to a public conference. If the conference is not public, only members of the Commission staff, any proposed respondent and his or her legal counsel or representative shall have the right to be present and participate. The hearing officer may allow witnesses to attend and participate in part or all of the probable cause conference. In making this determination, the hearing officer shall consider the relevancy of the witness' proposed testimony, whether the witness has a substantial interest in the proceedings, and whether fairness requires that the witness be allowed to participate. Representatives of any civil or criminal prosecutor with jurisdiction may attend the conference at the discretion of the hearing officer if they agree to respect the confidential nature of the proceedings. If the conference is not open to the public and none of the parties and the presiding officer object, the conference may be conducted in whole or in part by telephone. The probable cause conference shall be recorded. The hearing officer may determine whether there is probable cause based solely on the probable cause report, any responses or rebuttals filed and any arguments presented at the probable cause conference by the interested parties. If the hearing officer requires additional information before determining whether there is probable cause, he or she may permit any party to submit additional evidence at the probable cause conference.

(e) Finding of Probable Cause. The hearing officer may find there is probable cause to believe a violation has occurred if the evidence is sufficient to lead a person of ordinary caution and prudence to believe or entertain a strong suspicion that a proposed respondent committed or caused a violation. A finding of probable cause by the hearing officer does not constitute a finding that a violation has actually occurred. The hearing officer shall not make a finding of probable cause if he or she is presented with clear and convincing evidence that, at a time prior to the alleged violation, the violator consulted with the staff of the Commission in good faith, disclosed truthfully all the material facts, and committed the acts complained of either in reliance on the advice of the staff or because of the staff's failure to provide advice. If the hearing officer makes a finding of probable cause, the Enforcement Division shall prepare an Accusation pursuant to Section 11503 and have it served upon the person or persons who are subjects of the probable cause finding. The hearing officer shall publicly announce the finding of probable cause. The announcement shall contain a summary of the allegations and a cautionary statement that the respondent is presumed to be innocent of any violation of the Act unless a violation is proved in a subsequent proceeding. The Chief of the Enforcement Division shall be responsible for the presentation of the case in support of the Accusation at an administrative hearing held pursuant to Section 83116.

§ 18362. Access to Complaint Files

- (a) Access to complaints, responses thereto, and investigative files and information shall be granted in accordance with the requirements of the Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250, et seq.).
- (b) When release of material is requested pursuant to subdivision (a), the Executive Director, or his or her designee, shall review the material prior to its release or prior to a claim of exemption to determine that the requirements of the Public Records Act have been satisfied.
- (c) Any person requesting copies of material pursuant to subdivision (a) shall reimburse the Commission \$0.10 per page for each page copied or supply copying equipment and make copies in the offices of the Commission. Documents may not be removed from the offices of the Commission. If the request is for copies totaling ten pages or less, the copies shall be provided without charge for copying since the administrative costs do not warrant collection of \$1.00 or less. If the request is for copies totaling more than ten pages, reimbursements of copying costs shall include the cost for the first ten pages. Charges imposed pursuant to this subdivision are for the purpose of recovering the cost of copying.
- (d) Requests for access and copies pursuant to subdivision (a) shall be made in writing and shall specifically identify the documents sought.

§ 18361.2. Memorandum Respecting Civil Litigation.

(a) If the Executive Director concludes civil litigation should be initiated, he or she shall submit to the Commission a written memorandum, which shall be first reviewed by the General Counsel, or an attorney from the Legal Division, summarizing the facts and the applicable law of the case and recommending the initiation of a lawsuit. The memorandum shall include all exculpatory and mitigating information known to the staff.

- (b) The Commission shall review the memorandum at an executive session. The General Counsel, or an attorney from the Legal Division, and the Commission Assistant shall be in attendance. No other member of the staff may be present unless the Commission meets with a member of the staff for that person to answer questions. The Commission may not resume its deliberations until the person is no longer present. Any communication between the Commission and the person during the executive session shall be recorded. After review of the memorandum, the Commission may direct the Executive Director to do any of the following:
 - (1) Initiate civil litigation.
 - (2) Decide whether probable cause proceedings should be commenced pursuant to 2 Cal. Code of Regulations Section 18361.4.
 - (3) Return the matter to the staff for further investigation.
 - (4) Take no further action on the matter or take any other action it deems appropriate.
- (c) If the Commission decides to initiate civil litigation, the Commission may then permit other members of the staff to attend the executive session.
- (d) If the Executive Director deems it necessary, he or she may call a special meeting of the Commission to review a staff memorandum recommending the initiation of civil litigation.
- (e) It is the intent of the Commission in adopting this section to preserve for the members of the Commission the authority to decide whether alleged violations should be adjudicated in administrative hearings or in civil litigation, while at the same time avoiding the possibility that discussions with members of the staff might cause members of the Commission to prejudge a case that might be heard by the Commission under Government Code Section 83116.

Exhibit A-4

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER FPPC NO. 14/1263

1	GALENA WEST Chief of Enforcement				
2	MICHAEL W. HAMILTON Commission Counsel FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION 428 J Street, Suite 620 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 322-5772				
3					
4					
5	Facsimile: (916) 322-1932				
6	Attorneys for Complainant				
7	BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION				
8	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9					
10	In the Matter of) FPPC No. 14/1263			
11	GLORIA OLMOS, RE-ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOOL	,) EX PARTE REQUEST FOR A FINDING OF) PROBABLE CAUSE AND AN ORDER THAT			
12	BOARD 2013, AND ELECT GLORIA) AN ACCUSATION BE PREPARED AND) SERVED			
13	OLMOS FOR SOUTH EL MONTE CITY COUNICL MEMBER 2015,)) Gov. Code § 83115.5			
14))			
15	Respondents.	ALD DOLLTICAL DDACTICES COMMISSION			
16		AIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSIONS			
17		Reform Act (the "Act") ¹ and Regulation 18361.4			
18	Respondents Gloria Olmos ("Olmos"), Re-Elect Gloria				
19	Committee") and Elect Gloria Olmos for South El Mo				
20	Committee") were served with a copy of a report in s				
21	the above-entitled matter. ² The Report, attached as				
22	including a cover letter and a memorandum describing probable cause proceedings, which was personally				
23	served on Olmos on June 13, 2017. A copy of the pro-				
24	In the cover letter dated May 8, 2017, and the attached materials, Olmos was advised that she could				
25	respond in writing to the Report and orally present the	he case to the Hearing Officer at a probable cause			
26	The Political Reform Act is contained in Government.	Code && \$1,000 through \$1,014, and all statutory references are			
27	¹ The Political Reform Act is contained in Government Code §§ 81000 through 91014, and all statutory references are to this code. The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission are contained in §§ 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations, and all regulatory references are to this source.				
28	² Gov. Code § 83115.5; Cal. Code Reg., tit. 2, § 18361.4.				
	EX PARTE REQUEST FOR A FINDING OF PROBABLE CAUSE AND AN ORDER RE: ACCUSATION FPPC NO. 14/1263				
- 1	1110110.				

28

	.1
1	Additionally, after finding probable cause exists, the Enforcement Division requests an order by
2	the Hearing Officer that an accusation be prepared against Olmos, the School Board Committee and the
3	City Council Committee, and served upon them. ³
4	A copy of this Request was mailed via U.S. Mail to Olmos on $8/9/17$, at the last known
5	address, as follows:
6	Gloria Olmos
7	
8	Dated: 8/9/17 Respectfully Submitted,
9	FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
10	Galena West Chief of Enforcement
11	Chief of Emolecement
12	MI Mo
14	By: Michael W. Hamilton Commission Counsel
15	Enforcement Division
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	³ Gov. Code § 11503.

EX PARTE REQUEST FOR A FINDING OF PROBABLE CAUSE AND AN ORDER RE: ACCUSATION FPPC NO. 14/1263

28

	GALENA WEST Chief of Enforcement MICHAEL W. HAMILTON Commission Counsel FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION 428 J Street, Suite 620			
	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 322-5772 Facsimile: (916) 322-1932			
	Attorneys for Complainant Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission			
	BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION			
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
1	In the Matter of) FPPC No. 14/1263			
13 14 15	GLORIA OLMOS, RE-ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOOL BOARD 2013, AND ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SOUTH EL MONTE CITY COUNCIL MEMBER 2015 REPORT IN SUPPORT OF A FINDING OF PROBABLE CAUSE Conference Date: TBA			
16 17	MEMBER 2015, Conference Date: TBA Conference Time: TBA Conference Location: Commission Offices 428 J Street, Suite 620 Sacramento, CA 95814			
19				
20	INTRODUCTION			
21	Respondent Gloria Olmos ("Olmos") was re-elected to the Valle Lindo Elementary School District			
23	Respondent Re-Elect Gloria Olmos for School Board 2013 (the "School Board 2013)			
24	of the School David Committee. Olmos served as the treasurer of the School David Committee.			
25	olitical Reform Act (the "Act") requires candidates and committees to file semi-annual campaign			
26 27	The Political Reform Act is contained in Government 81000 through 91014. The regulations of the Fair Political are national 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations.			
28	REPORT IN SUPPORT OF FINDING OF PROBABLE CAUSE FPPC Case No. 14/1263			
- 11				

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

///

///

23 24

25

26

27

28

statements bi-annually. Olmos and the School Board Committee violated the Act by failing to file three semi-annual campaign statements.

Approximately two years later, Olmos was elected to the South El Monte City Council. Respondent the Committee to Elect Gloria Olmos for South El Monte City Council Member 2015 (the "City Council Committee") was Olmos' controlled committee. Olmos and the City Council Committee violated the Act by failing to report the following: the total amount of contributions received, the expenditures made by the City Council Committee, the City Council Committee's accrued expenses, and a late contribution received by the City Council Committee.

SUMMARY OF THE LAW

All legal references and discussions of law pertain to the Act's provisions as they existed at the time of the violations.

Jurisdiction

The Fair Political Practices Commission (the "Commission") has administrative jurisdiction to enforce the provisions of the Act.2

Probable Cause Proceedings

Prior to the Enforcement Division commencing an administrative action, the General Counsel of the Commission or her designee (the "hearing officer"), must make a finding that there is probable cause to believe the respondent has violated the Act.3 After a finding of probable cause, the Commission may hold a noticed hearing in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act4 to determine whether violations occurred, and levy an administrative penalty of up to \$5,000 for each violation.5 ///

² § 83116.

³ § 83115.5, and Reg. 18361 and 18361.4.

^{4 § 11500,} et seq.

⁵ § 83116, and Reg. 18361.4, subdivision. (e).

11

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Standard for Finding Probable Cause

To make a finding of probable cause, the hearing officer must be presented with sufficient evidence to lead a person of ordinary caution and prudence to believe, or entertain a strong suspicion, that a respondent committed or caused a violation.6

Need for Liberal Construction and Vigorous Enforcement of the Political Reform Act

When enacting the Political Reform Act, the people of the state of California found and declared that previous laws regulating political practices suffered from inadequate enforcement by state and local authorities. 7 To that end, the Act must be liberally construed to achieve its purposes. 8

Semi-Annual Campaign Statements

The Act requires elected officials, candidates, and committees to file a semi-annual campaign statement each year by July 31 for the period ending June 30, and by January 31 for the period ending December 31.9

Late In Kind Contributions

The Act requires each candidate or committee that receives a late in kind contribution to file a 24hour report within 48 hours of the time the contribution is received. 10

Contents of a Campaign Statement

Under the Act, a committee must report on its campaign statements the total amount of contributions received during the reporting period, the total amount of contributions received during the reporting period from persons who have given \$100 or more, the total amount of contributions received during the reporting period from person who have given less than \$100, the total amount of expenditures made during the period to persons who have received less than \$100, and in cases where persons have

⁶ Reg. 18361.4, subdivision, (e).

⁷ § 81001, subd., (h). 8 § 81003.

^{9 § 84200.}

^{10 § 84203.3,} subd. (b).

6 7

5

8 9

10

11 12

14 15

13

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

received more than \$100, the name, address, the amount of each expenditure, and a brief description of the consideration shall be reported on the committee's campaign statement.11

Candidate and Treasurer Liability

Under the Act, it is the duty of the candidate and the treasurer of a controlled committee to ensure that the committee complies with all the requirements of the Act concerning the receipt, expenditure, and reporting of funds. 12 The candidate and treasurer may be held jointly and severally liable, along with the committee, for violations committed by the committee. 13

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

School Board Committee

On November 5, 2013, Olmos was re-elected to the school board of the Valle Lindo Elementary School District located in Los Angeles County. The last semi-annual campaign statement filed by Olmos and the School Board Committee on February 6, 2014, disclosed an ending cash balance of \$1,289.26.

Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement for the reporting period January 1, 2014 - June 30, 2014, due July 31, 2014. The Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk (the "Clerk") sent Olmos and the School Board Committee two written notifications, dated August 11, 2014 and September 11, 2014. According to the call report from the Clerk's office, the Clerk also provided Olmos and the School Board Committee with verbal notifications on August 7, 2014 and August 21, 2014. On October 3, 2014, the Enforcement Division received a referral from the Clerk's Office regarding the statement due July 31, 2014.

Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a second semi-annual campaign statement for the reporting period of July 1, 2014 - December 31, 2014, due February 2, 2015. On February 6, 2015 and February 25, 2015, the Clerk sent Olmos and the School Board Committee two written notifications regarding the outstanding statements. According to the call report from the Clerk's office, the Clerk also gave Olmos and the School Board Committee two verbal notifications on February

 $^{^{11}}$ § 84211, subd. (a), (d), (f), (j), and (k).

^{12 §§ 81004, 84100 84213,} and Regulation 18427.

^{13 §§ 83116.5} and 91006.

17, 2015 and March 10, 2015. On March 16, 2015, the Enforcement Division received a referral for the statement due February 2, 2015. On June 22, 2015, the Enforcement Division notified Olmos and the School Board Committee in writing that they had failed to failed to file semi-annual campaign statements for the periods of January 1, 2014 – June 30, 2014 and July 1, 2014 – December 31, 2014.

