1	GALENA WEST		
2	Chief of Enforcement CHRISTOPHER BURTON		
3	Senior Commission Counsel Fair Political Practices Commission		
4	1102 Q Street, Suite 3000 Sacramento, CA 95811		
5	Telephone: (916) 322-5660		
6	Attorneys for Complainant Fair Political Practices Commission, Enforcement Division		
7			
8	BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of:	FPPC Case No. 16/20122	
12	SAMPAYAN 4 VALLEJO MAYOR 2016	STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER	
13	AND RUPERTO "BOB" SAMPAYAN,		
14	Respondents.		
15	INTRODUCTION		
16	Ruperto "Bob" Sampayan ("Bob Sampayan") is the current mayor of the City of Vallejo. In 2015,		
17	Sampayan created the controlled committee Sampayan 4 Vallejo Mayor 2016 (the "Committee") in		
18	conjunction with his successful mayoral campaign in	n the November 8, 2016 General Election. In 2016,	
19	Respondents violated the Political Reform Act (the "Act") ¹ by failing to include the necessary		
20	disclosures on two mass mailings paid for by the Co	mmittee.	
21	SUMMARY OF THE LAW		
22	The Act and its regulations are amended from time to time. The violations in this case occurred in		
23	2016. For this reason, all legal references and discussions of law pertain to the Act's provisions as they		
24	existed at that time.		
25	///		
26			
27	¹ The Act is contained in Government Code sections 81000 through 91014. All statutory references are to the Government Code, unless otherwise indicated. The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission are contained in		
28	Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code Division 6 of the California Code of Regulations, unless otherw		

1

STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER FPPC Case No. 16/20122

Need for Liberal Construction and Vigorous Enforcement of the Political Reform Act

When enacting the Act, the people of California found and declared that previous laws regulating political practices suffered from inadequate enforcement by state and local authorities.² To that end, the Act is to be construed liberally to accomplish its purposes.³ Further, the Act provides adequate enforcement mechanisms so that the Act will be "vigorously enforced."⁴

Requirements for Mass Mailings

The Act requires that a mass mailing disclose the name, street address, and city of the controlled committee that sent the mailing, as well as the name of the person controlling the committee.⁵ Further, the words "Paid for by" must precede the identifying information on the mass mailing.⁶ A mass mailing has been made when more than 200 substantially similar pieces of mail are sent in a calendar month, and includes electronic mail.⁷ The committee that pays for the largest portion of expenditures related to a mass mailing—including design, printing, and postage—is considered the sender.⁸

SUMMARY OF THE FACTS

The Committee filed its initial statement of organization on August 17, 2015 and qualified on September 7, 2015. Bob Sampayan was successful in his bid for Mayor of Vallejo, receiving approximately 40.53 percent of the vote in the November 8, 2016 General Election. From September 1, 2015 to June 1, 2017, the Committee received a total of \$63,463.56 in contributions and made expenditures totaling \$63,583.56. The Committee terminated as of June 1, 2017.

The Committee failed to include the required disclosures on two different mass mailings. On or about October 7, 2016, the Committee sent out approximately 30,000 copies of a mailer that failed to include any disclosure, including the committee name, street address and city, or required "Paid for by" phrase. On October 10, 2016, after discovering the error, the Committee self-reported the violation to the

² Section 81001, subd. (h).

³ Section 81003.

⁴ Section 81002, subd. (f).

⁵ Section 84305, subds. (a) and (c).

⁶ Regulation 18435, subd. (d).

 $^{^{7}}$ Section 82041.5; Regulation 18435, subds. (a) and (e).

⁸ Regulation 18435, subds. (b) and (c).

Enforcement Division, resulting in the opening of this case.

The Committee sent out approximately 30,000 copies of another mailer on or about October 24, 2016. Although the second mailer included a disclosure, the disclosure was deficient in that it did not state the correct name of the Committee. Although the accurate committee name was Sampayan 4 Vallejo Mayor 2016, the name printed on the mailer was Committee to Elect Bob Sampayan.

Although the Committee failed to include the necessary disclosures on the aforementioned mass mailings, the respective expenditures were timely reported on the Committee's campaign statements.

VIOLATIONS

Count 1: Failure to Include Proper Disclosures on Mass Mailings

The Committee and Bob Sampayan failed to include the proper disclosures on two mass mailings, in violation of Section 84305 and Regulation 18435.

