| 1        | GALENA WEST<br>Chief of Enforcement   |   |  |  |  |
|----------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2        | CHRISTOPHER BURTON Senior Commission Counsel  |   |  |  |  |
| 3        | Fair Political Practices Commission<br>1102 Q Street, Suite 3000  |   |  |  |  |
| 4        | Sacramento, CA 95811<br>Telephone: (916) 322-5660   |   |  |  |  |
| 5        | Attorneys for Complainant   |   |  |  |  |
| 6        | Fair Political Practices Commission, Enforcement Division   |   |  |  |  |
| 7 8      | BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION  |   |  |  |  |
| 9        | STATE OF CALIFORNIA   |   |  |  |  |
| 10       |   |   |  |  |  |
| 11       | In the Matter of:   | FPPC Case No. 19/699  |  |  |  |
| 12       | FAMILY FARMERS WORKING FOR A  | STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER   |  |  |  |
| 13       | BETTER CALIFORNIA, SPONSORED<br>BY WESTERN GROWERS  |   |  |  |  |
| 14       | ASSOCIATION, MATT MCINERNEY,<br>AND WARD KENNEDY,   |   |  |  |  |
| 15       | Respondents.  |   |  |  |  |
| 16       |   |   |  |  |  |
| 17       | INTRODUCTION  |   |  |  |  |
| 18       | Family Farmers Working for a Better Californ  | rnia, Sponsored by Western Growers Association (the   |  |  |  |
| 19       | "Committee") is a sponsored state general purpose committee. The principal officer of the Committee   |   |  |  |  |
| 20       | was Matt McInerney ("McInerney") and its treasurer was Ward Kennedy ("Kennedy") at the time of the  |   |  |  |  |
| 21       | violations in this case.  |   |  |  |  |
| 22       | The Committee was the subject of a Franchise Tax Board audit, which revealed that Respondents   |   |  |  |  |
| 23       | committed numerous violations of the Political Reform Act (the "Act"), including a failure to timely  |   |  |  |  |
| 24       | report certain contributions on a 24-hour independen  |   |  |  |  |
| 25       | certain subvendor payments on a semiannual campa  | ign statement.  |  |  |  |
| 26       | The Act is contained in Government Code sections 8  | 81000 through 91014. All statutory references are to the  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28 | Government Code, unless otherwise indicated. The regulation Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Cod Division 6 of the California Code of Regulations, unless otherwise the Code of Regulations and the Code | s of the Fair Political Practices Commission are contained in e of Regulations. All regulatory references are to Title 2, |  |  |  |

234

4 5

67

8

9

10

1112

13

1415

16

1718

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### **SUMMARY OF THE LAW**

The Act and its regulations are amended from time to time. The violations in this case occurred in 2016. For this reason, all legal references and discussions of law pertain to the Act's provisions as they existed at that time.

## Need for Liberal Construction and Vigorous Enforcement of the Political Reform Act

When enacting the Act, the people of California found and declared that previous laws regulating political practices suffered from inadequate enforcement by state and local authorities.<sup>2</sup> To that end, the Act is to be construed liberally to accomplish its purposes.<sup>3</sup>

One purpose of the Act is to promote transparency by ensuring that receipts and expenditures in election campaigns are fully and truthfully disclosed so that voters are fully informed and improper practices are inhibited.<sup>4</sup> Along these lines, the Act includes a comprehensive campaign reporting system.<sup>5</sup> Another purpose of the Act is to provide adequate enforcement mechanisms so that the Act will be "vigorously enforced."

# Duty to File 24-Hour Independent Expenditure Reports

When a committee makes a late independent expenditure, the committee must disclose the expenditure in a 24-hour independent expenditure report filed in the places where it would be required to file campaign statements as if it were formed or existing primarily to support or oppose the candidate or measure for or against which it is making the late independent expenditure within 24 hours of making the late independent expenditure. A "late independent expenditure" means any independent expenditure which totals in the aggregate \$1,000 or more and is made for or against any specific candidate or measure involved in an election within 90 days before the date of the election or on the date of the election.

A 24-hour independent expenditure report shall disclose any contributions of \$100 or more made

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 81001, subd. (h).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 81003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 81002, subd. (a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Sections 84200, et seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 81002, subd. (f).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 84204.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 82036.5.