For the January 1, 2015 – June 30, 2015 reporting period, Olmos filed an officeholder and candidate statement short form (Form 470) instead of a standard semi-annual campaign statement. On or around July 14, 2015, Olmos was notified in writing by the Clerk's office that she had filed the wrong form and needed to file standard semi-annual campaign statement. Candidates and officeholders who have an open committee are not permitted to file the Form 470 because it does not contain any information about contributions received or expenditures made and requires the public official to sign under penalty of perjury that they will not raise or spend more than \$2,000 for the entire calendar year, which would cause them to be required to file as a recipient committee. The Enforcement Division learned that Olmos and the School Board Committee had not filed the standard semi-annual campaign statement during a phone conversation with the Clerk's office.

To date, Olmos has not filed the School Board Committee's semi-annual campaign statements with the Clerk.

City Council Committee

On November 5, 2015, Olmos filed an amended statement of organization with the Secretary of State's Office that renamed the School Board as the City Council Committee. Olmos then treated the City Council Committee as her candidate controlled committee for the city council election. Olmos and the City Council Committee failed to properly report campaign expenditures and contributions on the committee's campaign statements. On the pre-election statement covering the reporting period of September 20, 2015 – October 17, 2015, Olmos and the City Council Committee reported on the summary page making approximately \$5,340 in expenditures and acquiring \$3,700 in accrued expenses. However, Olmos and the City Council Committee did not report any details of the expenditures and accrued expenses on any applicable schedule of the campaign statement. Additionally, the expenditures

///

Olmos and the City Council Committee report making during this period exceed both the beginning cash balance and the contributions received during this period.

On the semi-annual statement covering the reporting period of October 18, 2015 – December 31, 2015, Olmos and the City Council Committee report making approximately \$4,918 in expenditures and acquiring \$1,700 in accrued expenses. However, Olmos and the City Council Committee do not report any details of the expenditures and accrued expenses on any of the applicable schedules of the campaign statement. Additionally, the expenditures Olmos and the City Council Committee report making during this period exceed both the beginning cash balance and the contributions received during this period.

On October 30, 2015, a committee by the name Grow Elect filed a 24-hour report to disclose making two in kind contributions totaling approximately \$4,175 to the City Council Committee. Olmos and the City Council Committee did not file a 24-hour report to disclose receiving these contributions.

VIOLATIONS

Count 1: Failure to File a Semi-Annual Campaign Statement

Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement for the January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014 reporting period by the July 31, 2014, deadline in violation of section 84200, subdivision (a).

Count 2: Failure to File a Semi-Annual Campaign Statement

Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement for the July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014 reporting period by the February 2, 2015 deadline, in violation of section 84200, subdivision (a).

Count 3: Failure to File a Semi-Annual Campaign Statement

Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement for the January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015 reporting period by July 31, 2015 deadline, in violation of section 84200, subdivision (a).

///

///

///

Count 4: Contents of a Campaign Statement

Olmos and the City Council Committee failed to report expenditures, accrued expenses, and the total amount of contributions received during the pre-election statement covering the reporting period of September 20, 2015 – October 17, 2015, in violation of Government Code section 84211, subdivisions (a), (j), and (k).

Count 5: Contents of a Campaign Statement

Olmos and the City Council Committee failed to report expenditures, accrued expenses, and the total amount of contributions received during the semi-annual reporting period of October 18, 2015 – December 31, 2015, in violation of Government Code section 84211, subdivisions (a), (j), and (k).

Count 6: Failure to timely file a 24-hour Report

Olmos and the City Council Committee failed to timely file a 24-hour report within 48 hours of receiving a in kind contribution of \$1,000 or more, in violation of Government Code sections 84203, subdivision (a) and 84203.3, subdivision (b).

EXCULPATORY AND MITIGATING INFORMATION

At this time, no exculpatory or mitigating factors are known.

CONCLUSION

Probable cause exists to believe that Olmos and the School Board Committee committed three violations of the Act as set forth above in Counts 1-3. Additionally, probable cause exists to believe that Olmos and the City Council Committee committed three violations of the Act as set forth above in Counts 4-6. The Enforcement Division respectfully requests an order finding probable cause pursuant to Section 83115.5 and Regulation 18361.4.

Dated: 5/8/17

Respectfully Submitted,

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Galena West

Chief of Enforcement

By: Michael W. Hamilton Commission Counsel Enforcement Division

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: FOR COURT USE ONLY GALENA WEST FAIR POLITCAL PRACTICES COMMISSION 428 J. STREET STE 620 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 **TELEPHONE NO.**: (916) 322-5660 FAX NO.: (916) 322-1932 ATTORNEY FOR: FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO STREET ADDRESS: 428 J STREET, SUITE 620 MAILING ADDRESS: 428 J STREET, SUITE 620 CITY AND ZIP CODE: SACRAMENTO, 95814 **BRANCH NAME:** RE: FPPC 14/1263: THE PEOPLE CASE NUMBER: RESPONDENT: GLORIA OLMOS, RE-ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOOL FPPC 14/1263 BOARD 2013, AND ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SOUTH MONTE CITY COUNSIL MEMBER 2015 Ref. No. or File No.: PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

- At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
- 2. I served copies of:

f. other (specifiy documents):

REPORT IN SUPPORT OF A FINDING OF PROBABLE CAUSE; PROBABLE CAUSE FACT SHEET;

- a. Party served: GLORIA OLMOS
- Address where the party was served:

1415 N SANTA ANITA, S. EL MONTE, CA 91733

- served the party
 - by personal service. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to receive service of process for the party on 6/13/2017 at 6:30 pm
- The "Notice to the Person Served" (on the summons) was completed as follows:
 - as an individual defendant.
- Person who served papers

a. Name: Carlos Abrego

Firm: C.A. PROCESS SERVICE

- b. Address: 14800 Rinaldi St #24, Mission Hills, CA 91345
- c. Telephone number: (800) 331–1072
- d. The fee for the service was: \$105.00
- e. I am:
 - (3) a registered California process server:
 - (i) owner
 - (ii) Registration No.: 6456
 - (iii) County: Los Angeles

RE: FPPC 14/1263: THE PEOPLE	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT: GLORIA OLMOS, RE-ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOO:	FPPC 14/1263
BOARD 2013, AND ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SOUTH :	
MONTE CITY COUNSIL MEMBER 2015	

8. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 02011

Carlos Abrego

(NAME OF PERSON WHO SERVED PAPERS)

(SIGNATURE)

Exhibit A-5

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER FPPC NO. 14/1263

BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of) FPPC No. 14/1263
GLORIA OLMOS, RE-ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOOL BOARD 2013, AND ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SOUTH EL MONTE CITY COUNICL MEMBER 2015,) FINDING OF PROBABLE CAUSE AND ORDER TO PREPARE AND SERVE AN ACCUSATION)) Gov. Code § 83115.5
Respondents.	ý .

By means of an Ex Parte Request for an Order Finding Probable Cause and an Order that an Accusation be Prepared and Served, the Enforcement Division submitted the above-entitled matter to the Hearing Officer for a determination of Probable Cause. As set forth in the Ex Parte Request For a Finding of Probable Cause and an Order that an Accusation be Prepared and Served (Ex Parte Request), the Enforcement Division served a Report in Support of a Finding of Probable Cause (PC Report) on Respondents Gloria Olmos ("Olmos"), Re-Elect Gloria Olmos for School Board 2013 (the "School Board Committee") and Elect Gloria Olmos for South El Monte City Council Member 2015 (the "City Council Committee") concerning this matter on June 13, 2017, by personal service. Accompanying the PC Report was a packet of materials that informed Olmos of her right to file a written response to the PC Report and to request a probable cause conference within 21 days following service of the PC Report. During the 21 days that followed service of the PC Report, Olmos did not file a response to the PC Report or request a probable cause conference. Pursuant to California Code of Regulations title 2, section 18361.4, determination of probable cause may be made solely on papers submitted when the respondent does not request a probable cause conference.

In making a probable cause determination, it is the duty of the Hearing Officer of the Fair Political Practices Commission to determine whether probable cause exists to believe that a respondent violated

¹ The Political Reform Act is contained in Government Code sections 81000 through 91014. The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission are contained in Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations.

Based on the Ex Parte Request given to me, I find that notice has been given to Olmos, the School Board Committee and the City Council Committee.³ I further find, based on the PC Report and the Ex Parte Request, that there is probable cause to believe Olmos and the School Board Committee violated the Political Reform Act as alleged in Counts 1–3 and that Olmos and the City Council Committee violated the Political Reform Act as alleged in Counts 4-6, as identified above.

I therefore direct that the Enforcement Division issue an accusation against Olmos, the School Board Committee and the City Council Committee in accordance with this finding.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/17/17

Brian Lau, Hearing Officer Fair Political Practices Commission

³ Government Code § 83115.5; Cal. Code Reg., tit. 2, §18361.4, subd. (b).

Exhibit A-6

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER FPPC NO. 14/1263

1 2 3 4 5 6	GALENA WEST Chief of Enforcement MICHAEL W. HAMILTON Commission Counsel FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSIO 1102 Q Street, Suite 3000 Sacramento, CA 95811 Telephone: (916) 322-5772 Facsimile: (916) 322-0886 Attorneys for Complainant	ON .	
7 8			
9	BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of	FPPC No. 14/1263	
12	GLORIA OLMOS, RE-ELECT		
13	GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOOL BOARD 2013, AND COMMITTEE	ACCUSATION	
14	TO ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SOUTH EL MONTE CITY		
15	COUNCIL MEMBER 2015,	(Gov. Code §11503)	
16			
17	Respondents.		
18	Complainant, the Enforcement Division of the	ne Fair Political Practices Commission, after a finding	
19	of probable cause pursuant to Government Code sec	etion 83115.5, alleges the following:	
20	JURISDICTION		
21 22	Complainant is the Enforcement Div	vision of the Fair Political Practices Commission and	
23	makes this Accusation in its official capacity and in the public interest.		
24	2. The authority to bring this action is derived from Title 2, California Code of Regulations,		
25	Sections 18361 and 18361.4, subdivision (e), and the statutory law of the State of California, specifically		
26	including, but not limited to, Government Code sect	tions 83111, 83116, and 91000.5, which assign to the	
27			
28	ACCUSATION FPPC Case No. 14/1263		
	FPPC Case	No. 14/1203	

FPPC Case No. 14/1263

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Requirement to File Semi-Annual Campaign Statements

9. The Act requires elected officials, candidates, and committees to file a semi-annual campaign statement each year by July 31 for the period ending June 30, and by January 31 for the period ending December 31.3

Requirement to Report Late In-Kind Contributions

10. The Act requires each candidate or committee that receives a late in-kind contribution to file a 24 Hour Report within 48 hours of the time the contribution is received. ⁴A late contribution is defined by the Act as "A contribution, including a loan, that totals in the aggregate one thousand dollars (\$1,000) or more and is made to or received by a candidate, a controlled committee, or a committee formed or existing primarily to support or oppose a candidate or measure within 90 days before the date of the election..."5

Requirement to Report Contributions and Expenditures

11. Under the Act, a committee must report on its campaign statements the total amount of contributions received during the reporting period, the total amount of contributions received during the reporting period from persons who have given \$100 or more, the total amount of contributions received during the reporting period from person who have given less than \$100, the total amount of expenditures made during the period to persons who have received less than \$100, and in cases where persons have received more than \$100, the name, address, the amount of each expenditure, and a brief description of the consideration shall be reported on the committee's campaign statement.⁶

Candidate and Treasurer Liability

12. Under the Act, it is the duty of the candidate and the treasurer of a controlled committee to ensure that the committee complies with all the requirements of the Act concerning the receipt,

³ § 84200.

⁴ § 84203.3, subd. (b).

⁵ § 82036 ⁶ § 84211, subd. (a), (d), (f), (j), and (k).

expenditure, and reporting of funds.⁷ The candidate and treasurer may be held jointly and severally liable, along with the committee, for violations committed by the committee.⁸

E. Factors to be Considered by the Fair Political Practices Commission

13. In framing a proposed order following a finding of a violation pursuant to section 83116, the Fair Political Practices Commission ("Commission") and the administrative law judge shall consider all the surrounding circumstances including but not limited to: (1) The seriousness of the violation; (2) the presence or absence of any intention to conceal, deceive or mislead; (3) whether the violation was deliberate, negligent or inadvertent; (4) whether the violator demonstrated good faith by consulting the Commission staff or any other government agency in a manner not constituting a complete defense under Section 83114(b); (5) whether the violation was isolated or part of a pattern and whether the violator has a prior record of violations of the Act or similar laws; and (6) whether the violator, upon learning of a reporting violation, voluntarily filed amendments to provide full disclosure.⁹

GENERAL FACTS

- On November 5, 2013, Olmos was re-elected to the school board of the Valle Lindo
 Elementary School District located in Los Angeles County.
- 15. Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement for the reporting period January 1, 2014 June 30, 2014, due July 31, 2014.
- 16. Per the referral from the Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk (the "Clerk"), the Clerk notified Olmos and the School Board Committee of the outstanding statement as follows: verbal notification was given on August 7, 2014, written notification was given on August 21, 2014, verbal notification was given again on August 21, 2014, and a final notice in writing was provided on September 11, 2014.

⁷ §§ 81004, 84100 84213, and Regulation 18427.

^{8 §§ 83116.5} and 91006.

⁹ Reg. §18361.5, subd. (d).

