GALENA WEST Chief of Enforcement JENNA C. RINEHART		
Commission Counsel		
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION 1102 Q Street, Suite 3000		
Sacramento, CA 95811 Telephone: (916) 323-6302 Email: JRinehart@fppc.ca.gov		
Eman. JKnenart@ippc.ca.gov		
Attorneys for Complainant Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission		
BEFORE THE FAIR POL	ITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION	
STATE	OF CALIFORNIA	
In the Matter of:	FPPC Case No. 16/19663	
DAVID ALBANESE FOR OXNARD	STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER	
CITY COUNCIL AND DAVID ALBANESE,		
Respondents	s.	
INT	RODUCTION	
Respondent, David Albanese for Oxnard City Council (the "Committee"), is a candidate-		
controlled committee formed to elect Respond	ent, David Albanese ("Albanese"), to City Council for the	
City of Oxnard. Albanese served as the Comm	ittee's treasurer. Albanese was unsuccessful in his bid in	
the November 8, 2016, General Election. This was the first-time Albanese ran for an elected position.		
Respondents violated the Political Reform Act (the "Act") ¹ by failing to deposit all campaign		
contributions and loans into the designated campaign bank account and by failing to make all campaign		
expenditures from the designated campaign ba	nk account.	
///		
through 91014. All statutory references are to this code.	to as the Act – is contained in Government Code sections 81000 The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission are f the California Code of Regulations. All regulatory references are to	
	1 NI DECISION AND ODDED	
STIPULATIO	N, DECISION AND ORDER	

SUMMARY OF THE LAW

The Act and its regulations are amended from time to time. The violations in this case occurred in 2016. For this reason, all legal references and discussions of law pertain to the Act's provisions as they existed at that time.

|| Need for Liberal Construction and Vigorous Enforcement of the Political Reform Act

When enacting the Act, the people of California found and declared that previous laws regulating political practices suffered from inadequate enforcement by state and local authorities.² Thus, it was decreed the Act "should be liberally construed to accomplish its purposes.³ A central purpose of the Act is to provide adequate enforcement mechanisms so that the Act will be "vigorously enforced."⁴

One Designated Campaign Bank Account

Upon the filing of a statement of intention to run for office, a candidate must establish one designated campaign bank account.⁵ All campaign contributions, made to the candidate, must be deposited in the designated campaign bank account.⁶ All personal funds of the candidate, which the candidate intends to use to promote his election, must be deposited into the designated campaign bank account prior to expenditure.⁷ All campaign expenditures must be made from the committee's designated campaign bank account.⁸

Controlled Committee

A controlled committee means a committee that is controlled directly or indirectly by a candidate.⁹ A candidate controls a committee if he has a significant influence on the actions or decisions of the committee.¹⁰

3 || ///

- $\frac{1}{2}$ 22 Section 81001, subdivision (h). 3 Section 81003. 4 Section 81002, subdivision (f).
 - ⁴ Section 81002, subdivision (f).
 - ⁵ Section 85201, subdivision (a). ⁶ Section 85201, subdivision (c).
 - ⁷ Section 85201, subdivision (d).
 - ⁸Section 85201, subdivision (e).
 - $\binom{9}{10}$ Section 82016, subdivision (a). ¹⁰ Sections 81004, 84100, and Regulation 18427.

|| Joint and Several Liability of Committee, Candidate and Treasurer

It is the duty of a committee treasurer to ensure the committee complies with the Act.¹⁰ A treasurer may be held jointly and severally liable, along with the committee and candidate, for violations committed by the committee.¹¹

|| Liability for Violations

Any person who violates any provision of the Act, who purposely or negligently causes any other person to violate any provision of the Act, or who aids and abets any other person in the violation of any provision of the Act, is liable for administrative penalties up to \$5,000 per violation.¹²

SUMMARY OF THE FACTS

This case was opened in response to a referral from the local filing officer alleging Respondents violated the Act by failing to timely file required campaign statements. The Committee did not file its semi-annual campaign statement following the November 8, 2016 election, for the reporting period of October 23, 2016 to December 31, 2016, however this violation is not being charged separately for settlement purposes and the statement has been filed as part of this settlement agreement.