PROPOSED PENALTY

This matter consists of one count. The maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$5,000 per count. Thus, the maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$5,000.⁹

In determining the appropriate penalty for a particular violation of the Act, the Commission considers the facts of the case, the public harm involved, and the purposes of the Act. Also, the Commission considers factors such as: (a) the seriousness of the violation; (b) the presence or absence of any intention to conceal, deceive or mislead; (c) whether the violation was deliberate, negligent or inadvertent; (d) whether the violation was isolated or part of a pattern; (e) whether corrective amendments voluntarily were filed to provide full disclosure; and (f) whether the violator has a prior record of violations.¹⁰

Here, it appears the violations resulted from negligence on the part of Respondents. Respondents do not have a prior history of violating the Act, and the violations contained herein do not appear to be part of a pattern of disregard for the Act. Further, there is no evidence of an intent to conceal, deceive, or mislead the public. In fact, upon discovery of the first non-compliant mailer, Respondents self-reported

⁹ Section 83116, subd. (c).

¹⁰ Regulation 18361.5, subd. (d).

the violations to the Enforcement Division. In addition, the Committee reported the expenditures related to the mailers on timely-filed campaign statements; therefore, the public had some information regarding the mailers. However, in contrast, the seriousness of the violations is high since the first mailer distributed by Respondents itself contained no disclosure whatsoever, thereby completely depriving the viewer of the identity of the mailer's sender.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Additionally, the Commission considers penalties in prior cases involving similar violations. Recent similar cases involving a failure to comply with the mass mailing disclosure requirements of the Act include the following:

• In the Matter of Al Bairos and Committee to Re-Elect Al D. Bairos OID Director District #4 2015; FPPC No. 15/1876. Respondents, a candidate and his controlled committee, failed to include the committee's name, street address and city, or requisite "Paid for by" phrase on a mass mailing, in violation of Section 84305 and Regulation 18435. In July 2018, the Commission approved a penalty of \$1,500 on one count.

As to Count 1, Respondents are deserving of a penalty similar to that approved in the *Bairos* case, given the similar nature of the violations. Although multiple mailers paid for by the Committee resulted 16 in violations here, the violations are significantly mitigated by the fact that Respondents self-reported their errors to the Enforcement Division.

Based on the foregoing, a penalty in the amount of \$1,500 is recommended.

CONCLUSION

Complainant, the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission, and Respondents, Sampayan 4 Vallejo Mayor 2016 and Ruperto "Bob" Sampayan, hereby agree as follows:

1. Respondents violated the Act as described in the foregoing pages, which are a true and accurate summary of the facts in this matter.

24 2. This stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Fair Political Practices 25 Commission at its next regularly scheduled meeting—or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.

26 3. This stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter—for the purpose 27 of reaching a final disposition without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine the 28 liability of Respondents pursuant to Section 83116.

4. Respondents understand, and hereby knowingly and voluntarily waive, any and all procedural rights set forth in Sections 83115.5, 11503, 11523, and Regulations 18361.1 through 18361.9. This includes, but is not limited to, the right to appear personally at any administrative hearing held in this matter, to be represented by an attorney at Respondents' own expense, to confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying at the hearing, to subpoen a witnesses to testify at the hearing, to have an impartial administrative law judge preside over the hearing as a hearing officer, and to have the matter judicially reviewed.

5. Respondents agree to the issuance of the decision and order set forth below. Also, Respondents agree to the Commission imposing against them an administrative penalty in the amount of \$1,500. One or more payments totaling this amount, to be paid to the General Fund of the State of California, is/are submitted with this stipulation as full payment of the administrative penalty described above, and they will be held by the State of California until the Commission issues its decision and order regarding this matter.

6. If the Commission declines to approve this stipulation—then this stipulation shall become null and void, and within fifteen business days after the Commission meeting at which the stipulation is rejected, all payments tendered by Respondents in connection with this stipulation shall be reimbursed to Respondents. If this stipulation is not approved by the Commission, and if a full evidentiary hearing before the Commission becomes necessary, neither any member of the Commission, nor the Executive Director, shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

7. The parties to this agreement may execute their respective signature pages separately. A copy of any party's executed signature page including a hardcopy of a signature page transmitted via fax or as a PDF email attachment is as effective and binding as the original.

Dated:	Galena West, Chief of Enforcement Fair Political Practices Commission
Dated:	Ruperto "Bob" Sampayan, individually and on behalf of Sampayan 4 Vallejo Mayor 2016
	5 STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER

FPPC Case No. 16/20122

1	The foregoing stipulation of the parties "In the Matter of Sampayan 4 Vallejo Mayor 2016 and Ruperto		
2	'Bob' Sampayan," FPPC Case No. 16/20122 is hereby accepted as the final decision and order of the Fair		
3	Political Practices Commission, effective upon execution below by the Chair.		
4			
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
6			
7	Dated:		
8	Richard C. Miadich, Chair Fair Political Practices Commission		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17 18			
10 19			
20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER FPPC Case No. 16/20122		
I			