1	GALENA WEST	
2	Chief of Enforcement THERESA GILBERTSON	
3	Commission Counsel Fair Political Practices Commission	
4	1102 Q Street, Suite 3000 Sacramento, CA 95811	
5	Telephone: (916) 323-6421 Facsimile: (916) 322-1932	
6		
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8		A A DD A CTYCEG GOLD MAGNON
9	BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		EDDC C N. 16/20042
11	In the Matter of:	FPPC Case No. 16/20043
12	ANDREW VALENCIA FOR SWEETWATER SCHOOL BOARD 2016	STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER
13	AND ANDREW VALENCIA,	
14	Respondents.	
15	-	
16	INTRODUCTION	
17	Andrew Valencia for Sweetwater School Board 2016 ("Committee") was the candidate-	
18	controlled committee to elect Andrew Valencia ("Valencia") to the Sweetwater Union High School	
19	District ("SUHSD") Board of Trustees for District 2	during the November 8, 2016 General Election. The
20	Committee violated the Political Reform Act (the "Act") ¹ by failing to timely file campaign statements	
21	and failing to make all expenditures from and deposit all contributions into the designated campaign ban	
22	account.	
23		
24		
25	//	
26		
27		ed to as the Act—is contained in Government Code sections
28	81000 through 91014. All statutory references are to this code. are contained in Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the are to this source.	

2

345

6

7

8

1011

13

12

1415

16

17 18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SUMMARY OF THE LAW

Need for Liberal Construction and Vigorous Enforcement of the Political Reform Act

When enacting the Political Reform Act, the people of California found and declared that previous laws regulating political practices suffered from inadequate enforcement by state and local authorities.² Thus, it was decreed that the Act "should be liberally construed to accomplish its purposes." Another purpose of the Act is to provide adequate enforcement mechanisms so that the Act will be "vigorously enforced."

Pre-election Campaign Statements

A controlled committee must file two pre-election campaign statements before the election in which the candidate is listed on the ballot.⁵ A committee must file the first pre-election campaign statement for the period 45 days before the election, no later than 40 days before the election.⁶ A committee must also file a second pre-election campaign statement for the period ending 17 days before the election no later than 12 days before the election.⁷ Whenever the deadline falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or official state holiday, the filing deadline for a statement shall be extended to the next regular business day.⁸

Semiannual Campaign Statements

A committee must file two semi-annual campaign statements each year no later than July 31 for the period ending June 30 and no later than January 31 for the period ending December 31.9 Whenever the deadline falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or official state holiday, the filing deadline for a statement shall be extended to the next regular business day.¹⁰

² Section 81001, subdivision (h).

³ Section 81003.

⁴ Section 81002, subdivision (f).

⁵ Section 84200.5.

⁶ Section 84200.8, subdivision. (a).

⁷ Section 84200.8, subdivision. (b).

⁸ Regulation 18116, subdivision. (a).

⁹ Section 84200, subdivision. (a).

¹⁰ Regulation 18116, subdivision. (a).

7 8

9 10

12

11

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

One Designated Campaign Bank Account

Upon the filing of a statement of intention to run for office, a candidate must establish one designated campaign bank account. 11 All contributions or loans made to the candidate must be deposited in the account prior to expenditure. ¹² Any personal funds a candidate intends to spend on campaign-related expenses must first be deposited in the campaign bank account before the expenditure is made. 13 A candidate-controlled committee must make all campaign expenditures from the committee's designated bank account.14

Campaign Reporting

The Act provides that each campaign statement must contain certain information about the campaign's financial activity, including total contributions, total expenditures, and identifying information about sources of contributions and recipients of expenditures.¹⁵

Campaign contributions include payments, forgiveness of a loan, payment of a loan by a third party, or an enforceable promise to make a payment, including non-monetary or in-kind contributions. ¹⁶ For contributions of \$100 or more, including loans, the statement must include the name, street address, occupation, employer, and amount received from each source. ¹⁷ For loans, the statement must include the original date and amount of each loan, the due date and interest rate of the loan, the cumulative payment made or received to date, the outstanding balance at the end of the reporting period, and the cumulative amount of contributions.¹⁸

Campaign expenditures include monetary payments, forgiveness of a loan, payment of a loan by a third party, or an enforceable promise to make a payment (also known as an accrued expense.)¹⁹ For expenditures of \$100 or more, the statement must include the name of the payee, the street address, the

¹¹ Section 85201, subdivision (a).