- 17. On October 3, 2014, the Enforcement Division received a referral from the Clerk's Office regarding the statement due July 31, 2014.
- 18. Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a second semi-annual campaign statement for the reporting period of July 1, 2014 December 31, 2014, due February 2, 2015.
- 19. Per the referral, the Clerk notified Olmos and the School Board Committee about the outstanding statement as follows: written notification was given on February 6, 2015, verbal notification was provided on February 17, 2015, written notification was given again on February 25, 2015, and a final verbal notification was provided on March 10, 2015.
- 20. On March 16, 2015, the Enforcement Division received a referral for the statement due February 2, 2015.
- 21. On June 22, 2015, the Enforcement Division notified Olmos and the School Board Committee in writing that they had failed to file semi-annual campaign statements for the periods of January 1, 2014 June 30, 2014 and July 1, 2014 December 31, 2014.
- 22. For the January 1, 2015 June 30, 2015 reporting period, Olmos filed an officeholder and candidate statement short form (Form 470) instead of a standard semi-annual campaign statement (Form 460).
- 23. On or around July 14, 2015, Olmos was notified in writing by the Clerk's office that she had filed the wrong form and needed to file a Form 460. Candidates and officeholders who have an open committee are not permitted to file the Form 470 instead of a Form 460 because it does not contain any information about contributions received or expenditures made and requires the public official to sign under penalty of perjury that they will not raise or spend more than \$2,000 for the entire calendar year. The Enforcement Division learned that Olmos and the School Board Committee had not filed the standard semi-annual campaign statement during a phone conversation with the Clerk's office.
- 24. Olmos failed to file a Form 460 for the January 1, 2015 June 30, 2015 reporting period despite being informed of her obligation to do so.
 - 25. On November 3, 2015, Olmos was elected to the South El Monte City Council,

- 26. On the pre-election statement covering the reporting period of September 20, 2015 October 17, 2015, Olmos and the City Council Committee reported on the summary page making approximately \$5,340 in expenditures and acquiring \$3,700 in accrued expenses. However, Olmos and the City Council Committee did not report any of the expenditures and accrued expenses that made up these totals on any applicable schedule of the campaign statement. Additionally, Olmos reported that the City Council Committee made approximately \$9,040 in expenditures, but this amount exceeded the sum of the beginning cash balance, the total amount of contributions received, and the accrued expenses reported by Olmos. Specifically, Olmos reported having a beginning cash balance of \$50, receiving approximately \$2,627 in contributions, and accruing expenses of \$3,700. This leaves approximately \$2,713 in either accrued expenses or contributions that were not reported in the totals of these two categories or on the required schedules.
- 27. On the semi-annual statement covering the reporting period of October 18, 2015 December 31, 2015, Olmos and the City Council Committee reported making approximately \$4,918 in expenditures and acquiring \$1,700 in accrued expenses. However, Olmos and the City Council Committee did not report any details of the expenditures and accrued expenses on any of the applicable schedules of the campaign statement.
- 28. On October 30, 2015, a committee by the name Grow Elect filed a 24-Hour Report to disclose making two in-kind contributions totaling approximately \$4,175 to the City Council Committee. The City Council Committee reported receiving these contributions on its semi-annual campaign statement, but failed to file a 24-Hour Report to disclose receiving the contribution during the 90 day period prior to the election.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

29. The Enforcement Division initiated the administrative action against Olmos, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee in this matter by serving them with a packet containing a cover letter, an amended Report in Support of a Finding of Probable Cause ("PC Report"), a fact sheet regarding probable cause proceedings, selected sections of the Government Code regarding probable

cause proceedings for the Commission, and selected regulations of the Commission regarding probable cause proceedings.

- 30. Olmos was personally served on June 13, 2017 with the PC Report on behalf of herself, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee. The information contained in the PC Report packet advised Olmos that she had 21 days in which to request a probable cause conference and/or to file a written response to the PC Report. As of the date of this Accusation, Olmos has not responded to the PC Report.
- 31. By means of an Ex Parte Request for a Finding of Probable Cause and an Order that an Accusation be Prepared and Served, dated June 28, 2017, the Enforcement Division submitted the matter to the Hearing Officer for a determination of probable cause.
- 32. On August 17, 2017, the Hearing Officer found, based on the PC Report and Ex Parte Request for a Finding of Probable Cause and an Order that an Accusation be Prepared and Served, that there was probable cause to believe Olmos, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee violated the Act and directed the Enforcement Division to issue an Accusation against Olmos, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee in accordance with the finding.

VIOLATIONS

33. Olmos and the School Board Committee have committed three violations of the Act and Olmos and the City Council Committee have committed three violations of the Act, as follows:

Count 1

Failure to Timely File Semi-Annual Campaign Statement

- 34. Complainant incorporates paragraphs 1 33 of this Accusation, as though completely set forth herein.
- 35. Olmos and the School Board Committee had a duty under the Act to file a semi-annual campaign statement covering the reporting period of January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014, by the July 31, 2014 deadline.

- 36. Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement covering the reporting period of January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014, by the July 31, 2014 deadline.
- 37. By failing to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement by the July 31, 2014 deadline, Olmos and the School Board Committee violated Government Code section 84200, subdivision (a).

Count 2

Failure to Timely File a Semi-Annual Campaign Statement

- 38. Complainant incorporates paragraphs 1-37 of this Accusation, as though completely set forth herein.
- 39. Olmos and the School Board Committee had a duty under the Act to file a semi-annual campaign statement covering the reporting period of July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014 by the February 2, 2015 deadline.
- 40. Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement covering the reporting period of July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014 by the February 2, 2015 deadline.
- 41. By failing to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement covering the reporting period of July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014 by the February 2, 2015 deadline, Olmos and the School Board Committee violated Government Code section 84200, subdivision (a).

Count 3

Failure to Timely File a Semi-Annual Campaign Statement

- 42. Complainant incorporates paragraphs 1-41 of this Accusation, as though completely set forth herein.
- 43. Olmos and the School Board Committee had a duty under the Act to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement covering the reporting period of January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015 by the July 31, 2015 deadline.

- 44. Olmos and the School Board Committee failed to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement covering the reporting period of January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015 by July 31, 2015 deadline.
- 45. By failing to timely file a semi-annual campaign statement covering the reporting period of January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015 by July 31, 2015 deadline, Olmos and the School Board Committee violated Government Code section 84200, subdivision (a).

Count 4

Failure to Timely Report Contributions and Expenditures

- 46. Complainant incorporates paragraphs 1 45 of this Accusation, as though completely set forth herein.
- 47. Olmos and the City Council Committee had a duty under the Act to timely and accurately report expenditures made, contributions received and/or accrued expenses on the pre-election statement covering the reporting period of September 20, 2015 October 17, 2015.
- 48. Olmos and the City Council Committee failed to timely and accurately report expenditures made, contributions received and/or accrued expenses on the pre-election statement covering the reporting period of September 20, 2015 October 17, 2015.
- 49. By failing to timely and accurately report expenditures made, contributions received and/or accrued expenses on the pre-election statement covering the reporting period of September 20, 2015 October 17, 2015. Olmos and the City Council Committee violated Government Code section 84211, subdivisions (a), (j), and (k).

Count 5

Failure to Timely Report Expenditures

50. Complainant incorporates paragraphs 1-49 of this Accusation, as though completely set forth herein.

Complainant incorporates paragraphs 1 - 53 of this Accusation, as though completely set Olmos and the City Council Committee had a duty under the Act timely file a 24-Hour Olmos and the City Council Committee received an in-kind contribution of \$4,175 from Olmos and the City Council Committee failed to timely file a 24-Hour Report within 48 By failing to file a 24-Hour Report within 48 hours of receiving an in-kind contribution of

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays as follows:

- 1. That the Commission hold a hearing pursuant to section 83116 and regulation 18361.5, and at such hearing find that Olmos, the School Board Committee, and the City Council Committee violated the Act as alleged herein;
- 2. That the Commission, pursuant to section 83116, subdivision (c), order Olmos and the School Board Committee to pay a monetary penalty of not more than Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) for the violation of the Act alleged in **Count 1**;
- 3. That the Commission, pursuant to section 83116, subdivision (c), order Olmos and the School Board Committee to pay a monetary penalty of not more than Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) for the violation of the Act alleged in **Count 2**;
- 4. That the Commission, pursuant to section 83116, subdivision (c), order Olmos and the School Board Committee to pay a monetary penalty of not more than Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) for the violation of the Act alleged in **Count 3**;
- 5. That the Commission, pursuant to section 83116, subdivision (c), order Olmos and the City Council Committee to pay a monetary penalty of not more than Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) for the violation of the Act alleged in **Count 4**;
- 6. That the Commission, pursuant to section 83116, subdivision (c), order Olmos and the City Council Committee to pay a monetary penalty of not more than Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) for the violation of the Act alleged in **Count 5**;
- 7. That the Commission, pursuant to section 83116, subdivision (c), order Olmos and the City Council Committee to pay a monetary penalty of not more than Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) for the violation of the Act alleged in **Count 6**;
- 8. That the Commission, pursuant to regulation 18361.5, subdivision (d), consider the following factors in framing a proposed order following a finding of a violation pursuant to section 83116:

 (1) the seriousness of the violation; (2) the presence or absence of any intention to conceal, deceive or

ļ		
1	mislead; (3) whether the violation was deliberate, negligent or inadvertent; (4) whether the violator	
2	demonstrated good faith by consulting the Commission staff or any other government agency in a manner	
3	not constituting a complete defense under section 83114(b); (5) whether the violation was isolated or part	
4	of a pattern and whether the violator has a prior record of violations of the Act or similar laws; and (6)	
5	whether the violator, upon learning of a reporting violation, voluntarily filed amendments to provide full	
6	disclosure.	
7	9. That the Commission grants such other and further relief as it deems just and proper.	
8	$(\mu \circ 0)$	
9	Dated: 9Sept 7 Galena West	
10	Chief of Enforcement	
11	Fair Political Practices Commission	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	ACCUSATION	

FPPC Case No. 14/1263

Exhibit A-7

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER FPPC NO. 14/1263



FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

1102 Q St • Suite 3000 • Sacramento, CA 95811 (916) 322-5660 • Fax (916) 322-0886

STATEMENT TO RESPONDENTS

[Government Code Section 11505, subdivision (b)]

Gloria Olmos, Re-Elect Gloria Olmos for School Board 2013, and Committee to Elect Gloria Olmos for South El Monte City Council Member 2015

FPPC Case No. 14/1263

Enclosed is an Accusation, which was filed with the Fair Political Practices Commission (the "FPPC") and which is hereby served upon you, along with two copies of a Notice of Defense and Government Code Sections 11506 through 11508.

Unless a written request for a hearing signed by you or on your behalf is delivered or mailed to the FPPC within 15 days after the Accusation was served on you, the FPPC may proceed upon the Accusation without a hearing. The request for a hearing may be made by delivering or mailing the enclosed form entitled Notice of Defense, or by delivering or mailing a notice of defense as provided by Section 11506 of the Government Code to the Commission Assistant at the FPPC.

You may, but need not, be represented by counsel at any or all stages of these proceedings.

If you desire a list of the names and addresses of witnesses against you, or an opportunity to inspect and copy the items mentioned in Section 11507.6 of the Government Code that are in the possession, custody, or control of this agency, or if you with to discuss the possibility of resolving this matter without a formal hearing, you may contact Michael W. Hamilton, Commission Counsel, FPPC Enforcement Division.

The hearing may be postponed for good cause. If you have good cause, you are obliged to notify the FPPC or, if an administrative law judge has been assigned to the hearing, the Office of Administrative Hearings, within 10 working days after you discover the good cause. Failure to give notice within 10 days will deprive you of a postponement.

After a hearing, the FPPC will consider the following factors in determining whether to assess a penalty (Title 2, California Code of Regulations, Section 18361.5, subdivision (d).):

- 1. The seriousness of the violation;
- 2. The presence or absence of any intention to conceal, deceive, or mislead;
- 3. Whether the violation was deliberate, negligent, or inadvertent;
- 4. Whether the violator demonstrated good faith by consulting Commission staff or any other government agency in a manner not constituting a complete defense under Government Code Section 83114, subdivision (b);

- 5. Whether the violation was isolated or part of a pattern;
- 6. Whether the violator has a prior record of violations of the Political Reform Act or similar laws; and
- 7. Whether the violator, upon learning of a reporting violation, voluntarily filed amendments to provide full disclosure.



Before the Fair Political Practices Commission

State of California

In the Matter of)	NOTICE OF DEFENSE (Pursuant to Gov. Code § 11506)
GLORIA OLMOS, RE-ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOOL BOARD 2013, AND COMMITTEE TO ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SOUTH EL MONTE CITY COUNCIL MEMBER 2015,)	FPPC Case No. 14/1263
Respondents.		

Gloria Olmos, a respondent named in the above entitled proceeding, hereby acknowledges receipt of the Accusation, a copy of the Statement to Respondent, a copy of Government Code Sections 11506 through 11508, and two copies of a *NOTICE OF DEFENSE* individually and o/b/o Gloria Olmos for School Board 2013 and Committee to Elect Gloria Olmos for South El Monte City Council Member 2015.

Pursuant to Government Code Section 11506, subdivision (a), you may file this *NOTICE OF DEFENSE* requesting a hearing on the grounds listed below. Failure to file this *NOTICE OF DEFENSE* shall constitute a waiver of your right to a hearing. If you waive your right to a hearing, you may file a statement of mitigation by separate letter that will be considered by the Commission in assessing any penalties for the violations alleged in the Accusation.

If you wish to file a *NOTICE OF DEFENSE*, please check <u>all</u> applicable grounds for the *NOTICE OF DEFENSE*, complete the remainder of the form, and mail to the Commission within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the Accusation.

