1	GALENA WEST Enforcement Chief		
2	RUTH YANG Commission Counsel		
3	Fair Political Practices Commission 1102 Q Street, Suite 3000		
4	Sacramento, CA 95811 Telephone: (916) 322-7771		
5	Email: ryang@fppc.ca.gov		
6	Attorneys for Complainant Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission		
7	Emoreement Division of the fair Fontieur Fractices	Commission	
8	DEEODE THE EARD DOLLTICA	I DD ACTICES COMMISSION	
9	BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of:	FPPC No. 17/281	
13	CAMPAIGN FOR KIDS – YES ON MEASURE I and RUBEN FRUTOS,	STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER	
14	Respondents.		
15	Respondents.		
16			
17	INTROD		
18	Respondent Campaign for Kids – Yes on Measure I (the "Committee") was a primarily formed		
19	committee that supported the passage of Measure I, a bond measure for the Paramount Unified School		
20	District. Respondent Ruben Frutos ("Frutos") was the Committee's treasurer at all times while the		
21	Committee remained open. Respondents violated the Political Reform Act (the "Act"), by failing to		
22	timely file one pre-election campaign statement, one semi-annual campaign statement, and seventeen 24-		
23	hour reports.		
24	SUMMARY O	F THE LAW	
25	The violations in this case occurred in 2016 and 2017, and all legal references and discussions of		
26	law pertain to the Act's provisions as they existed at that time.		
27 28	The Political Reform Act is contained in Government Code sections 81000 through 91014, and all statutory references are to this code. The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission are contained in Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations, and all regulatory references are to this source.		

2

4 5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

1617

18

1920

21

22

///

///

2324

25

26

27

28

Need for Liberal Construction and Vigorous Enforcement of the Act

When enacting the Act, the people of California found and declared that previous laws regulating political practices suffered from inadequate enforcement by state and local authorities.² Thus, it was decreed that the Act "should be liberally construed to accomplish its purposes." One purpose of the Act is to promote transparency by ensuring that receipts and expenditures in election campaigns are fully and truthfully disclosed so that voters are fully informed and improper practices are inhibited.⁴ Another purpose of the Act is to provide adequate enforcement mechanisms so that the Act will be "vigorously enforced."

Primarily Formed Committee

One of the ways a committee qualifies as a committee under the Act is by receiving \$2,000 or more in contributions during a single calendar year.⁶ A committee is primarily formed when it is formed or exists primarily to support or oppose a single candidate; a single measure; a group of specific candidates being voted upon in the same city, county, or multicounty election; or two or more measure being voted upon in the same city, county, multicounty, or state election.⁷

Pre-Election Campaign Statements

A primarily formed committee that supports or opposes a measure appearing on the ballot to be voted on at the next election must file two pre-election campaign statements before that election.⁸ A committee must file a first pre-election campaign statement for the period ending 45 days before the election no later than 40 days before the election.⁹ A committee must also file a second pre-election campaign statement for the period ending 17 days before the election no later than 12 days before the election.¹⁰

```
<sup>2</sup> Section 81001, subd. (h).
```

³ Section 81003.

⁴ Section 81002, subd. (a).

⁵ Section 81002, subd. (f).

⁶ Section 82013, subd. (a).

⁷ Section 82047.5.

⁸ Section 84200.5, subd. (a).

⁹ Section 84200.8, subd. (a).

¹⁰ Section 84200.8, subd. (b).

"Period covered" by a campaign statement usually begins on the day after the closing date of the most recent campaign statement which was required to be filed, but it is January 1 if a person has not previously filed a campaign statement.¹¹

Semi-Annual Campaign Statements

A primarily formed committee must file two semi-annual campaign statements each year no later than July 31 for the period ending June 30 and no later than January 31 for the period ending December 31.¹²

24-Hour Reports

A late contribution is a contribution that totals in the aggregate \$1,000 or more that is made to or received by a candidate, a controlled committee, or a primarily formed committee during the 90-day period preceding the date of the election, or on the date of the election. Each candidate or committee that makes or receives a late contribution must report it to its filing officer within 24 hours of the time it is made or received.