¹² Section 85201, subdivision (c).

¹³ Section 85201, subdivision (d) and Regulation 18524, subdivision (a).

¹⁴ Section 85201, subdivision (e).

¹⁵ Section 84211.

¹⁶ Section 82015.

¹⁷ Section 84211, subdivision (f).

¹⁸ Section 84211, subdivision (g).

¹⁹ Section 82025.

amount of each expenditure, and a brief description of each expenditure.²⁰ An accrued expense must be reported as of the date on which the goods or services are received and must be reported on subsequent statements until the debt is paid or forgiven.²¹

Joint and Several Liability of Committee and Treasurer

It is the duty of a committee treasurer to ensure that the committee complies with the Act.²² A treasurer may be held jointly and severally liable, along with the committee and candidate, for violations committed by the committee.²³

SUMMARY OF THE FACTS

Valencia was an unsuccessful candidate for District 2 of the SUHSD Board of Trustees during the November 8, 2016 General Election. Valencia served as the treasurer for his committee. Valencia previously ran in 2010 for District 5 of the same Board, but was also unsuccessful. This case was opened in response to a referral from the local filing officer, San Diego County Registrar of Voters, alleging that Valencia failed to file required campaign statements. The Enforcement Division's subsequent investigation found that the Committee and Valencia did not comply with several of the Act's campaign reporting requirements.

Failure to Timely File Campaign Statements

Valencia filed an initial statement of organization with the Secretary of State, on or around September 26, 2016, indicating that the Committee qualified on September 1, 2016. The Committee timely filed the first pre-election statement on September 29, 2016 but failed to file any additional statements until the Committee was contacted by the Enforcement Division. The pre-election statement for the period of September 25 through October 22 was due on October 27, 2016. The semiannual campaign statement for the period of October 23 through December 31 was due on January 31, 2017. On March 22, 2017, the Committee filed a combined statement purporting to cover the period of September 25, 2016 through December 31, 2016. Therefore, the disclosure for the pre-election period was almost

²⁰ Section 84211, subdivision (k).

²¹ Regulation 18421.6.

²² Sections 81004, 84100, and Regulation 18427.

²³ Sections 83116.5 and 91006.

five months late and the disclosure for the semiannual period was filed almost two months late. The Committee terminated on the same date.

One Bank Account Rule

The Enforcement Division attempted to reconcile the filed campaign statements, committee records, and the records for the designated campaign bank account. This reconciliation was incomplete due to failure to adequately maintain committee records,²⁴ reporting errors on campaign statements, prohibited cash expenditures,²⁵ and failure to deposit all contributions into and make all expenditures out of the designated campaign bank account.

The Committee reported receiving \$200 from Ginny McGill and \$250 from Annette Hernandez on September 1, 2016. Neither of these contributions were deposited in the campaign bank account. In addition, the Committee reported a \$25,000 loan to the Committee from Valencia. The loan amount was not deposited in the Committee account. Valencia admits that he used personal funds for campaign expenditures but did not deposit the funds into the campaign bank account first. The Committee made nearly all of the reported expenditures outside of the campaign bank account. Instead, the Committee withdrew cash from the campaign bank account and then made separate payments for expenditures using cash, personal credit or debit cards, and with money from third parties. The only activity in the campaign bank account was a cashier's check to pay a political consultant for \$1,250 and \$2,347 in cash withdrawals. A total of \$2,160 was withdrawn in cash in increments of \$100 or more.

Failure to Accurately Report All Campaign Contributions and Expenditures

The Committee reported two loans from the candidate. The first loan, reportedly made on September 19, 2016, was for \$9,000. The second installment, reportedly made on October 1, 2016, was for \$16,000. However, this loan was never made. The funds were never deposited in the campaign bank account. Valencia asserts that he did not understand the requirements of the Act and did not actually loan the funds or spend the funds.