On October 19, 2016, Albanese opened the Committee's campaign bank account and deposited \$100. The campaign bank account statements show, and Albanese stated, that aside from the opening deposit, no transactions went through the campaign bank account as he paid all campaign expenditures in cash using his personal funds. By August 29, 2017, the campaign bank account had been completely depleted due to monthly service charges of \$16 each.

Per campaign statements the Committee and Albanese filed, for the reporting period of August 17, 2016 through October 22, 2016, the Committee reported receiving a total of \$5,162 in contributions and loans and made a total of \$4,200 in expenditures and repayment of loans. However, none of this activity was sourced through the campaign bank account. Every contribution or loan came directly from Albanese himself and was reported as nonmonetary contributions and loans to the Committee. A summary of the reported activity is outlined below.

5 ||///

- ¹¹ Sections 83116. 5 and 91006.
 - ¹² Sections 83116 and 83116. 5.

¹⁰ Sections 81004, 84100, and Regulation 18427.

	Reporting Period	Payor	Description	Amount
	08/17/2016 - 09/24/2016	David Albanese	City of Oxnard - Statement	\$1,300
	08/17/2016 - 09/24/2016	David Albanese	Appexx Printing – Signs	\$547
;	08/17/2016 - 09/24/2016	David Albanese	City of Oxnard – Park Rental	\$400
,	08/17/2016 - 09/24/2016	David Albanese	Appexx Printing – Signs	\$765
; ,	TOTAL			\$3,012

Summary of Nonmonetary Contributions Received

Summary of Loans

Reporting Period Received	Reporting Period Repaid	Lender	Amount
08/17/2016 - 09/24/2016	09/25/2016 - 10/22/2016	Albanese	\$2,150
TOTAL			\$2,150

Summary of Expenditures Made

Reporting Period	Payee	Description	Amount
09/25/2016 - 10/22/2016	City of Oxnard Parks & Recreation	Kick-Off Event	\$400
09/25/2016 - 10/22/2016	Appexx Printing & Graphics	Signs	\$1,310
09/25/2016 - 10/22/2016	Appexx Printing & Graphics	Flyers	\$340
TOTAL			\$2,050

VIOLATIONS

Count 1: One Bank Account

The Committee and Albanese failed to deposit approximately \$5,162 in contributions and loans into the campaign bank account, and failed to pay approximately \$4,200 in expenditures from the campaign bank account in violation of Government Code Section 85201, subdivision (c), (d) and (e).

28 || ///

PROPOSED PENALTY

This matter consists of one count. The maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$5,000 per count. Thus, the maximum penalty that may be imposed here is \$5,000.¹³

In determining the appropriate penalty for a particular violation of the Act, the Commission considers the facts of the case, the public harm involved, and the purposes of the Act. Further, the Commission considers factors such as: (a) the seriousness of the violation; (b) the presence or absence of any intention to conceal, deceive or mislead; (c) whether the violation was deliberate, negligent or inadvertent; (d) whether the violation was isolated or part of a pattern; (e) whether corrective amendments voluntarily were filed to provide full disclosure; and (f) whether the violator has a prior record of violations.¹⁴

A violation of the designated campaign bank account rule is considered inherently serious as the rule is considered key to ensuring committees accurately disclose their contributions and expenditures. In this case, the evidence supports that there was no intent to conceal, deceive or mislead the public as to the Committee's contributions and expenditures because the Committee and Albanese filed all required preelection campaign statements prior to the election. Here, the violation appears to be negligent, not deliberate, as the Committee activity was disclosed on its preelection campaign statements. The violation committed here was an isolated incident as Albanese has not had prior campaign experience or enforcement history.

The Commission considers penalties in prior cases with the same or similar violations and comparable facts. *In the Matter of Donna Munoz for County Supervisor 2016, Donna Munoz, and Max Hazard*, FPPC Nos. 17/140. Respondents, an unsuccessful candidate for the Board of Supervisors, her controlled committee, and its treasurer, made a total of \$30,836 in campaign expenditures. From that total, \$2,362.93 worth of expenditures were paid using personal funds of the candidate who neglected to source the funds through the campaign bank account. Aside from \$945, all personally funded expenditures were disclosed on a campaign statement prior to the pertinent election. In April, 2018, the Commission approved a penalty of \$1,500 for this count.