GROUNDS FOR NOTICE OF DEFENSE

1)	I request a hearing;	
2)	I object to the Accusation upon the ground that it does not state acts or omissic upon which the agency may proceed;	ons
3)	I object to the form of the Accusation on the ground that it is so indefinite or uncertain that I cannot identify the transaction that is the subject of the Accusation or prepare my defense;	
4)	I admit the Accusation in whole or in part (check box "a" or "b");	
	a) I admit the Accusation in whole.	
	b) I admit the Accusation in part as indicated below:	
5)	I wish to present new matter by way of defense;	
6)	I object to the accusation upon the ground that, under the circumstances, compliance with the requirements of a regulation of the Fair Political Practices Commission would result in a material violation of another regulation enacted another department affecting substantive rights.	by
Dated:		
	Respondent	
	Print Name	
	Mailing Address	
	City, State, Zip	



Before the Fair Political Practices Commission

State of California

In the Matter of)	NOTICE OF DEFENSE (Pursuant to Gov. Code § 11506)
GLORIA OLMOS, RE-ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOOL BOARD 2013, AND COMMITTEE TO ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SOUTH EL MONTE CITY COUNCIL MEMBER 2015,)	FPPC Case No. 14/1263
Respondents		

Gloria Olmos, a respondent named in the above entitled proceeding, hereby acknowledges receipt of the Accusation, a copy of the Statement to Respondent, a copy of Government Code Sections 11506 through 11508, and two copies of a *NOTICE OF DEFENSE* individually and o/b/o Gloria Olmos for School Board 2013 and Committee to Elect Gloria Olmos for South El Monte City Council Member 2015.

Pursuant to Government Code Section 11506, subdivision (a), you may file this *NOTICE OF DEFENSE* requesting a hearing on the grounds listed below. Failure to file this *NOTICE OF DEFENSE* shall constitute a waiver of your right to a hearing. If you waive your right to a hearing, you may file a statement of mitigation by separate letter that will be considered by the Commission in assessing any penalties for the violations alleged in the Accusation.

If you wish to file a *NOTICE OF DEFENSE*, please check <u>all</u> applicable grounds for the *NOTICE OF DEFENSE*, complete the remainder of the form, and mail to the Commission within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the Accusation.

GROUNDS FOR NOTICE OF DEFENSE

1)	I request a hearing;
2)	I object to the Accusation upon the ground that it does not state acts or omissions upon which the agency may proceed;
3)	I object to the form of the Accusation on the ground that it is so indefinite or uncertain that I cannot identify the transaction that is the subject of the Accusation or prepare my defense;
4)	I admit the Accusation in whole or in part (check box "a" or "b");
	a) I admit the Accusation in whole.
	b) I admit the Accusation in part as indicated below:
5)	I wish to present new matter by way of defense;
6)	I object to the accusation upon the ground that, under the circumstances, compliance with the requirements of a regulation of the Fair Political Practices Commission would result in a material violation of another regulation enacted by another department affecting substantive rights.
Dated:	
	Respondent
	Print Name
	Mailing Address
	City, State, Zip

California Government Code sections 11506 through 11508

§ 11506. Filing of notice of defense or notice of participation; Contents; Right to hearing on the merits

- (a) Within 15 days after service of the accusation or District Statement of Reduction in Force the respondent may file with the agency a notice of defense, or, as applicable, notice of participation, in which the respondent may:
 - (1) Request a hearing.
- (2) Object to the accusation or District Statement of Reduction in Force upon the ground that it does not state acts or omissions upon which the agency may proceed.
- (3) Object to the form of the accusation or District Statement of Reduction in Force on the ground that it is so indefinite or uncertain that the respondent cannot identify the transaction or prepare a defense.
 - (4) Admit the accusation or District Statement of Reduction in Force in whole or in part.
 - (5) Present new matter by way of defense.
- (6) Object to the accusation or District Statement of Reduction in Force upon the ground that, under the circumstances, compliance with the requirements of a regulation would result in a material violation of another regulation enacted by another department affecting substantive rights.
- **(b)** Within the time specified the respondent may file one or more notices of defense, or, as applicable, notices of participation, upon any or all of these grounds but all of these notices shall be filed within that period unless the agency in its discretion authorizes the filing of a later notice.
- (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense or notice of participation, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation or District Statement of Reduction in Force not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense or notice of participation shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing. Unless objection is taken as provided in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a), all objections to the form of the accusation or District Statement of Reduction in Force shall be deemed waived.
- (d) The notice of defense or notice of participation shall be in writing signed by or on behalf of the respondent and shall state the respondent's mailing address. It need not be verified or follow any particular form.

(e) As used in this section, "file," "files," "filed," or "filing" means "delivered or mailed" to the agency as provided in Section 11505.

HISTORY: Added Stats 1945 ch 867 § 1. Amended Stats 1963 ch 931 § 1; Stats 1982 ch 606 § 1; Stats 1986 ch 951 § 20; Stats 1995 ch 938 § 29 (SB 523), operative July 1, 1997; Stats 2013 ch 90 § 5 (SB 546), effective January 1, 2014.

§ 11507. Amended or supplemental accusation or District Statement of Reduction in Force; Objections

At any time before the matter is submitted for decision, the agency may file, or permit the filing of, an amended or supplemental accusation or District Statement of Reduction in Force. All parties shall be notified of the filing. If the amended or supplemental accusation or District Statement of Reduction in Force presents new charges, the agency shall afford the respondent a reasonable opportunity to prepare his or her defense to the new charges, but he or she shall not be entitled to file a further pleading unless the agency in its discretion so orders. Any new charges shall be deemed controverted, and any objections to the amended or supplemental accusation or District Statement of Reduction in Force may be made orally and shall be noted in the record.

HISTORY: Added Stats 1945 ch 867 § 1. Amended Stats 2013 ch 90 § 6 (SB 546), effective January 1, 2014; Stats 2014 ch 71 § 69 (SB 1304), effective January 1, 2015.

§ 11507.3. Consolidated proceedings; Separate hearings

- (a) When proceedings that involve a common question of law or fact are pending, the administrative law judge on the judge's own motion or on motion of a party may order a joint hearing of any or all the matters at issue in the proceedings. The administrative law judge may order all the proceedings consolidated and may make orders concerning the procedure that may tend to avoid unnecessary costs or delay.
- **(b)** The administrative law judge on the judge's own motion or on motion of a party, in furtherance of convenience or to avoid prejudice or when separate hearings will be conducive to expedition and economy, may order a separate hearing of any issue, including an issue raised in the notice of defense or notice of participation, or of any number of issues.

HISTORY: Added Stats 1995 ch 938 § 30 (SB 523), operative July 1, 1997. Amended Stats 2013 ch 90 § 7 (SB 546), effective January 1, 2014.

§ 11507.5. Exclusivity of discovery provisions

The provisions of Section 11507.6 provide the exclusive right to and method of discovery as to any proceeding governed by this chapter.

HISTORY: Added Stats 1968 ch 808 § 3.

§ 11507.6. Request for discovery

After initiation of a proceeding in which a respondent or other party is entitled to a hearing on the merits, a party, upon written request made to another party, prior to the hearing and within 30 days after service by the agency of the initial pleading or within 15 days after the service of an additional pleading, is entitled to (1) obtain the names and addresses of witnesses to the extent known to the other party, including, but not limited to, those intended to be called to testify at the hearing, and (2) inspect and make a copy of any of the following in the possession or custody or under the control of the other party:

- (a) A statement of a person, other than the respondent, named in the initial administrative pleading, or in any additional pleading, when it is claimed that the act or omission of the respondent as to this person is the basis for the administrative proceeding;
- **(b)** A statement pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding made by any party to another party or person;
- (c) Statements of witnesses then proposed to be called by the party and of other persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, not included in (a) or (b) above;
- (d) All writings, including, but not limited to, reports of mental, physical and blood examinations and things which the party then proposes to offer in evidence;
 - (e) Any other writing or thing which is relevant and which would be admissible in evidence;
- (f) Investigative reports made by or on behalf of the agency or other party pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding, to the extent that these reports (1) contain the names and addresses of witnesses or of persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, or (2) reflect matters perceived by the investigator in the course of his or her investigation, or (3) contain or include by attachment any statement or writing described in (a) to (e), inclusive, or summary thereof.

For the purpose of this section, "statements" include written statements by the person signed or otherwise authenticated by him or her, stenographic, mechanical, electrical or other recordings, or transcripts thereof, of oral statements by the person, and written reports or summaries of these oral statements.

Nothing in this section shall authorize the inspection or copying of any writing or thing which is privileged from disclosure by law or otherwise made confidential or protected as the attorney's work product.

HISTORY: Added Stats 1968 ch 808 § 4. Amended Stats 1985 ch 1328 § 5; Stats 1995 ch 938 § 31 (SB 523), operative July 1, 1997.

§ 11507.7. Motion to compel discovery; Order

- (a) Any party claiming the party's request for discovery pursuant to Section 11507.6 has not been complied with may serve and file with the administrative law judge a motion to compel discovery, naming as respondent the party refusing or failing to comply with Section 11507.6. The motion shall state facts showing the respondent party failed or refused to comply with Section 11507.6, a description of the matters sought to be discovered, the reason or reasons why the matter is discoverable under that section, that a reasonable and good faith attempt to contact the respondent for an informal resolution of the issue has been made, and the ground or grounds of respondent's refusal so far as known to the moving party.
- (b) The motion shall be served upon respondent party and filed within 15 days after the respondent party first evidenced failure or refusal to comply with Section 11507.6 or within 30 days after request was made and the party has failed to reply to the request, or within another time provided by stipulation, whichever period is longer.
- (c) The hearing on the motion to compel discovery shall be held within 15 days after the motion is made, or a later time that the administrative law judge may on the judge's own motion for good cause determine. The respondent party shall have the right to serve and file a written answer or other response to the motion before or at the time of the hearing.
- (d) Where the matter sought to be discovered is under the custody or control of the respondent party and the respondent party asserts that the matter is not a discoverable matter under the provisions of Section 11507.6, or is privileged against disclosure under those provisions, the administrative law judge may order lodged with it matters provided in subdivision (b) of Section 915 of the Evidence Code and examine the matters in accordance with its provisions.
- (e) The administrative law judge shall decide the case on the matters examined in camera, the papers filed by the parties, and such oral argument and additional evidence as the administrative law judge may allow.
- (f) Unless otherwise stipulated by the parties, the administrative law judge shall no later than 15 days after the hearing make its order denying or granting the motion. The order shall be in writing setting forth the matters the moving party is entitled to discover under Section 11507.6. A copy of the order shall forthwith be served by mail by the administrative law judge upon the parties. Where the order grants the motion in whole or in part, the order shall not become

effective until 10 days after the date the order is served. Where the order denies relief to the moving party, the order shall be effective on the date it is served.

HISTORY: Added Stats 1968 ch 808 § 5. Amended Stats 1971 ch 1303 § 8; Stats 1980 ch 548 § 2; Stats 1995 ch 938 § 32 (SB 523), operative July 1, 1997.

§ 11508. Time and place of hearing

- (a) The agency shall consult the office, and subject to the availability of its staff, shall determine the time and place of the hearing. The hearing shall be held at a hearing facility maintained by the office in Sacramento, Oakland, Los Angeles, or San Diego and shall be held at the facility that is closest to the location where the transaction occurred or the respondent resides.
- **(b)** Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the hearing may be held at either of the following places:
- (1) A place selected by the agency that is closer to the location where the transaction occurred or the respondent resides.
 - (2) A place within the state selected by agreement of the parties.
- (c) The respondent may move for, and the administrative law judge has discretion to grant or deny, a change in the place of the hearing. A motion for a change in the place of the hearing shall be made within 10 days after service of the notice of hearing on the respondent.

Unless good cause is identified in writing by the administrative law judge, hearings shall be held in a facility maintained by the office.

HISTORY: Added Stats 1945 ch 867 § 1. Amended Stats 1963 ch 710 § 1; Stats 1967 ch 17 § 39; Stats 1987 ch 50 § 1; Stats 1995 ch 938 § 33 (SB 523), operative July 1, 1997; Stats 2005 ch 674 § 22 (SB 231), effective January 1, 2006.




Attorney or Party without Attorney:			· · · · ·	For Court Use Only
FAIR PÓLITICAL PRACTICES COM	MISSION			
428 J STREET				
SUITE 620				
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814				
Telephone No: 916-322-5660 FAX	Vo: 916-322-0886			
	Ref. A	o. or File No.:		
Attorney for: Plaintiff				
Insert name of Court, and Judicial District and Bra	nch Court:			
Before The Fair Political Practices Com	nission State Of California	1		
Plaintiff: MATTER;				
Defendant: GLORIA OLMOS, RE-ELECT	GLORIA OLMOS FOR S	CHOOL BOAR	RD 2013, ET A	
PROOF OF SERVICE	Hearing Date:	Time:	Dept/Div:	Case Number:
				14/1263

- 1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
- 2. I served copies of the STATEMENT TO RESPONDENTS; ACCUSATION; (2) NOTICE OF DEFENSE; CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SEC. 11506 THROUGH 11508; PROOF OF SERVICE
- 3. a. Party served:

GLORIA OLMOS

4. Address where the party was served:



- a. by personal service. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to receive service of process for the party (1) on: Thu., Sep. 28, 2017 (2) at: 12:48PM
- 7. Person Who Served Papers:

a. GARY HANSEN C.C.P.S.

HANSEN'S GUARANTEED PROCESS CO. 8504 FIRESTONE BOULEVARD #197 DOWNEY, CA 90241 (562)803-6400, FAX (562)803-6456 gary@hansensguaranteed.com www.hansensguaranteed.com



Recoverable Cost Per CCP 1033.5(a)(4)(B)

d. The Fee for Service was: \$62.40

e. I am: (3) registered California process server

(i) Owner

(ii) Registration No.:

2988

(iii) County:

Los Angeles

(iv) Expiration Date:

Wed, Sep. 12, 2018

8. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: Fri, Sep. 29, 2017

PROOF OF SERVICE

(GARY HANSEN C.C.P.S.)