An independent expenditure is an expenditure made by any person in connection with a communication which expressly advocates the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate or the qualification, passage, or defeat of a clearly identified measure, or taken as a whole and in context, unambiguously urges a particular result in an election. A late independent expenditure is an independent expenditure that totals in the aggregate \$1,000 or more and is made for or against a specific candidate or measure involved in an election during the 90-day period preceding the date of the election or on the date of the election. A committee that makes a late independent expenditure must report it in the jurisdiction where the election is taking place within 24 hours of the time it is made.

///

23 ///

24 ///

11 Section 82046, subd. (b).

¹² Section 84200, subd. (a). ¹³ Section 82036, subd. (a).

¹⁴ Section 84203.

¹⁵ Section 82031.

¹⁶ Section 82036.5.

¹⁷ Section 84204.

Joint and Several Liability

It is the duty of a committee treasurer to ensure that the committee complies with the Act.¹⁸ A treasurer may be held liable, along with the committee, for violations committed by the committee.¹⁹

SUMMARY OF THE FACTS

Measure I was a successful bond measure that was on the ballot for the November 8, 2016 General Election. Measure I was passed with 84.23 percent of the votes, and it authorized the Paramount Unified School District to increase its debt by up to \$106 million by issuing general obligation bonds.

The Committee and Frutos filed an initial statement of organization on October 24, 2016, indicating that the Committee qualified on September 28, 2016 and that it was primarily formed to support the passage of Measure I.

Although the Committee engaged in many campaign activities, the Committee and Frutos failed to timely file campaign statements and reports to disclose those activities. The following is a chart showing every campaign statement filed by the Committee and Frutos while the Committee remained open:

Type	Reporting	Due Date	Date Filed	Reported Activities
	Period			
Pre-election	1/1/2016-	10/27/2016	3/29/2017 (153 days late),	\$73,200.00 contributions
	10/22/2016		amended 12/22/2017	\$8,516.36 expenditures
Semi-annual	10/23/2016-	1/31/2017	4/24/2017 (83 days late),	\$8,000.00 contributions
	12/31/2016		amended 12/22/2017	\$29,677.20 expenditures
Semi-annual	1/1/2017-	7/31/2017	7/31/2017, amended	\$0.00 contributions
	6/30/2017		12/22/2017	\$830.00 expenditures
Semi-annual	7/1/2017-	1/31/2018	2/15/2018 (15 days late),	\$0.00 contributions
	12/31/2017		amended 3/1/2019	\$0.00 expenditures
Semi-annual	1/1/2018-	7/31/2018	7/2/2018	\$0.00 contributions
	6/30/2018			\$42,209.79 expenditures

In 2016, the Committee and Frutos had received \$81,200.00 in contributions and made \$38,193.56 in expenditures. In 2017, the Committee and Frutos had received \$0.00 in contributions and \$830.00 in expenditures. In 2018, the Committee and Frutos had received \$0.00 in contributions and \$42,209.79 in expenditures, a donation of its remaining balance to the Paramount Unified School District.

¹⁸ Sections 81004, 84100, and 84104; Regulation 18427.

¹⁹ Section 83116.5.

Since the Committee reported that it qualified within the 90 days prior to the election, all contributions and independent expenditures of \$1,000 or more received and made by the Committee should have been reported on 24-hour reports. The following chart shows the 24-hour contribution reports that the Committee and Frutos failed to timely file:

Date Received	Contributor	Amount	Due Date	Date Filed
9/27/2016	WLC Architects, Inc.	\$20,000.00	9/28/2016	12/22/2017
	McCarthy Building Companies	\$5,000.00		
10/3/2016	FC & Sons Roofing, Inc.	\$4,500.00	10/4/2016	
10/4/2016	Universal Asphalt Co., Inc.	\$1,000.00	10/5/2016	
	Future Design	\$2,000.00		
10/5/2016	Van Diest Bros, Inc.	\$1,000.00	10/6/2016	
	KIS Computer Center	\$7,000.00		
10/5/2016	South Bay Heating & Air	\$5,000.00	10/6/2016	12/22/2017
	Conditioning			
10/6/2016	Paramount Petroleum Corporation	\$5,000.00	10/7/2016	
10/7/2016	Vernon Security, Inc.	\$2,500.00	10/10/2016	
10/10/2016	RICOH	\$5,000.00	10/11/2016	
10/12/2016	Current Electric	\$2,000.00	10/13/2016	
10/13/2016	CYBERTEK	\$10,000.00	10/14/2016	
10/14/2016	Arete Digital Imaging	\$1,500.00	10/17/2016	
10/17/2016	DRO Management Services	\$1,000.00	10/18/2016	
10/27/2016	California Financial Services	\$5,000.00	10/28/2016	
	NIC Partners, Inc.	\$3,000.00		
	Total:	\$80,500.00		