15 16

18

19

17

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

after the closing date of the last campaign report filed to the date of the last late independent expenditure.9

## **Duty to Report Subvendor Payments**

A subvendor is a person or company that is hired by a committee's agent or independent contractor to provide a good or service for the committee. The Act requires committees to report payments of \$500 or more made on its behalf by an agent or independent contractor the same way it would if it were making the payment on its own. 10 Disclosure of the expenditures made by an agent or independent contractor are required to be made at the same time and in the same manner and detail as required for the committee's direct expenditures. 11 Specifically, the following information must be provided: (1) the subvendor's full name; (2) his or her street address; (3) the amount of each expenditure; and (4) a brief description of the consideration for which each expenditure was made. <sup>12</sup> This information reported by the candidate or committee is commonly referred to as "subvendor information."

## **SUMMARY OF THE FACTS**

The Committee qualified on August 1, 2012. During the audit period of January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2016, the Committee received contributions amounting to \$25,000 and made expenditures totaling \$34,230.

The Committee was politically active in 2016, supporting Cecilia Aguiar-Curry ("Aguiar-Curry") for State Assembly, District 4, in the June 7, 2016 Primary Election. Aguiar-Curry was successful in the Primary Election, receiving approximately 29.2 percent of the vote and advancing to the November 8, 2016 General Election, where she was also successful.

The Committee made independent expenditures in support of Aguiar-Curry in advance of the June 7, 2016 Primary Election. In particular, the Committee spent \$28,796.45 on a mailer advertisement that supported Aguiar-Curry's candidacy.

The Committee timely filed a 24-hour independent expenditure report on May 27, 2016 that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 84204, subdivision (b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Section 84303.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Regulation 18431, subd. (c); Section 84211, subd. (k).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Section 84211, subds. (k)(1)-(4) and (6).

reported the \$28,796.45 in independent expenditures made in support of Aguiar-Curry. However, the Committee failed to report the following contributions received since the closing date of its last campaign statement on the report:

| Date of<br>Contribution | Contributor  | Amount of Contribution |
|-------------------------|--|------------------------|
| 5/10/16                 | California Cotton Ginners<br>and Growers PAC           | \$2,500                |
| 5/10/16                 | Western Agricultural<br>Processors Assn PAC            | \$2,500                |
| 5/13/16                 | California Citrus Mutual<br>Political Action Committee | \$5,000                |
| 5/13/16                 | California Fresh Fruit<br>Association PAC              | \$5,000                |
| 5/17/16                 | California Dairies Inc.                                | \$5,000                |
|                         | Total:   | \$20,000               |

The aforementioned contributions were otherwise reported on the Committee's timely-filed campaign statement for the period ending June 30, 2016; however, this statement was not due until after the election. Also, although the Committee was not required to report the subject contributions on 24-hour contribution reports, \$15,000 of the contributions were reported on 24-hour contribution reports timely filed by the contributors.

The Committee also failed to timely report certain subvendor payments on its campaign statement for the reporting period ending June 30, 2016. The Committee made a payment in the amount of \$28,786.45 to Rally Campaigns on May 27, 2016, and timely reported the same on its campaign statement for the period ending June 30, 2016. However, the Committee failed to timely report certain underlying subvendor payments totaling \$22,967.18. In particular, Rally Campaigns paid an invoice dated May 26, 2016, on behalf of the Committee, to subvendor Pacific Print Resources, in the amount of \$22,967.18, which included \$8,894.16 allocated to Pacific Print Resources and \$14,073.02 for U.S. postage.

The Committee filed an amended campaign statement, disclosing the subject subvendor payments, in conjunction with this settlement.

#### **VIOLATIONS**

Count 1: Failure to Timely Report Contributions on 24-Hour Independent Expenditure Report
The Committee, McInerney, and Kennedy failed to timely report \$20,000 in contributions on a
24-hour independent expenditure report, in violation of Section 84204, subdivision (b).

## Count 2: Failure to Timely Report Subvendor Payments

The Committee, McInerney, and Kennedy failed to timely report \$22,967.18 in subvendor payments on the Committee's semiannual campaign statement for the reporting period ending June 30, 2016, in violation of Sections 84303 and 84211, subdivision (k)(6).

#### PROPOSED PENALTY

This matter consists of two counts. The maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$5,000 per count. Thus, the maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$10,000.<sup>13</sup>

In determining the appropriate penalty for a particular violation of the Act, the Commission considers the facts of the case, the public harm involved, and the purposes of the Act. Also, the Commission considers factors such as: (a) the seriousness of the violation; (b) the presence or absence of any intention to conceal, deceive or mislead; (c) whether the violation was deliberate, negligent or inadvertent; (d) whether the violation was isolated or part of a pattern; (e) whether corrective amendments voluntarily were filed to provide full disclosure; and (f) whether the violator has a prior record of violations.<sup>14</sup>

In this case, the Enforcement Division found no evidence that Respondents intended to conceal, deceive, or mislead the public. However, corrective amendments were not voluntarily filed prior to contact by the Enforcement Division. Further, although resolved after the violations in this case took place, Respondents have previously been found in violation of the Act. In November 2017, in *In the Matter of Family Farmers Working for a Better California with Major Support by Western Growers Association and Ward Kennedy*; FPPC No. 16/68, the Commission approved a total fine of \$5,000 against the Committee and Kennedy for two counts of failure to timely report receipt of nonmonetary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Section 83116, subd. (c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Regulation 18361.5, subd. (d).

contributions on campaign statements.