²⁴ Section 84104 requires all committees to maintain all records necessary to substantiate campaign statements.

²⁵ Section 84300 prohibits committees from accepting contributions in cash in amounts of \$100 or more and prohibits expenditures in cash in amounts of \$100 or more.

//

The Committee reported a campaign expenditure for literature paid to Fourth Turning Strategies as if it was paid for directly by the Committee. However, records show that this expenditure of \$6,661.15 was paid from a joint account belonging to Anthony and Gloria Valencia, the candidate's parents. The Committee also reported a campaign expenditure of printing paid to Dimensional Silk Screen. However, records show that \$1,500 was paid for from the account of Anthony and Gloria Valencia. Both checks were signed by Gloria Valencia. Though reported erroneously as a committee expenditure, this contribution should have been reported as a nonmonetary contribution from the candidate's parents. The Committee did report a \$1,500 monetary contribution from Anthony and Gloria Valencia, but did not deposit the \$1,500 into the Committee bank account. The second contribution of \$6,661 was never reported.

The Committee made several additional reporting errors. An expenditure of \$425 was reported as paid to the payee Political Data Inc., however, the payment was never made to the vendor. Therefore, this should have been reported as an accrued expense. The Committee also reported a \$5,000 expenditure to the payee PQ Consulting on the statement covering September 25, 2016 through December 31, 2016. However, only \$3,250 was paid.

In consideration of settlement and given the size of the overall financial activity of this local campaign, this is not being charged as a separate count but will be considered as aggravation.

VIOLATIONS

Count 1: Late Filing of Campaign Statements

The Committee and Valencia failed to timely file a pre-election statement on or before October 27, 2016 for the reporting period of September 25, 2016 through October 22, 2016 and failed to file a semiannual campaign statement on or before January 31, 2017 for the period of October 23, 2016 through December 31, 2016, in violation of Sections 84200.5, 84200.8, and 84200.

Count 2: One Bank Account

The Committee and Valencia failed to make all expenditures from and deposit all contributions into a designated campaign bank account, in violation of Section 85201.

3 4

6 7

5

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

21

20

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

PROPOSED PENALTY

This matter consists of two counts. The maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$5,000 per count. Thus, the maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$15,000.²⁶

In determining the appropriate penalty for a particular violation of the Act, the Commission considers the facts of the case, the public harm involved, and the purposes of the Act. Also, the Commission considers factors such as: (a) the seriousness of the violation; (b) the presence or absence of any intention to conceal, deceive or mislead; (c) whether the violation was deliberate, negligent or inadvertent; (d) whether the violation was isolated or part of a pattern; (e) whether corrective amendments voluntarily were filed to provide full disclosure; and (f) whether the violator has a prior record of violations.²⁷ Here, the violations show a negligent disregard for basic campaign accounting and reporting rules. However, Valencia does not have a prior history of violating the Act.

The Commission considers penalties in prior cases with the same or similar violations and comparable facts. In the Matter of Patricia López, Patty López, for Assembly 2014, and Carolina Perez, FPPC Nos. 15/313 and 15/314 (The Commission approved a stipulated decision on March 17, 2016.) Respondent, a first time, successful candidate for the Assembly, was charged with multiple violations of the Act. These violations included, but were not limited to, failing to timely file three campaign statements; failing to use a designated campaign bank account; receipt of and spending cash in amounts of \$100 or more; and failing to maintain supporting records. The Commission approved a stipulation in the amount of \$7,500 for five counts.

In *López*, respondents failed to timely file a semiannual campaign statement to report the conclusion of the primary election activity and failed to timely file two pre-election statements for the general election. The committee failed to timely disclose \$13,242 in contributions and \$10,965 in expenditures over the three statements. The committee was required to file locally and with the Secretary of State. The committee filed late in both places, the statements were filed close to the due date at the local level and provided some disclosure. The Commission imposed a \$2,500 penalty for this count. Here, for Count 1, the Committee failed to file a pre-election statement until after the election and filed

²⁶ See Section 83116, subdivision (c).