	OPTIONAL: FAX / E-MAIL ADDRESS	COMMITTEE NAME (OR CANDIDATE'S NAME IF NO COMMITTEE) COMMITTEE NAME (OR CANDIDATE'S NAME IF NO COMMITTEE) COMMITTEE NAME (OR CANDIDATE'S NAME IF NO COMMITTEE) STREET ADDRESS (NO P.O. BOX) STATE ZIP CODE STATE ZIP CODE MAILING ADDRESS (IF DIFFERENT) NO. AND STREET OR P.O. BOX	Type of Recipient Committee: All committees - 0 Officeholder, Candidate Controlled Committee State Candidate Election Committee Recall (Also Complete Part 5) General Purpose Committee Sponsored Small Contributor Committee Political Party/Central Committee	SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE	Recipient Committee Campaign Statement Cover Page (Government Code Sections 84200-84216.5)
I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing and reviewing this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in the attached schedules is true and complete. Loertify Executed on A by A b	CITY STATE ZIP CODE AREA CODE/PHONE OPTIONAL: FAX / E-MAIL ADDRESS	Treasurer(s) NAME OF TREASURER (SOUTH CODE AREA CODE/PHONE AREA CODE/PHONE MAILING ADDRESS	2. Type of Statement: Preclection Statement Quarterly Statement Special Odd-Year Report Also file a Form 410 Termination) Statement - Attach Form Statement - Attach Form Statement Statement Supplemental Preclection Statement - Attach Form Statement - Atta	Statement covers period Date of election if applicable: 4 34 Fig. Page of 7 for Official Use Only through 2 31 / 13	Type or print in ink. Date Stamp CALIFORNIA 460

PPC Toll-Free Helpline: 868/ASK-FPPC (886/275-3772)
State of California

460	Ŋ	ALIFORNIA FORM	COVER
N	4	460	COVER PAGE - PART 2

	Attach continuation sheets if necessary	h continuation	Attach	DE AREA CODE/PHONE	STATE ZIP CODE	VID
□ SUPPORT □ OPPOSE	ST. SC. SCOOL OV DEFE			☐ YES ☐ NO	STREET ADDRESS (NO P.O. BOX)	COMMITTEE ADDRESS
2	OFFICE SOLICHT OF THE D	\perp	NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR CANDIDATE	CONTROLLED COMMITTEE?		NAME OF TREASURER
SUPPORT	OFFICE SOUGHT OR HELD	ER OR CANDIDATE	NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR CA			
□ SUPPORT □ OPPOSE	OFFICE SOUGHT OR HELD		SAME OF OFFICEROLDER OR CANDIDATE	"		COMMITTEE NAME
OPPOSE			NAME OF OFFICE LOS OF THE OFFICE LOS OFFICE LOS OF THE OFFICE LOS	AREA CODE/PHONE	STATE ZIP CODE	СІТҮ
	OFFICE SOUGHT OR HELD		NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR CANDIDATE		STREET ADDRESS (NO P.O. BOX)	COMMITTEE ADDRESS
names of	holder Committee List committee is primarily formed	lidate/Office	Primarily Formed Candidate/Officeholder Committee List names of officeholder(s) or candidate(s) for which this committee is primarily formed.	ONTROLLED COMMITTEE? 7.		NAME OF TREASURER
				I.D. NUMBER		COMMITTEE NAME
ANY	DISTRICT NO. IF ANY		OFFICE SOUGHT OR HELD	nt: Listany committees rimarily formed to receive	Related Committees Not Included in this Statement: List any committees not included in this statement that are controlled by you or are primarily formed to receive contributions or make expenditures on behalf of your candidacy.	Related Committee not included in this state contributions or make a
oponem, il ally.	PONENT	DIDATE, OR PROP	NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER, CANDIDATE, OR PROPONENT	591.163		
Opposed if any	lidate, or state measure or	ceholder, cand	Identify the controlling officeholder, candidate, or state measure proposest it and	}	ADDRESS (NO. AND STREET) CIT	NESIDENTIADBUSINESS A
SUPPORT OPPOSE		JORISOICTION	DALLO I NO. OR LETTER	200	hao School.	Us le la
					OFFICE SOUGHT OR HELD (INCLUDE LOCATION AND DISTRICT MILES OF ARRIVED TO A PROPERTY OF	OFFICE SOUGHT OR HELL
			NAME OF BALLOT MEASURE		R OR CANDIDATE	NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR CANDIDATE
	ommittee	ot Measure C	Primarily Formed Ballot Measure Committee	6.	Officeholder or Candidate Controlled Committee	5. Officeholder or Ca
of	Page A					

Summary Page Campaign Disclosure Statement

Amounts may be rounded Type or print in ink. to whole dollars.

SUMMARY PAGE

through from Statement covers period 20/13 3///3 Page ____ CALIFORNIA I.D. NUMBER **FORM** 앜 460

17. LOAN GUARANTEES RECEIVED 15. Cash Payments..... 14. Miscellaneous Increases to Cash ဖွ ထု 16. ENDING CASH BALANCE 13. Cash Receipts 12. Beginning Cash Balance **Current Cash Statement** 11. TOTAL EXPENDITURES MADE 9 ω Expenditures Made **Contributions Received** NAME OF FILER SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE 0. Nonmonetary Adjustment If this is a termination statement, Line 16 must be zero Accrued Expenses (Unpaid Bills) SUBTOTAL CASH PAYMENTS Payments Made Monetary Contributions Loans Made..... TOTAL CONTRIBUTIONS RECEIVED Nonmonetary Contributions SUBTOTAL CASH CONTRIBUTIONS Loans Received Dunmitte Add Lines 12 + 13 + 14, then subtract Line 15 Previous Summary Page, Line 16 Schedule F, Line 3 Column A, Line 3 above Column A, Line 8 above Add Lines 3 + 4 Add Lines 8 + 9 + 10 Schedule B, Part 2 Schedule H, Line 3 Schedule E, Line 4 Schedule B, Line 3 Schedule A, Line 3 Schedule I, Line 4 Schedule C, Line 3 Schedule C, Line 3 Add Lines 6 + 7 Add Lines 1 + 2 SamilObjac 49 Column A
TOTAL THIS PERIOD
(FROMATTACHED SCHEDULES) يع 545 289, a O 0 2000 050, S 3 اق 000 er L ç subtracted from previous from Column B of your last To calculate Column B, add for this calendar year, only the first report being filed figures that should be Column A may be negative period amounts. If this is report. Some amounts in corresponding amounts amounts in Column A to the 4,010, CALENDAR YEAR TOTAL TODATE Column B 8 300 010 Ó 0 8 8 出 g 4 reported in Column B. *Amounts in this section may be different from amounts Candidates 21. Expenditures Running in Both the State Primary and **Expenditure Limit Summary for State** 20. Contributions **General Elections** Calendar Year Summary for Candidates Received Date of Election (mm/dd/yy) 22. Cumulative Expenditures Made* (If Subject to Voluntary Expenditure Limit) 1/1 through 6/30 36/ Total to Date 1007 7/1 to Date

19. Outstanding Debts

Add Line 2 + Line 9 in Column B above

See instructions on reverse

from Lines 2, 7, and 9 (if carry over the amounts

18. Cash Equivalents...

Cash Equivalents and Outstanding Debts

Exhibit A-10 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER FPPC NO. 14/1263

CAMPAIGN DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS NON-FILER ENFORCEMENT REFERRAL

In order to expedite the enforcement referral; please complete the information below

I. Filing Office				and the state of the state of the same of the same of the state of the	
_	Los Angeles Cou		1-	aa. :	Las Associas Care :
Contact Person: _	Registrar-Record	er/County Cleri	<u> </u>	City/County:	Los Angeles County
Address: 12400	Imperial Highway	, Room 2003		Telephone:	(562) 462-2339
Norwa		90650		FAX:	(562) 651-2548
	(City)	(Zip)		
II. Non-Filer					
Name: Gloria J.	Olmos		(Office Sought:	Valle Lindo School District
Address:				Telephone: Business	
				Home	
	(City)	(Zip)			
Date of Election:	Semi Annual		Incu	mbent: 🗵	Non-Incumbent: (If applicable)
Type of Statement	: 460 (Please	specify)	Da	te Due: _ 7/3 1	//2014
Period Covering:	1/1/2014 - 6/30/2	014			11 I0 and most recent statement filed) f applicable)
Number of Prior La	ate Filings: 6			(,	application
III. Notifications	s: (Attach copy of	written notifica	ations)		
Date: 8/11/2014	Written:	(CS01)	Date:	8/7/2014	Verbal
9/11/2014	Written:	(CS02)		8/21/2014	Verbal
Enforcement Divis	ion for consideration	n for formal enfo	orcement a	ction.	e Fair Political Practices Commission
		I the Enforcementices Commissions 620	nt Division		660. Return the completed form and

FPPC Referral



CAMPAIGN FINANCE DISCLOSURE SECTION CALL REPORT FOR JAMIE

DATE OF CALL:

8/7/2014

✓ Outgoing Call

CALLER NAME:

Left voicemail

CAND/COMM/MD NAME

Gloria Olmos

ELECTION ID:

S714

CONTROL NUMBER:

014155

CFD STAFF NAME:

Jamie

TYPE OF CALL:

Non filer

SUB-TYPE:

CALL DURATION:

1 MINUTE(S)

CALL SUMMARY:

I left a voicemail for Ms. Olmos regarding her campaign statement that was due on July 31, 2014. I stated that the statement is already in penalty phrase and it will soon be refer to the Fair Political Practices Commission for enforcement. I provided our office phone number and fax number for

her.

ACTION/RESOLUTION:

DATE: 9/26/2014 PAGE4

LOS ANGELES REGISTRAR-RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK

MAILING DATE: 08/11/14 LE COPY)

Past Due Notice

Fig. 100 Notice Fig. 1 Due Date: 07/31/14 COVERING DATES: 01/01/14 TO 06/30/14

FLECTION ID: S714 HELD: 06/30/14

ELECTION NAME: SEMI ANNUAL FILING BOIR FIRST HALF

DEFICE: VALLE LINDO SCHOOL DIST GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER PARTY:

R-R CONTROL NO.: 014155

This is a reminder that your campaign statement is PAST DUE. Your statement was due on July 31, 2014, and you may be subject to a \$10.00 per day fine (Government Code 5 91013). If you need additional assistance, please call the Campaign Finance Section at (562) 462-2339

GLORIA J. OLMOS

0501



CAMPAIGN FINANCE DISCLOSURE SECTION CALL REPORT FOR JAMIE

DATE OF CALL:

8/21/2014

✓ Outgoing Call

CALLER NAME:

No voicemail

CAND/COMM/MD NAME

Gloria Olmos

ELECTION ID:

S714

CONTROL NUMBER:

014155

CFD STAFF NAME:

Jamie

TYPE OF CALL:

Non filer

SUB-TYPE:

CALL DURATION:

1 MINUTE(S)

CALL SUMMARY:

Ms. Olmo's voicemail feature was not available. I was not able to leave a

message.

ACTION/RESOLUTION:

DATE: 9/26/2014 PAGE5

LOS ANGELES REGISTRAR-RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK

MAILING DATE: 09/11/14

(FILE COPY)

Notice Of Past Due Filir

FILING PERIOD: 1 DUE D. 2: 07/31/14 COVERING DATES: 01/0 14 TO 06/30/14 ELECTION ID: S714 HELD: 06/30/14

ELECTION ID: S714 HELD: 06/30/14

ELECTION NAME: SEMI ANNUAL FILING 2014 FIRST HALF

GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER OFFICE: VALLE LINDO SCHOOL DIST PARTY:

R-R CONTROL NO.: 014155

DATE TO BE REFERRED TO FPPC: 09/25/14

Your campaign statement is PAST DUE. If a statement is filed after any deadline imposed by this act, any person shall, in addition to any other penalties be liable in the amount of \$10 per day until it is received. Your statement must be received within ten days from the date of this written notice. A late filing penalty cannot be waived if the statement is filed after this date (Govt. Code 91013). If it is not received within two weeks from the date of this notice you will be referred to the Fair Political Practices Enforcement Division. (GC § 81010(d)). Send your statement immediately to the Campaign Finance Section or call (562) 462-2339.

GLORIA J. OLMOS

CS02

LOS ANGELES REGISTRAR-RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK

MAILING DATE: 09/11/14

(FILE COPY)

Notice Of Past Due Filin

FILING PERIOD: 1 DUE D. .: 07/31/14 COVERING DATES: 01/0. 4 TO 06/30/14 ELECTION ID: 8714 HELD: 871

ELECTION NAME: SEMI ANNUAL FILING 2014 FIRST HALF R-R CONTROL NO.: C09936 STATE ID NO.: 0001361097

DATE TO BE REFERRED TO FPPC: 09/25/14

Your campaign statement is PAST DUE. If a statement is filed after any deadline imposed by this act, any person shall, in addition to any other penalties be liable in the amount of \$10 per day until it is received. Your statement must be received within ten days from the date of this written notice. A late filing penalty cannot be waived if the statement is filed after this date (Govt. Code 91013). If it is not received within two weeks from the date of this notice you will be referred to the Fair Political Practices Enforcement Division. (GC § 81010(d)). Send your statement immediately to the Campaign Finance Section or call (562) 462-2339.

GLORIA OLMOS RE-ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOOL BOARD 2013

CS02

MAILING DATE: 09/26/14

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES JOMMISSION REFERRAL ..EFERRAL NO.: D14077

FILING PERIOD: 1 DUE DATE: 07/31/14 COVERING DATES: 01/01/14 TO 06/30/14

ELECTION ID: S714 HELD: 06/30/14

ELECTION NAME: SEMI ANNUAL FILING 2014 FIRST HALF

R-R CONTROL NO.: 014155 PARTY:

The attached case file is being referred to your office for appropriate action pursuant to Government Code Section 81010 (d). After two requests for compliance, the required campaign statement has not yet been filed.

If you have any questions, please contact the Campaign Finance and Proposition B Section at (562) 462-2339.