The following chart shows the 24-hour independent expenditure reports that the Committee and Frutos failed to timely file:

Payee	Activity	Reported on	Amount
Printtio	45 banners	Pre-election through 10/22/2016	\$6,960.74
Patti Cummings	Door hangers	Semi-annual through 12/31/2016	\$2,454.00
Premier Printing & Mailing	Mailer	Semi-annual through 12/31/2016	\$3,436.00
Printtio	Lawn signs	Semi-annual through 12/31/2016	\$2,597.47
Printtio	Promotional banners	Semi-annual through 12/31/2016	\$3,874.16
		Total:	\$19,322.37

The Committee and Frutos filed the campaign statements and 24-hour contribution reports prior to receiving contact from the Enforcement Division. The Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk (the "LA Registrar") fined the Committee and Frutos \$830 in 2017 and \$100 in 2018 for failing to timely file campaign statements. The Committee and Frutos terminated the Committee as of

²⁰ Regulation 18361.5, subd. (d).

June 26, 2018 after donating the bank account balance of \$42,109.79 to the Paramount Unified School District.

VIOLATIONS

Count 1: Failure to Timely File Campaign Statements

The Committee and Frutos failed to timely file one pre-election campaign statement for the reporting period covering January 1, 2016 through October 22, 2016 by October 27, 2016 and one semi-annual campaign statement for the reporting period covering October 23, 2016 through December 31, 2016 by January 31, 2017, in violation of Government Code sections 84200, 84200.5, subdivision (a), and 84200.8.

Count 2: Failure to Timely File 24-Hour Reports

The Committee and Frutos failed to timely file 24-hour contribution reports for seventeen late contributions received totaling \$80,500 and five late independent expenditure made totaling \$19,322.37, in violation of Government Code sections 84203 and 84204.

PROPOSED PENALTY

This matter consists of two counts. The maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$5,000 per count. Thus, the maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$10,000.

In determining the appropriate penalty for a particular violation of the Act, the Commission considers the facts of the case, the public harm involved, and the purpose of the Act. Also, the Commission considers factors such as: (a) the seriousness of the violation; (b) the presence or absence of any intention to conceal, deceive, or mislead; (c) whether the violation was deliberate, negligent, or inadvertent; (d) whether the violation was isolated or part of a pattern; (e) whether corrective amendments voluntarily were filed to provide full disclosure; and (f) whether the violator has a prior record of violations.²⁰

These violations resulted in a lack of transparency for the public into the Committee's campaign, as the Committee and Frutos failed to provide any disclosure prior to the election and provided delayed disclosure after. However, there is no evidence of an intention to conceal, deceive, or mislead the public. The violations seem to have been negligent, as the Committee and Frutos, for the most part, timely filed

campaign statements starting with the semi-annual campaign statement for the reporting period ending on June 30, 2017. The Committee and Frutos also filed campaign statements, filed amended campaign statements at the request of the Los Angeles Registrar, and terminated the Committee all prior to receiving contact from Enforcement. Lastly, the Committee and Frutos do not have prior enforcement history.

The Commission also considers penalties in prior cases with comparable violations. Recent cases with a similar violation include the following:

Counts 1 and 2

In the Matter of Apple Valley Professional Firefighters Association Local 4742, James Peratt, and Larry Soper; FPPC No. 16/19935. (The Commission approved a stipulated agreement on August 15, 2019.) Respondents failed to timely file two pre-election campaign statements, and both campaign statements were filed after the election. The first pre-election campaign statement was filed 107 days late and reported \$3,570 in contributions received and \$23,148 in expenditures made by the committee. The second pre-election campaign statement was filed 75 days late and reported \$1,428 in contributions received and \$9,618 in expenditures made by the committee. Respondents also failed to timely file 24-hour reports for two late contributions totaling \$4,000 and six late independent expenditures totaling \$21,843. In total, the Committee reported receiving \$20,334.21 in contributions and making \$32,816.86 in expenditures in 2016. The Commission approved a penalty of \$3,500 for failure to timely file campaign statements and \$2,500 for failure to timely file 24-hour reports