²⁷ Regulation 18361.5, subdivision (d).

the semiannual statement almost two months late. The count here is for two statements, compared to the three in López. Valencia has no prior enforcement history, whereas López had paid a prior penalty for failing to timely file statements. Therefore, a \$2,000 penalty is recommended for Count 1.

With regard to Count 2, the *López* respondents made campaign expenditures totaling \$4,698 outside of the designated campaign bank account, failed to report two expenditures, and failed to deposit approximately \$800 in contributions. The Commission imposed a penalty of \$1,500 for this count. Here, almost all of the Committee's activity was outside of the bank account. The Committee made all but one campaign expenditures outside of the bank account. The Committee failed to deposit \$1,950 in contributions and failed to deposit the personal funds of the candidate into the campaign bank account prior to spending them on campaign-related expenses. Unlike the successful state candidate in *López*, Valencia ran in a local school board election and is not in office. Therefore, the Enforcement Division has elected not to include separate counts for violating the Act's prohibition on cash and for recordkeeping violations. In *López*, the Commission imposed a \$1,500 and \$1,000 penalty for these violations. Additionally, the Enforcement Division has elected not to include a separate count for failure to report contributions and expenditures. In consideration of the additional aggravating factors, a \$2,500 penalty is recommended for Count 2.

After considering the factors listed in Regulation 18361.5, prior similar cases, and other relevant facts, a penalty of \$4,500 is recommended.

27

//

CONCLUSION

Complainant, the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission, and Respondents Andrew Valencia for Sweetwater School Board 2016 and Andrew Valencia hereby agree as follows:

- 1. Respondents violated the Act as described in the foregoing pages, which are a true and accurate summary of the facts in this matter.
- 2. This stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Fair Political Practices

 Commission at its next regularly scheduled meeting—or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
- 3. This stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter—for the purpose of reaching a final disposition without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine the liability of Respondents pursuant to Section 83116.
- 4. Respondents understand, and hereby knowingly and voluntarily waive, any and all procedural rights set forth in Sections 83115.5, 11503, 11523, and Regulations 18361.1 through 18361.9. This includes, but is not limited to the right to appear personally at any administrative hearing held in this matter, to be represented by an attorney at Respondents' own expense, to confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying at the hearing, to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, to have an impartial administrative law judge preside over the hearing as a hearing officer, and to have the matter judicially reviewed.
- 5. Respondents agree to the issuance of the decision and order set forth below. Also, Respondents agree to the Commission imposing against them an administrative penalty in the amount of \$4,500. One or more cashier's checks or money orders totaling said amount—to be paid to the General Fund of the State of California—is/are submitted with this stipulation as full payment of the administrative penalty described above, and same shall be held by the State of California until the Commission issues its decision and order regarding this matter.
- 6. If the Commission refuses to approve this stipulation—then this stipulation shall become null and void, and within fifteen business days after the Commission meeting at which the stipulation is rejected, all payments tendered by Respondents in connection with this stipulation shall be reimbursed to Respondents. If this stipulation is not approved by the Commission, and if a full evidentiary hearing

1	before the Commission becomes necessary, neither any member of the Commission, nor the Executive		
2	Director, shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.		
3	7. The parties to this agreement may execute their respective signature pages separately. A		
4	copy of any party's executed signature page, including a hardcopy of a signature page transmitted via fax		
5	or as a PDF email attachment, is as effective and binding as the original.		
6			
7	Dated: Galena West, Chief of Enforcement		
8	Fair Political Practices Commission		
9			
10	Dated: Andrew Valencia, individually and on behalf of Andrew		
11	Valencia for Sweetwater School Board 2016, Respondents		
12			
13			
14			
15 16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
- 1			

- 1			
1	The foregoing stipulation of the parties "Andrew Valencia for Sweetwater School Board 2016		
2	and Andrew Valencia," FPPC Case No. 16-20043, is hereby accepted as the final decision and order of		
3	the Fair Political Practices Commission, effective upon execution below by the Chair.		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5	Datada		
6	Dated: Alice T. Germond, Chair Fair Political Practices Commission		
7	Fair Political Fractices Commission		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			