GLORIA J. OLMOS VALLE LINDO SCHOOL DIST

GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER

CF02



CAMPAIGN DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS NON-FILER ENFORCEMENT REFERRAL

In order to expedite the enforcement referral; please complete the information below

I. Filing Officer	
Los Angeles County	
Contact Person: Registrar-Recorder/County Cler	rk City/County: Los Angeles County
Address: 12400 Imperial Highway, Room 2003	Telephone: (562) 462-2339
Norwalk 90650	FAX: (562) 651-2548
(City) (Zi	
II. Non-Filer	
me: Gloria J. Olmos	Office Sought: Valle Lindo School District
	Telephone:
	Business
	Home
Date _:lection: Semi Annual	Incumbent: Non-Incumbent: (If applicable)
	(п аррисане)
Type of Statement: 460	Date Due: 2/2/2015
(Please specify)	
Davied Coursing 7/4/2044 42/24/2044	Number of Prior Filippe: 44
Period Covering: 7/1/2014-12/31/2014	Number of Prior Filings: 11 (Attach copy of Form 410 and most recent statement filed)
	(If applicable)
Number of Prior Late Filings: _5	
III. Notifications: (Attach copy of written notific	ations)
Date: <u>2/6/2015</u> Written: (CS01)	Date: 2/17/2015 Verbal
2/25/2015 Written: (CS02)	3/10/2015 Verbal
Please Note: Two notifications must be made before	e referring this matter to the Fair Political Practices Commission
Enforcement Division for consideration for formal enf	
	STOREN ST
	ent Division at (916) 322-5660. Return the completed form and
attachments to: Fair Political Practices Commissi Enforcement Division	On
428 J Street, Suite 620	
Sacramento, CA 95814	

FPPC Referral

LOS ANGELES REGISTRAR-RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK

MAILING DATE: 02/06/15 (FILE COPY)

Past Due Notice

FILING PERIOD: 1 DUE DATE: 02/02/15 COVERING DATES: 07/01/14 TO 12/31/14

ELECTION ID: S115 HELD: 12/31/14

ELECTION NAME: SEMI ANNUAL FILING 2014 SECOND HALF

OFFICE: VALLE LINDO SCHOOL DIST GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER PARTY:

R-R CONTROL NO.: 014155

This is a reminder that your campaign statement is PAST DUE. Your statement was due on February 2, 2015, and you may be subject to a \$10.00 per day fine (Government Code § 91013). If you need additional assistance, please call the Campaign Finance Section at (562) 462-2339.

Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk Campaign Finance Section 12400 Imperial Highway, Room 2003 Norwalk, California 90650

GLORIA J. OLMOS

CS01

14



LOS ANGELES REGISTRAR-RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK

MAILING DATE: 02/06/15

(FILE COPY)

Past Due Notice

FILING PERIOD: 1 DUE DATE: 02/02/15 COVERING DATES: 07/01/14 TO 12/31/14

ELECTION ID: S115 HELD: 12/31/14

ELECTION NAME: SEMI ANNUAL FILING 2014 SECOND HALF R-R CONTROL NO.: C09936 STATE ID NO.: 0001361097

This is a reminder that your campaign statement is PAST DUE. Your statement was due on February 2, 2015, and you may be subject to a \$10.00 per day fine (Government Code § 91013). If you need additional assistance, please call the Campaign Finance Section at (562) 462-2339.

Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk Campaign Finance Section 12400 Imperial Highway, Room 2003 Norwalk, California 90650

GLORIA OLMOS RE-ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOOL BOARD 2013

CS01





CAMPAIGN FINANCE DISCLOSURE SECTION CALL REPORT FOR JAMIE

DATE OF CALL:

2/17/2015

✓ Outgoing Call

CALLER NAME:

Left voicemail

CAND/COMM/MD NAME

Gloria Olmos

ELECTION ID:

S115

CONTROL NUMBER:

014155

CFD STAFF NAME:

Jamie

TYPE OF CALL:

Non Filer

SUB-TYPE:

CALL DURATION:

1 MINUTE(S)

CALL SUMMARY:

Hello, my name is Jamie, and I am calling from the Registrar Recorder's Campaign Finance Section. This call is regarding your campaign statement that was due on February 2, 2015. Your statement is already in the penalty phase and will soon be referred to the Fair Political Practices Commission for enforcement. Please file your campaign statement or contact our office as soon as possible. Our number is 562-

462-2339; fax number 562-651-2548. Thank you.

ACTION/RESOLUTION:

DATE: 3/11/2015 PAGE8

LOS ANGELES REGISTRAR-RECORDER/COUNTY CLERA

MAILING DATE: 02/25/15 (FILE COPY)

Notice Of Past Due Filing

FILING PERIOD: 1 DUE DATE: 02/02/15 COVERING DATES: 07/01/14 TO 12/31/14

ELECTION ID: S115 HELD: 12/31/14

ELECTION NAME: SEMI ANNUAL FILING 2014 SECOND HALF

OFFICE: VALLE LINDO SCHOOL DIST GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER PARTY:

R-R CONTROL NO.: 014155

DATE TO BE REFERRED TO FPPC: 03/10/15

Your campaign statement is PAST DUE. If a statement is filed after any deadline imposed by this act, any person shall, in addition to any other penalties be liable in the amount of \$10 per day until it is received. Your statement must be received within ten days from the date of this written notice. A late filing penalty cannot be waived if the statement is filed after this date (Govt. Code § 91013). If it is not received within two weeks from the date of this notice you will be referred to the Fair Political Practices Enforcement Division. (GC § 81010(d)). Send your statement immediately to the Campaign Finance Section or call (562) 462-2339. (Please see reverse side.)

GLORIA J. OLMOS

CS02

S VI LOS ANGELES REGISTRAR-RECORDER/COUNTY CLER!

MAILING DATE: 02/25/15 (FILE COPY)

Notice Of Past Due Filing

FILING PERIOD: 1 DUE DATE: 02/02/15 COVERING DATES: 07/01/14 TO 12/31/14

ELECTION ID: S115 HELD: 12/31/14

ELECTION NAME: SEMI ANNUAL FILING 2014 SECOND HALF R-R CONTROL NO.: C09936 STATE ID NO.: 0001361097

DATE TO BE REFERRED TO FPPC: 03/10/15

Your campaign statement is PAST DUE. If a statement is filed after any deadline imposed by this act, any person shall, in addition to any other penalties be liable in the amount of \$10 per day until it is received. Your statement must be received within ten days from the date of this written notice. A late filing penalty cannot be waived if the statement is filed after this date (Govt. Code § 91013). If it is not received within two weeks from the date of this notice you will be referred to the Fair Political Practices Enforcement Division. (GC § 81010(d)). Send your statement immediately to the Campaign Finance Section or call (562) 462-2339. (Please see reverse side.)

GLORIA OLMOS RE-ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOOL BOARD 2013

CS02

JY



CAMPAIGN FINANCE DISCLOSURE SECTION CALL REPORT FOR JAMIE

DATE OF CALL:

3/10/2015

Outgoing Call

CALLER NAME:

Left voicemail

CAND/COMM/MD NAME

Gloria Olmos

ELECTION ID:

S115

CONTROL NUMBER:

014155

CFD STAFF NAME:

Jamie

TYPE OF CALL:

Non Filer

SUB-TYPE:

CALL DURATION:

1 MINUTE(S)

CALL SUMMARY:

Hello, my name is Jamie, and I am calling from the Registrar Recorder's Campaign Finance Section. This call is regarding your campaign statement that was due on February 2, 2015. Your statement is already in the penalty phase and will soon be referred to the Fair Political Practices Commission for enforcement. Please file your campaign statement or contact our office as soon as possible. Our number is 562-

462-2339; fax number 562-651-2548. Thank you.

ACTION/RESOLUTION:

DATE: 3/11/2015 PAGE7

MAILING DATE: 03/12/15

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION REFERRAL REFERRAL NO.: D15024
FILING PERIOD: 1 DUE DATE: 02/02/15 COVERING DATES: 07/01/14 TO 12/31/14

ELECTION ID: \$115 HELD: 12/31/10

ELECTION NAME: SEMI ANNUAL FILING 2014 SECOND HALF

R-R CONTROL NO.: 014133 PARTY:

The attached case file is being referred to your office for appropriate action pursuant to Sovernment Code Section 81010 (d). After two requests for compliance, the required campaign statement has not yet been filed.

If you have any questions, please contact the Campaign Finance and Proposition B Section at (562) 462-2339.

GLORIA J. OLMOS VALLE LINDO SCHOOL DIST

GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER

PROBLEM STATE OF THE STATE OF T

CFCS

Exhibit A-12

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER FPPC NO. 14/1263



FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

428 J Street • Suite 620 • Sacramento, CA 95814-2329 (916) 322-5660 • Fax (916) 322-0886

June 22, 2015

Gloria J. Olmos

Re-Flect Gloria Olmos for School Board 2013

RE: Committee's Failure to File Campaign Statements: <u>FPPC No. 14/1263</u>; Re-Elect Gloria Olmos for School Board 2013

Dear Ms. Olmos,

The Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission (Commission) has received a referral from your filing officer regarding Re-Elect Gloria Olmos for School Board 2013's failure to file the following campaign statements:

Statement/Report Type	Reporting Period	Filing Deadline
Semi-Annual	01/01/14 - 06/30/14	07/31/14
Semi-Annual	07/01/14 - 12/31/14	02/02/15

Failing to timely file a campaign statement is a violation of the Political Reform Act (Act)¹. As the controlling candidate, you are liable for the committee's filing violations. The Enforcement Division has commenced an enforcement action against you, and can obtain an order that you pay a monetary penalty to the State of California of up \$5,000 per violation.²

On May 21, 2015, the Commission approved an Enforcement Streamlined Program that allows committees who failed to file campaign statements to qualify for a reduced fine, in certain circumstances, through the Enforcement Division's streamlined program. In order to qualify for this program, you must first file any past-due campaign statements with your filing officer and provide a copy of the file stamped statements to the Enforcement Division within 30 days of the date of this letter. Once the Enforcement Division receives your campaign statements, we will examine your statements to determine whether you meet the streamline qualification criteria. If you qualify for the program, the Enforcement Division staff will contact you to settle this matter for a significantly reduced fine.

Failing to file the statements identified above within 30 days of the date of this letter will automatically disqualify you from the streamline program even if you would have otherwise been eligible. By not responding you could incur a fine of up to \$5,000 per violation. These fines can be pursued against you personally even if you choose not to respond or update your filings.

² Section 83116.

¹ The Political Reform Act is contained in Government Code Sections 81000 through 91014.

For more information on your campaign forms, visit our website at www.fppc.ca.gov. If you have any questions regarding this letter or your filing obligations, please contact Michael Hamilton at 916-322-5772.

Sincerely,

Michael Hamilton

Commission Counsel



Short Form Campaign Statement -Officeholder and Candidate Date of election if applicable: (Month, Day, Year) Amendment (Explain Below) LOS ANGELES COUNTY 2015 JUL 15 PM 3: 27 CAMPAIGN FINANCE 014155 FORM For Official Use Only

1. Statement Covers Calendar Year 20 15.	
2. Officeholder or Candidate Information 3.	3. Office Sought or Held
NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR CANDIDATE	OFFICE SOUGHT OR HELD
Gloria () Imos	Valle Lindo School District
SIREELADORESS	JURISDICTION (LOCATION)
1) 2 Trank St. South E Monty 4	LA. COLOTY
(26) 786-8 121 SIME RECORD 9178	
AREĂCODEDĂYTIME PHONE NUMBER OPTIONAL: FAX / E-MAIL ADDRESS	
Committee of the commit	

4. Committee information

List all committees of which you have knowledge that are primarily formed to receive contributions or to make expenditures on behalf of your candidacy. COMMITTEE NAME AND I.D. NUMBER COMMITTEE ADDRESS NAME OF TREASURER

Verification

Executed on _

I declare under penalty of perjury that to the best of my knowledge I anticipate that I will receive less than \$1,000 and that I will spend less than \$1,000 during the calendar year and that I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

SIGNATURE OF OFFICEHOLDER OR CANDIDATE

FPPC Form 470/470 Supplement Instructions - Rev. 2 (Dec/2012) FPPC Advice: advice@fppc.ca.gov (866/275-3772) FPPC Form 470/470 Supplement (Jan/2008)

www.fppc/ca.gov -

Exhibit A-14

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER FPPC NO. 14/1263

LOS ANGELES REGISTRAR-REJORDER/COUNTY CLERK

MAILING (FILE COPY)

TE: 07/14/15

WRONG FORM

FILING PERIOD: 1 DUE DATE: 07/31/15 COVERING DATES: 01/01/15 TO 06/30/15

ELECTION ID: S715 HELD: 06/30/15

ELECTION NAME: SEMI ANNUAL FILING 2015 FIRST HALF

OFFICE: VALLE LINDO SCHOOL DIST GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER PARTY:

R-R CONTROL NO.: 014155

Your activity for the above shown period was reported on the wrong form. Please file the Form 460.

Please complete and return the enclosed amendment to:

Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk Campaign Finance and Proposition B Section 12400 Imperial Highway, Room 2003 Norwalk, California 90650

If you have any questions, please call (562) 462-2339.

GLORIA J. OLMOS

CA07

Exhibit A-15

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER FPPC NO. 14/1263

This is an archive of a past election.

See http://www.smartvoter.org/ca/la/ for current information.



League of Women Voters of California Education Fund





Los Angeles County, CA

November 3, 2015 Election

Council Member; City of South El Monte Voter Information

Results as of Nov 13 2:00pm, 100% of Precincts Reporting (5/5)

Candidates (Vote for 2)

A Message from the League of Women Voters: All candidates running in the November 3 elections throughout L.A. County are invited to post their campaign information on Smart Voter. An email with instructions on how to post has been sent to those candidates for whom we have email addresses; some of these messages, we know, have been trapped in spam filters. Candidates in areas where the League has active volunteers will receive phone calls or additional emails asking them to participate. Any L.A. County candidate who does not receive an invitation should send an email to ca-la@smartvoter.org.

Click on highlighted name for additional information supplied by candidate. Contact non-highlighted candidates and encourage them to provide information for voters.