Additionally, the Commission considers penalties in prior cases involving similar violations. Recent similar cases involving a failure to timely disclose contributions on 24-hour independent expenditure reports include the following:

• In the Matter of Voters for Good Government and Billie Martinez; FPPC No. 16/182. Respondents, a general purpose committee and its treasurer, failed to timely report \$15,500 in contributions on a 24-hour independent expenditure report, and failed to file an independent expenditure verification, in violation of Sections 84204 and 84213, subdivision (b). In October 2017, the Commission approved a penalty of \$1,500 on one count.

As to Count 1, Respondents are deserving of a penalty higher than that approved in the comparable case, given the higher amount of contributions that went unreported. Further, although the subject contributions here were otherwise disclosed on a timely-filed campaign statement, that statement was not due until after the election, unlike in the comparable case.

Recent similar cases involving a failure to timely report subvendor payments include the following:

• In the Matter of Yorba Linda Taxpayers Association, Tom Babnick, and Jeff Decker; FPPC No. 16/766. Respondents, a general purpose committee and its principal officer and treasurer, failed to timely report \$18,492.16 in subvendor payments on three different campaign statements, in violation of Sections 84303 and 84211, subdivision (k)(6). In September 2019, the Commission approved a fine of \$1,500 on one count.

As to Count 2, a penalty similar to that approved in the comparable case is warranted given the similar amount of financial activity that went unreported.

Based on the foregoing, the following penalties are recommended:

| Count | Violation  | <b>Proposed Penalty</b> |
|-------|--|-------------------------|
| 1     | Failure to Timely Report Contributions on 24-Hour Independent Expenditure Report | \$2,000                 |
| 2     | Failure to Timely Report Subvendor Payments                                      | \$1,500                 |
|       | TOTAL:   | \$3,500                 |

### CONCLUSION

Complainant, the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission, and Respondents, Family Farmers Working for a Better California, Sponsored by Western Growers Association, Matt McInerney, and Ward Kennedy, hereby agree as follows:

- 1. Respondents violated the Act as described in the foregoing pages, which are a true and accurate summary of the facts in this matter.
- 2. This stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Fair Political Practices

  Commission at its next regularly scheduled meeting—or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
- 3. This stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter—for the purpose of reaching a final disposition without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine the liability of Respondents pursuant to Section 83116.
- 4. Respondents have consulted with their attorney, Ashlee Titus, Bell, McAndrews & Hiltachk, LLP, and understand, and hereby knowingly and voluntarily waive, all procedural rights set forth in Sections 83115.5, 11503, 11523, and Regulations 18361.1 through 18361.9. This includes, but is not limited to, the right to appear personally at any administrative hearing held in this matter, to be represented by an attorney at Respondents' own expense, to confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying at the hearing, to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, to have an impartial administrative law judge preside over the hearing as a hearing officer, and to have the matter judicially reviewed.
- 5. Respondents agree to the issuance of the decision and order set forth below. Also, Respondents agree to the Commission imposing against them an administrative penalty in the amount of \$3,500. One or more payments totaling this amount, to be paid to the General Fund of the State of California, is/are submitted with this stipulation as full payment of the administrative penalty described above, and they will be held by the State of California until the Commission issues its decision and order regarding this matter.
- 6. If the Commission declines to approve this stipulation—then this stipulation shall become null and void, and within fifteen business days after the Commission meeting at which the stipulation is rejected, all payments tendered by Respondents in connection with this stipulation shall be reimbursed to

| 1  | The foregoing stipulation of the parties "In the Matter of Family Farmers Working for a Better     |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | California, Sponsored by Western Growers Association, Matt McInerney, and Ward Kennedy," FPPe      |  |  |
| 3  | Case No. 19/699 is hereby accepted as the final decision and order of the Fair Political Practices |  |  |
| 4  | Commission, effective upon execution below by the Chair.   |  |  |
| 5  |  |  |  |
| 6  | IT IS SO ORDERED.  |  |  |
| 7  |  |  |  |
| 8  | Dated:   |  |  |
| 9  | Richard C. Miadich, Chair Fair Political Practices Commission                                      |  |  |
| 10 | Fair Political Practices Commission  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |
|    |  |  |  |