The Committee and Frutos also failed to timely file a pre-election campaign statement prior to the election. The late-filed pre-election and semi-annual campaign statements were filed almost the same number of days late as in *Apple Valley Firefighters*. However, the campaign statements disclosed over \$80,000 of campaign activity that was not timely reported due to the late filing. Additionally, the Committee and Frutos also failed to timely file twenty-two 24-hour reports, which would have accounted for \$80,500 of late contributions and \$19,322.37 of late independent expenditures.

Regardless, the mitigating factors present in this case justify pursuing the same penalty as in *Apple Valley Firefighters* for Count 1 and a slightly higher penalty for Count 2 due to the larger amount of late contributions not timely reported. The Committee and Frutos 1) filed campaign statements prior to

receiving contact from Enforcement; 2) paid \$930 total in late fines to the Los Angeles Registrar; 3) filed amended campaign statements at the request of the Los Angeles Registrar prior to receiving contact from Enforcement; 4) donated the remaining balance of \$42,109.79 to the Paramount Unified School District; 5) terminated the Committee prior to receiving contact from Enforcement; 6) had low level of experience with the Act; and 7) do not have prior enforcement history. As a result, a penalty of \$3,500 is recommended for both Count 1 and Count 2.

CONCLUSION

Complainant, the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission, and Respondents Campaign for Kids – Yes on Measure I and Ruben Frutos hereby agree as follows:

- 1. The Respondents violated the Act as described in the foregoing pages, which are a true and accurate summary of the facts in this matter.
- 2. This stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Fair Political Practices Commission at its next regularly scheduled meeting—or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
- 3. This stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter—for the purpose of reaching a final disposition without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine the liability of the Respondents pursuant to Section 83116.
- 4. The Respondents understand, and hereby knowingly and voluntarily waive, any and all procedural rights set forth in Sections 83115.5, 11503, 11523, and Regulations 18361.1 through 18361.9. This includes, but is not limited to the right to appear personally at any administrative hearing held in this matter, to be represented by an attorney at the Respondents' own expense, to confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying at the hearing, to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, to have an impartial administrative law judge preside over the hearing as a hearing officer, and to have the matter judicially reviewed.
- 5. The Respondents agree to the issuance of the decision and order set forth below. Also, the Respondents agree to the Commission imposing against it an administrative penalty in the amount of \$7,000. One or more credit/debit card payments, cashier's checks, or money orders totaling said amount—to be paid to the General Fund of the State of California—is/are submitted with this stipulation

as full payment of the administrative penalty described above, and same shall be held by the State of California until the Commission issues its decision and order regarding the matter.

- 6. If the Commission declines to approve this stipulation—then this stipulation shall become null and void, and within fifteen business days after the Commission meeting at which the stipulation is rejected, all payments tendered by the Respondents in connection with this stipulation shall be reimbursed to the Respondents. If this stipulation is not approved by the Commission, and if a full evidentiary hearing before the Commission becomes necessary, neither any member of the Commission, nor the Executive Director, shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.
- 7. The parties to this agreement may execute their respective signature pages separately. A copy of any party's executed signature page including a hardcopy of a signature page transmitted via fax or as a PDF email attachment is as effective and binding as the original.

Dated:	
	Galena West, Chief of Enforcement Fair Political Practices Commission
Dated:	
	Ruben Frutos, individually and on behalf of Campaign for Kids – Yes on Measure I

1	The foregoing stipulation of the parties "In the Matter of Campaign for Kids – Yes on Measure I and
2	Ruben Frutos," FPPC No. 17/281, is hereby accepted as the final decision and order of the Fair Political
3	Practices Commission, effective upon execution below by the Chair.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	Dated:
8	Richard C. Miadich, Chair Fair Political Practices Commission
9	Tail Tolltical Tractices Collinission
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	10