✓ Hector Delgado 618 votes 28.01%

- Occupation: High School Educator
- hdelgado142@yahoo.com

✓ Gloria Olmos 545 votes 24.71%

- · Occupation: Volunteer Soroptimist President
- olmos26@gmail.com

Willhans Ili 540 votes 24.48%

- Occupation: City Councilmember/Educator
- Ili4sem@gmail.com

Gilbert "Gil" Zepeda 295 votes 13.37%

- · Occupation: Regional Manager
- · 16 Year U.S. Army Veteran
- · Iraqi Freedom Combat Veteran
- Parent Advisory Council Monte Vista Elementary
- · Superintendents Parent Advisory Board Monte Vista Elementary
- · Principal's Parent Financial Board Monte Vista Elementary
- Executive Board Member El Monte Jets Football & Cheer Organization
- gilzepedajps@yahoo.com

This election is archived. Any links to sources outside of Smart Voter may no longer be active. No further links will be added to this page.

Links to sources outside of Smart Voter are provided for information only and do not imply endorsement.

Priorities:

- Tranparancy in Project Development Agreements in South El Monte
- Hire Local Residents for all Housing And Retail Construction Projects
- Against No Bid Contract \$2 Million Dollar Solar Panel Project on City Buildings

Manuel "Manny" Acosta 208 votes 9.43%

- · Occupation: Inventory Control Manager
- mannyacosta4council@aol.com

Basic candidate data supplied by the applicable elections official. Order of candidates is random and changes daily.

Home (Ballot Lookup) | About Smart Voter | Feedback

Created: December 21, 2015 20:36 PST Smart Voter http://www.smartvoter.org/

Copyright © League of Women Voters of California Education Fund http://cavotes.org
The League of Women Voters neither supports nor opposes candidates for public office or political parties.

Exhibit A-16

Recipien' ammittee Campaign statement Cover Page (Government Code Sections 84200-84216.5)	Type or print .	.ek.	Date Stamp	CALIFORNIA 460
SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE	Statement covers period from 9/20/15 through 10/17/15	Date of election if applicable: (Month, Day, Year)	CITY OF SOUTH ELECTRY CLERK'S O	FICEFor Official Use Only
State Candidate Election Committee Recall (Also Complete Part 5) General Purpose Committee Sponsored Small Contributor Committee	rmplete Parts 1, 2, 3, and 4. trimarily Formed Ballot Measure committee) Controlled) Sponsored bluc Complete Part 6) rimarily Formed Candidate/ fficeholder Committee lsc Complete Part 7)	2. Type of Statement:	Special Supplemination) States	erly Stalement al Odd-Year Report emental Preelection nent - Attach Form 495
COMMITTEE NAME (OR CANDIDATE'S NAME IF NO COMMITTEE) FOR SAME COMMITTEE NAME OF STATE OF NO BITTEET OR PO	unal 2015 the Martica 91733 DE AREA CODEPHONE (6207865)	Treasurer(s) NAME OF TREASURER MAILING ADDRESS CITY NAME OF ASSISTANT TREASUR MAILING ADDRESS CITY OPTIONAL FAX / E-MAIL ADDR	STATE ZIP COU	2.3 (6267) 2.3 (6267) DE AREA CODE/PHONE DE AREA CODE/PHONE
4. Verification I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing and reviewing under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California Executed on	By Separate of Control	Signature of Trossurping Assistant T	reasurer Confirtor Responsible Officer of Sponsor see Measure Proponent are Measure Proponent	
			FPPC Toll-Free Help	line: 866/ASK-FPPC (866/275-3772) State of California

Type or print in ink.

Recipient Committee Campaign Statement Cover Page — Part 2 COVER PAGE - PART 2
CALIFORNIA 460
FORM:

NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR CANDIDATE		Primarily Formed Ballot Meas	ure Committee	•	
NAME OF OFFICEROLDER OR CARDIDATE		NAME OF BALLOT MEASURE			
	James!	BALLOT NO OR LETTER JURISO	DICTION		
OFFICE SOUGHT OR HELD (INCLUDE LOCATION AND DISTRICT NUMBER IF APPLICABLE)	205		летон	1	SUPPORT OPPOSE
City Caunal - South El Monte		NA			J 61 1 00E
RESIDENTIAL BUSINESS ADDRESS (NO. AND STREET) CITY STATE ZIP		_Identify the controlling officeholder	r, candidate, or st	ate measure	proponent, if any.
N. Central Rue, South El Marle	, CA9173	NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER, CANDIDATE, O	R PROPONENT		
Baharat Garantina and Markata at a state of the same of					
Related Committees Not Included in this Statement: List any committees not included in this statement that are controlled by you or are primarily formed to receive		OFFICE SOUGHT OR HELD		DISTRICT NO	IF ANY
contributions or make expenditures on behalf of your candidacy.					
COMMITTEE NAME I.D NUMBER					
AIM	7	Primarily Formed Candidate/0	Officeholder Co	mmittee /	ist names of
NAME OF TREASURER CONTROLLED COMMITTEE?		officeholder(s) or candidate(s) for which			
YES NO		NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR CANDIDATE	Toffice sou	GHT OR HELD	
COMMITTEE ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS (NO P.O. BOX)		A ? I A	OFFICE SOO	GHT OK HELD	SUPPORT OPPOSE
CITY STATE ZIP CODE AREA CODE/PHONE		NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR CANDIDATE	OFFICE SOU	GHT OR HELD	C CUPOCOT
					SUPPORT OPPOSE
COMMITTEE NAME 1.D NUMBER		NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR CANDIDATE	OFFICE SOU	GHT OR HELD	
					SUPPORT OPPOSE
NAME OF TREASURER CONTROLLED COMMITTEE?		NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR CANDIDATE	OFFICE SOU	GHT OR HELD	☐ SUPPORT
YES NO					OPPOSE
COMMITTEE ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS (NO PO. BOX)					
CITY STATE ZIP CODE AREA CODE/PHONE		Attach contin	uation sheets if r	necessarv	
		Atach conon	Danon Silvers II I	rocessary	

Campaign	Disclosure	Statement
Summary	Page	

Type or print in Ink. Amounts may be rounded to whole dollars.

SUMMARY PAGE

Statement covers period CALIFORNIA FORM through 10/17 SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE I.D. NUMBER NAME OF FILER to Elect Gloria Olyus for City (amail Pending Calendar Year Summary for Candidates Column A Column B Contributions Received CALENDAR YEAR TOTAL TODATE Running in Both the State Primary and General Elections 737, 1. Monetary Contributions Schedule A, Line 3 \$ 1/1 through 6/30 2. Loans Received 727 20. Contributions 3. SUBTOTAL CASH CONTRIBUTIONS Add Lines 1 + 2 Received Ø 4. Nonmonetary Contributions Schedule C. Line 3 21. Expenditures 727.0 5. TOTAL CONTRIBUTIONS RECEIVED Add Lines 3 + 4 **Expenditure Limit Summary for State Expenditures Made** Candidates 6. Payments Made Schedule E. Line 4 7. Loans Made 22. Cumulative Expenditures Made (N Subject to Voluntary Expenditure Limit) 340.72 s 8. SUBTOTAL CASH PAYMENTS 370a 9. Accrued Expenses (Unpaid Bills)Schedule F. Line 3 Date of Election Total to Date (mm/dd/yy) Ø 10. Nonmonetary Adjustment Schedule C. Line 3 1040,72 5 140,72 **Current Cash Statement** 50,00 12. Beginning Cash Balance Previous Summary Page, Line 16 To calculate Column B, add 577,00 amounts in Column A to the 13. Cash Receipts Column A, Line 3 above corresponding amounts *Amounts in this section may be different from amounts from Column B of your last 14. Miscellaneous Increases to Cash Schedule I. Line 4 reported in Column B. 340,72 report. Some amounts in 15. Cash Payments Column A. Line 8 above Column A may be negative 713,70 figures that should be 16. ENDING CASH BALANCE Add Lines 12 + 13 + 14, then subtract Line 15 subtracted from previous If this is a termination statement, Line 16 must be zero. period amounts. If this is the first report being filed Ø for this calendar year, only 17. LOAN GUARANTEES RECEIVED Schedule B, Part 2 \$ carry over the amounts from Lines 2, 7, and 9 (if Cash Equivalents and Outstanding Debts any). 18. Cash Equivalents See instructions on reverse 700,0 FPPC Form 460 (January/05) FPPC Toil-Free Helpline: 866/ASK-FPPC (866/275-3772) 19. Outstanding Debts Add Line 2 + Line 9 in Column B

Schedule A Type or print in ink.				SCHEDULE A			
Monetary	Contributions Received		ts may be rounded whole dollars.	from 9/2	pers period		ORNIA 460
SEE INSTRUCTION	NS ON REVERSE			through 10/1	17/15	Page .	4 of 6
NAME OF FILER		^				I,D. NU	MBER
Commi	thee To Elect Glorid Climas	tor Sa	ith El Monte Cit	4 council	2015	PX	ending
DATE RECEIVED	FULL NAME, STREET ADDRESS AND ZIP CODE OF CONTRIBUTOR (IF COMMITTEE, ALSO ENTER I D. NUMBER)	CONTRIBUTOR CODE *	IF AN INDIVIDUAL, ENTER OCCUPATION AND EMPLOYER (IF SELF-EMPLOYED, ENTER NAME OF BUSINESS)	AMOUNT RECEIVED THIS PERIOD	CUMULATIVE TO CALENDAR Y (JAN. 1 - DEC	EAR	PER ELECTION TO DATE (IF REQUIRED)
10/15/15	Sheet Metal workers Int'l. Assoc. Web Lanion 105 Political Edi Fund 2120 Mako Centro Dr. Ste. 105 Glanbora, on 91740-6720	☐IND DICOM ☐OTH ☐PTY ☐SCC		\$500.00			
9/24/15	L. V. Diaz 11029 maplefiell St South & Morte, chalt33	SIND COM OTH PTY SCC		\$ 100,00			
9/26/15	Timethy A. Hinson 11324 Byways ST. South El Monte, CA91733	MIND COM OTH PTY SCC		1 250,00			
9127115	Wilbert Name Maria Adame 525 N Houser Dr. Cuvina, 12691732	COM COM OTH PTY SCC		¥ 100,00			
912715	37M Engineering Machine Shop Coop 2506 Seamon Aug. South Elmonte (CH, 91733	COM COM OTH PTY SCC		t 300,			
			SUBTOTAL	1,250"			
Schedule /	A Summary				*Con	tributor Co	odes
(Include all	ceived this period – itemized monetary contributions. Schedule A subtotals.)		\$	1,87500			nt Committee han PTY or SCC)
2. Amount red	ceived this period – uniternized monetary contributions	s of less than \$	\$100 \$	702,10		- Other (e	e.g., business entity) Party
2 Tatal	tary contributions received this period. 1 and 2. Enter here and on the Summary Page, Colu			2,577	scc	~ Small Co	Form 460 (January/05) (-FPP '866/275-3772)

Schedule A (Continuation Sheet) Monetary Contributions Received		Type or pri Amounts may to whole o	be rounded	Statement cov	45	SCHEDULE A (CONT. CALIFORNIA 460 FORM
NAME OF FILER	mittle to Elect Gloris	.01m2	es for south E	Monte Cil	of Causil to	D NUMBER Pendina
DATE RECEIVED	FULL NAME, STREET ADDRESS AND ZIP CODE OF CONTRIBUTOR OF COMMITTEE, ALSO ENTER LD NUMBER!	CONTRIBUTOR CODE *	IF AN INDIVIDUAL, ENTER OCCUPATION AND EMPLOYER (IF SELF-EMPLOYED, ENTER NAME OF BUSINESS)	AMOUNT RECEIVED THIS PERIOD	CUMULATIVE TO DO CALENDAR YEA (JAN. 1 - DEC. 31	R TODATE
9/21/15	Gorald F. Duran Awels R. Duran 1951 Deer Haven Dr. Chinoger 91709	MIND COM OTH PTY SCC		\$100,00		
9/26/15	Donna or John Gannon 5335 Zadell Dr. Temple City, CA 91780	ØiND ☐COM ☐OTH ☐PTY ☐SCC		\$100,00		
9125/15	Cours Verdugo 2178 Saticuy St. Pomona, CA 91767-2411	COM COM OTH PTY SCC		\$ 125,00		
9(27/15	Stell a Jauregui JOE Jauregui 11006 Central Aue. South El Monte, CA 917-33	□ IND □ COM □ OTH □ PTY □ SCC		\$100,00		
9/27/15	Mary J. Bennett Michael G. Bennett 135 San Miguel Dr. Arcadia, caquot	SIND COM OTH PTY SCC		\$100,00		
			SUBTOTAL	\$ 525,00		

*Contributor Codes

Contributor Codes
IND – Individual
COM – Recipient Committee
(other than PTY or SCC)
OTH – Other (e.g., business entity)
PTY – Political Party
SCC – Small Contributor Committee

FPPC Form 460 (January/05) FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/ASK-FPPC (866/275-3772)

Schedule Monetary	Ile A (Continuation Sheet) Type or print in ink. Amounts may be rounded to whole dollars.			Statement cov	1	CALIFORNIA FORM 460		
NAME OFFILER	ter To Elect Horiz Olyros for	or Sait	nel Nente City	Causal	2015	1.D. NU	end	ing
DATE RECEIVED	FULL NAME, STREET ADDRESS AND ZIP CODE OF CONTRIBUTOR (F COMMITTEE ALSO ENTER ID NUMBER)	CONTRIBUTOR CODE *	IF AN INDIVIDUAL, ENTER OCCUPATION AND EMPLOYER (IF SELF-EMPLOYED, ENTER NAME OF BUSINESS)	AMOUNT RECEIVED THIS PERIOD	CUMULATIVE TO CALENDAR Y (JAN. 1 - DEC	EAR	TO	LECTION DATE QUIRED)
9/27/15	Macario Specialties 964, e. Babillo St. St. 217 Covina, CA 91724-2950	ZÍND COM OTH PTY		\$100,00	And a supplementary of the sup			
A	Colling	□IND □COM □OTH □PTY □SCC			4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4			
		DIND COM OTH PTY						
		OTH SCC						
		IND COM OTH PTY SCC						
				. 100 00				