¹³ Section 83116, subdivision (c).
¹⁴ Regulation 18361. 5, subdivision (d).

A penalty similar to the one approved in *Munoz* is recommended here given the analogous facts involved. In aggravation, like in *Munoz*, the Committee and Albanese committed additional violations of the Act, which are not being charged here for purposes of settlement. These violations include failure to timely file campaign statements and 24-Hour Contribution Reports to report Albanese's contributions to the Committee (although the information was reported on pre-election campaign statements filed before the election), and accepting cash contributions and making cash expenditures of \$100 or more.

In mitigation, the Committee and Albanese disclosed the contributions, loans and expenditures on campaign statements prior to the election. In *Munoz*, a portion of expenditures went undisclosed prior to the pertinent election. Further, Albanese was not sophisticated with the Act and the many requirements involved in campaigning. In *Munoz*, the candidate had the assistance and guidance of an experienced treasurer. Finally, like in *Munoz*, the Committee and Albanese do not have any prior enforcement history.

Based on the foregoing, a penalty in the amount of \$1,500 is recommended.

CONCLUSION

Complainant, the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission, and Respondents David Albanese for Oxnard City Council and David Albanese hereby agrees as follows: 1. Respondents violated the Act as described in the foregoing pages, which are a true and accurate summary of the facts in this matter.

2. This stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Fair Political Practices Commission at its next regularly scheduled meeting – or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.

3. This stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter – for the purpose of reaching a final disposition without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine the liability of Respondents pursuant to Section 83116.

4. Respondents understand, and hereby knowingly and voluntarily waive, any and all procedural rights set forth in Sections 83115.5, 11503, 11523, and Regulations 18361.1 through 18361.9. This includes, but is not limited to the right to appear personally at any administrative hearing held in this matter, to be represented by an attorney at Respondents' own expense, to

1

2

STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER FPPC Case No. 16/19663

6

1 confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying at the hearing, to subpoen a witnesses to 2 testify at the hearing, to have an impartial administrative law judge preside over the hearing as a 3 hearing officer, and to have the matter judicially reviewed.

4 5. Respondents agree to the issuance of the decision and orders set forth below. Also, Respondents agree to the Commission imposing against them an administrative penalty in the amount of \$1,500. One or more cashier's checks or money orders totaling said amount – to be 6 paid to the General Fund of the State of California – is/are submitted with this stipulation as full 8 payment of the administrative penalty described above, and same shall be held by the State of 9 California until the Commission issues its decision and order regarding this matter.

5

7

11

17

18

19

20

10 6. If the Commission refuses to approve this stipulation – then this stipulation shall become null and void, and within fifteen business days after the Commission meeting at which the stipulation is rejected, all payments tendered by Respondents in connection with this stipulation 12 shall be reimbursed to Respondents. If this stipulation is not approved by the Commission, and if 13 14 a full evidentiary hearing before the Commission becomes necessary, neither any member of the 15 Commission, nor the Executive Director, shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of 16 this stipulation.

7. The parties to this agreement may execute their respective signature pages separately. A copy of any party's executed signature page, including a hardcopy of a signature page transmitted via fax or as a PDF email attachment, is as effective and binding as the original.

21	Dated:	
22		Galena West, Chief of Enforcement Fair Political Practices Commission
23	Dated:	
24		David Albanese, individually and on behalf of David Albanese for Oxnard City Council,
25		Respondents
26		
27		
28		
		7
		STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER FPPC Case No. 16/19663

1	The foregoing stipulation of the parties "In the Matter of David Albanese For Oxnard City		
2	Council and David Albanese," FPPC Case No. 16/19663, is hereby accepted as the final decision and		
3	order of the Fair Political Practices Commission, effective upon execution by the Chair.		
4			
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
6			
7	Dated: Richard C. Miadich, Chair		
8	Fair Political Practices Commission		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	8 STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER		
	FPPC Case No. 16/19663		