*Contributor Codes

IND - Individual
COM - Recipient Committee
(other than PTY or SCC)
OTH - Other (e.g., business entity)
PTY - Political Party
SCC - Small Contributor Committee

FPPC Form 460 (January/05)
FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/ASK-FPPC (866/275-3772)



					OVER PAGE
Recip t Committee Campaign Statement Cover Page			Date Stamp	CALIFOR FORM	- 7 - 1
SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE	Statement covers period from 10/18/1.5	(Month, Day, Year)	FEB - 1 P 5:	For Off	of
1. Type of Recipient Committee: All Committees - Cor	nplete Parts 1, 2, 3, and 4.	2. Type of Statement:			
State Candidate Election Committee Recall Recall Recall General Purpose Committee Sponsored Sponsored Recall Committee	rimarily Formed Ballot Measure committee Controlled Sponsored rac Compate Part to rimarily Formed Candidate/ officeholder Committee lac Concider Part 7	Preelection Statement Semi-annual Statement Termination Statement (Also file a Form 410 Termi Amendment (Explain below	ination) w) Scurer to sny	Quarterly Statemen Special Odd-Year R	eport
3. Committee Information	NUMBER 361097	Treasurer(s)			
COMMITTEE NAME (OR CANDIDATES NAME IF NO COMMITTEE) COMMITTEE TO ELECT GLOND C FOR SCILLLE MONTE CITY STATE ZIPCOL MAILING ADDRESS (IF DIFFERENT) NO AND STREET OR PO BOX (SI ME AS 2 band) CITY STATE ZIPCOL MAILING ADDRESS (IF DIFFERENT) NO AND STREET OR PO BOX (SI ME AS 2 band) OPTIONAL FAX'E MANADORESS OPTIONAL FAX'E MANADORESS	Council 2015 DE AREA CODE/PHONE 233 (6W)443:2535	NAME OF TREASURER MAILING ADDRESS CITY NAME OF ASSISTANT TREASURER, IF MAILING ADDRESS CITY OPTIONAL FAX (E-MAIL ADDRESS)	ST. South	CEI Monte,	AREA CODE/PHONE
4. Verification I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing and reviewing certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Executed on Executed on Executed on Call Call Call Call Call Call Call Ca	ng this statement and to the best of my king California that the foregoing is true and company by By Signature of Control	orrect. Llonge Ollanio. F Signature of reasoner or Assessment Tree Longe Ollmio			and complete 1
Executed on	9	mature of Controlling Officeholder Candidate State mature of Controlling Officeholder Candidate State			
Care	35	hierare a controveral concensioner convicate, prate	- Tourse (Toponerii		

FPPC Form 460 (Jan/2016)
FPPC Advice: advice@fppc.ca.gov (866/275-3772)
www.fppc.ca.gov

Recipient Committee Campaign Statement Cover Page — Part 2 CALIFORNIA 460
FORM 460

Officeholder or Candidate Controlled Commi	ttee	6.	Primarily Formed Ballo	ot Measure	Committee		
NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR CANDIDATE GLOVIA OL FOR MITTER TO ELECT GLOVIA OL FOR SCUTTILES MONTE CITY CO	mos		NAME OF BALLOT MEASURE				
OFFICE SOUGHT OR HELD (INCLUDE LOCATION AND DISTRICT	NUMBER IF APPLICABLE)		BALLOT NO OR LETTER	JURISDICTIO	N		SUPPORT OPPOSE
RESIDENTIAL/BUSINESS ADDRESS (NO AND STREET) CI			Identify the controlling office	eholder, cand	idate, or state	measure prop	onent, if any.
1415 Santa Anita Awe, Sout	nel monte, ch 91+33		NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER, CAR	DIDATE OR PR	OPONENT		
Related Committees Not Included in this State not included in this statement that are controlled by you or contributions or make expenditures on behalf of your candi	are primarily formed to receive		OFFICE SOUGHT OR HELD			DISTRICT NO	FANY
COMMITTEE NAME	I.D NUMBER						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
H/A		7.	Primarily Formed Can	didate/Offic	eholder Co	mmittee Lis	st names of
NAME OF TREASURER	CONTROLLED COMMITTEE?		officeholder(s) or candidate(s) for which this	committee is p	primarily forme	d.
	YES NO		NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR	CANDIDATE	OFFICE SOU	GHT OR HELD	
COMMITTEE ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS (NO PO BO	DX)		2/2				SUPPORT OPPOSE
CITY STATE ZIP CO	DDE AREA CODE/PHONE		NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR	CANDIDATE	OFFICE SOU	GHT OR HELD	SUPPORT OPPOSE
COMMITTEE NAME	I D NUMBER		NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR	CANDIDATE	OFFICE SOU	GHT OR HELD	SUPPORT OPPOSE
NAME OF TREASURER	CONTROLLED COMMITTEE?		NAME OF OFFICEHOLDER OR	CANDIDATE	OFFICE SOU	GHT OR HELD	SUPPORT OPPOSE
COMMITTEE ADDRESS STREET ADDRESS (NO PO. BO	DX)				1		
CITY STATE ZIP CO	DDE AREA CODE/PHONE		An	ach continuat	ion sheets if n	ecessary	

FPPC Form 460 (Jan/2016)
FPPC Advice: advice@fppc.ca.gov (866/275-3772)
www.fppc.ca.gov

Campaign	Disclosure	Statement
Summary	Page	

Amounts may be rounded to whole dollars.

SUMMARY PAGE

Statement covers period

Summary Page		from	10/18/15	FORM 400
SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE		through	12/3/15	Page 3 of 4
NAME OF FILER				I.D. NUMBER
Committee To Elect Gloria Olmosto	c South El IV	Inte City Ca	incil 2015	1361097
Contributions Received	COLUMN A TOTAL THIS PERIOD (FROM ATTACHED SCHEDULES)	Column B CALENDAR YEAR TOTAL TO DATE	Calendar Year Sum	mary for Candidates e State Primary and
Monetary Contributions Schedule A. Line 3 Loans Received Schedule B. Line 3 SUBTOTAL CASH CONTRIBUTIONS Add Lines 1 + 2 Nonmonetary Contributions Schedule C. Line 3 TOTAL CONTRIBUTIONS RECEIVED Add Lines 3 + 4	4562,78	\$ 3,727. \$ 3,727.00 \$ 3,239.78		5
Expenditures Made 6. Payments Made	- 010 11	5 11,359,08 5 11,359.08 5,400.00 5 16,759.00		Summary for State ve Expenditures Made* Voluntary Expenditure Limit) Total to Date \$
Current Cash Statement 12. Beginning Cash Balance	s 0	To calculate Column B, add amounts in Column A to the corresponding amounts from Column B of your last report. Some amounts in Column A may be negative figures that should be subtracted from previous period amounts. If this is the first report being filed for this calendar yar, only carry over the amounts from Lines 2, 7, and 9 (if any).	reported in Column B.	may be different from amounts FPPC Form 460 (Jan/2016)
		I	FPPC Advice: adv	ice@fppc.ca.gov (866/275-3772)

Schedul	o C		Amounts may be rounded						SCHEDULE C
	etary Contributions Received		to whole dollars.		Statement covers period from 10/18/15			CALIFO FOR	
SEE INSTRUCT	IONS ON REVERSE				thro	ugh 13/31	15_	Page	
NAME OF FILER								I.D. NUME	BER
Comm	Hee To Elect Gloria Olm	us for s	South El Morte C	ity Counc	1	2015		13	01097
DATE RECEIVED	FULL NAME, STREET ADDRESS AND ZIP CODE OF CONTRIBUTOR OF COMMITTEE, ALSO ENTER I.D. NUMBER)	CONTRIBUTOR CODE *	IF AN INDIVIDUAL, ENTER OCCUPATION AND EMPLOYER (IF SELF-EMPLOYED, ENTER NAME OF BUSINESS)	DESCRIPTION GOODS OR SERV	OF	AMOUNT/ FAIR MARKET VALUE	CALEND	ATIVE TO ATE DAR YEAR - DEC 31)	PER ELECTION TO DATE (IF REQUIRED)
10/33/15	Gravelect #1342/40 1022 G. Street Sacremento, CA 95814	□IND SCOM □OTH □PTY □SCC		Literatu Mailera	ref	\$1,78 5. 44			
10/23/15	Grow Elect #1342160 1022 GStreet Sacramenter (A 95814	□IND SCOM □OTH □PTY □SCC		mailer.	rel s	^ॳ ्र _, ७३५.५५			
10/24/15	Grow elect # 1342160 1032 G. Street Sicramanto, CA 95814	□IND ☑COM □OTH □PTY □SCC		Proced	mks	B60480			
10/31/15	Graveled #1242160 1022 G. Street Sacramenta CA 95814	DIND COM OTH PTY SCC		8 hone bank	\$	#330,40			
Attach add	itional information on appropriately labeled	continuation	sheets.	SUBT	OTAL	4,506,08			
Amount (Include Amount	e C Summary received this period – itemized nonmoneta all Schedule C subtotals.) received this period – unitemized nonmone	etary contribu	tions of less than \$100		\$ _	26.10	B INC	(other the H – Other (e Y – Political	I nt Committee nan PTY or SCC) .g., business entity)
(Add Lin	nmonetary contributions received this perior es 1 and 2. Enter here and on the Summai	y Page, Colu	ımn A, Lines 4 and 10.)	101/	AL \$ _	17-6511		FDDC	Form 460 (Inc /2016)

Amounts may be rounded

SCHEDULE C

FPPC Form 460 (Jan/2016)
FPPC Advice: advice@fppc.ca.gov (866/275-3772)
w+-w.fppc.ca.gov

Exhibit A-18

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER FPPC NO. 14/1263

1	Chief of Enforcement MICHAEL W. HAMILTON Commission Counsel FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION 1102 Q Street, Suite 3000 Sacramento, CA 95811 Telephone: (916) 322-5772	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	Attorneys for Complainant Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission	
7	Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission	
8	DEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICAL COLUMN	
9	BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of) FPPC No. 14/1263
12		
13	GLORIA OLMOS, RE-ELECT) DECLARATION OF MICHAEL W.
14	GLORIA OLMOS FOR SCHOOL BOARD 2013, AND COMMITTEE) HAMILTON IN SUPPORT OF DEFAULT) DECISION AND ORDER
15	TO ELECT GLORIA OLMOS FOR) (Gov. Code, §§ 11506 and 11520)
16	SOUTH EL MONTE CITY COUNCIL MEMBER 2015,)
17		ý – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –
18	Respondents.	
19		
20	I, Michael W. Hamilton, declare as follows: 1. I am employed by and I represent the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission in my capacity as Commission Counsel for the Enforcement Division. My business address is 1102 Q Street, Suite 3000, Sacramento, California. 2. I am the attorney assigned to this case. If called as a witness, I competently could and would testify to the following, which is based upon my own personal knowledge.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	3. On October 20, 2017, I called Gloria Olmos and left her a voicemail that identified myself	
27	and asked her to call me.	
28	4. On November 17, 2017, I left Gloria Olmos a voicemail asking her to call me.	
		1
	DECLARATION OF MICHAEL W. HAMILTON – Ex. A-19 to DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER FPPC Case No. 14/1263	
- 1	1110 Case 110. 14/1203	

28

Exhibit A-19 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER FPPC NO. 14/1263



FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

1102 Q St • Suite 3000 • Sacramento, CA 95811 (916) 322-5660 • Fax (916) 322-0886

February 14, 2018

First Class Mail

Gloria Olmos, individually, and o/b/o Re-Elect Gloria Olmos for School Board 2013 Committee To Elect Gloria Olmos for South El Monte City Council Member 2015

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ENTER DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

Re: FPPC No. 14/1263

In the Matter of Gloria Olmos, Re-Elect Gloria Olmos for School Board 2013, and Committee to Elect Gloria Olmos for South El Monte City Council Member 2015

Dear Ms. Olmos:

Enclosed please find a copy of the Default Decision and Order, and accompanying Exhibit and attachments, for the above-referenced matter. The Fair Political Practices Commission (Commission) will consider these papers at its public meeting on **March 22**, **2018**, and decide whether to impose an administrative penalty in the amount of Eighteen Thousand Dollars (\$18,000) against you.

You were previously served a Report in Support of a Finding of Probable Cause, commonly known as a Probable Cause Report, advising you of your right to request a probable cause conference or submit a written response to the probable cause report. You did not request a probable cause conference, nor did you submit anything in writing for the Commission's Hearing Officer to consider in his determination of probable cause.

Following the issuance of a Report in Support of a Finding of Probable Cause, the Commission's Hearing Officer found probable cause that you committed six violations of the Political Reform Act's campaign provisions. Thereafter, the Hearing Officer issued an Accusation against you on these violations. The Accusation was personally served on you on September 28, 2017. Under the law, you have therefore received adequate notice of these proceedings and the action filed against you. Under the Administrative Procedure Act, you were required to file your

Notices of Defense within 15 days after service of the Accusation. You failed to file the notice of defense forms. As such, your right to an administrative hearing on this matter has been forfeited, and you are in a default position.

You may, but you are not required to, provide a response brief, along with any supporting materials, no later than five calendar days before the Commission hearing at which the default is scheduled to be heard. Your response brief must be served on the Commission Assistant, at the above address.

At its public meeting on March 22, 2018, the Commission may impose an administrative penalty against you in the amount of \$25,000, the maximum penalty for the five violations.

Following the issuance of the default order and imposition of the administrative penalty, we will commence legal proceedings to collect this fine, which may include converting the Commission's order to a court judgment. Please be advised that administrative penalties for violations of the Political Reform Act cannot be discharged in bankruptcy proceedings.

This letter is your last opportunity to resolve this matter informally by way of a stipulated settlement, before the default proceedings are commenced. If we do not reach a resolution, the enclosed documents will be placed on the Commission's agenda for the March 22, 2018 meeting. Please contact me if you wish to enter into a negotiated settlement.

You may contact me directly at (916) 322-5772.

Sincerely,

Michael W. Hamilton Commission Counsel

Enforcement Division

Enclosures