| 1 | ANGELA J. BRERETON Chief of Enforcement THERESA GILBERTSON | | |
|----|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | Senior Commission Counsel Fair Political Practices Commission | | |
| 4 | 1102 Q Street, Suite 3000 Sacramento, CA 95811 | | |
| 5 | Email: tgilbertson@fppc.ca.gov | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Complainant | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | STATE OF C | CALIFORNIA | |
| 11 | In the Matter of: | FPPC Case No. 2018-00314 | |
| 12 | AMERICANS FOR SAFE NEIGHBORHOODS AND CANDICE | STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER | |
| 13 | PRESSLEY, | | |
| 14 | Respondents. | | |
| 15 | | • | |
| 16 | INTRODUCTION | | |
| 17 | Americans for Safe Neighborhoods ("Committee") is a state general purpose committee. Candice | | |
| 18 | Pressley ("Pressley") serves as the committee's treasurer and principal officer. The Committee and | | |
| 19 | Pressley violated the Political Reform Act ¹ ("Act") by failing to include any disclosure statements on | | |
| 20 | multiple advertisements and failing to timely file campaign statements. | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | // | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | The Political Reform Act—sometimes simply referred to as the Act—is contained in Government Code sections | | |
| 28 | 81000 through 91014. All statutory references are to this code. The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission are contained in Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations. All regulatory references are to this source. | | |

14

21

19

SUMMARY OF THE LAW

All legal references and discussions of law pertain to the Act's provisions as they existed at the time of the violations.

Need for Liberal Construction and Vigorous Enforcement of the Political Reform Act

When enacting the Political Reform Act, the people of California found and declared that previous laws regulating political practices suffered from inadequate enforcement by state and local authorities.² Thus, it was decreed that the Act "should be liberally construed to accomplish its purposes."

One purpose of the Act is to promote transparency by ensuring that receipts and expenditures in election campaigns are fully and truthfully disclosed so that voters are fully informed and improper practices are inhibited.⁴ Along these lines, the Act includes a comprehensive campaign reporting system.⁵ Another purpose of the Act is to provide adequate enforcement mechanisms so that the Act will be "vigorously enforced."

Advertisement

The Act generally requires that advertisements paid for by and distributed by committees must include disclosure to allow the public to identify the responsible parties. Advertisement is defined to mean any general or public communication that is authorized and paid for by a committee for the purpose of supporting or opposing a candidate or candidates for elective office or a ballot measure of ballot measures. Any advertisements paid for by a general purpose committee must include the words "Paid for by" followed by the name of the committee as it appears on the most recent Statement of Organization filed pursuant to Section 84101.8 An advertisement supporting or opposing a candidate that is paid for by an independent expenditure shall include a statement that it was not authorized by a candidate or committee controlled by a candidate.⁹

² Section 81001, subdivision (h).

³ Section 81003.

⁴ Section 81002, subdivision (a).

⁵ Sections 84200, et seq.

⁶ Section 81002, subdivision (f).

⁷ Section 84501, subdivision (a)(1).

⁸ Section 84502, subdivision (a)(1).

⁹ Section 84506.5.

An advertisement made via a form of electronic media that allows users to engage in discourse and post content, or any other type of social media, shall be required to include the advertisement disclosure statement on the committee's profile, landing page, or similar location and shall not be required to include the disclaimer required on each individual post, comment, or other similar communication.¹⁰

An advertisement that is disseminated as a video, including advertisements on television and videos disseminated over the Internet, shall include the appropriate disclosure statement at the beginning or end of the advertisement.¹¹ The disclosure must be written and displayed for at least five seconds of a broadcast of 30 seconds or less or for at least 10 seconds of a broadcast that lasts longer than 30 seconds.

Campaign Statements

A state general purpose committee shall file a pre-election campaign statement if it makes contributions of independent expenditures totaling \$500 or more in connection with the statewide primary or general election during the period covered by the pre-election statements.¹² For the period ending 45 days before the election, the first pre-election statement shall be filed no later than 40 days before the election. For the period ending 17 days before the election, the statement shall be filed no later than 12 days before the election.¹³ For the June 5, 2018 Primary Election, the first pre-election reporting period was January 1, 2018 through April 21, 2018 and was due by April 26, 2018. The second pre-election reporting period was April 22, 2018 through May 19, 2018 and was due by May 24, 2018. The period covered by a campaign statement required to be filed means the period beginning the day after the closing date of the most recent campaign statement which was required to be filed and ending with the closing date of the statement in question.¹⁴

Joint and Several Liability

Any person who has a filing or reporting obligation under the Act may be found liable for violating any provision of the Act, or who purposely or negligently cause any person to violate any provision of the

¹⁰ Section 84504.3, subdivision (f).

¹¹ Section 84504.

¹² Section 84200.5, subdivision (c).

¹³ Section 84200.8.

¹⁴ Section 82046.

Act, or who aids and abets any other person in the violation of any provision of the Act. 15 If two or more persons are responsible for any violation, they shall be held jointly and severally liable. 16

Every committee must have a treasurer. ¹⁷ Committees must also identify a principal officer. ¹⁸ This individual is primarily responsible for approving the political activities of the committee, including, but not limited to, authorizing the content of communications, authorizing expenditures, including contributions, on behalf of the committee, and determining the committee's campaign strategy. 19 It is the duty of the treasurer and the principal officer to ensure that the committee complies with all the requirements of the Act.²⁰ For campaign reporting/filing obligations, the treasurer and the principal officer are liable, along with the committee, for violations of the Act.²¹ For advertising violations, the committee placing the advertisements—and all persons acting in concert with the committee—are liable.²²

SUMMARY OF THE FACTS

The Committee formed as a state general purpose committee by filing a Statement of Organization with the Secretary of State on July 17, 2017. During the June 5, 2018 Primary Election, the Committee created a Facebook page called, "Soft on Crime Sacramento." The landing page for this Facebook page did not include any reference to the name of the authorizing committee. Only by clicking on the "About" page would a viewer find the statement, "The content on this website is authorized and paid for by Americans for Safe Neighborhoods (ASNPAC) FEC Identification Number: C00648543." Between April 17 and May 3, the Committee posted 23 videos opposing candidates that appeared on the ballot. The videos did not include the required disclosure statement, such as "Paid for by Americans for Safe Neighborhoods. This advertisement was not authorized by a candidate or a committee controlled by a candidate."

21

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

¹⁶ Sections 83116.5 and 91006.

¹⁵ Section 83116.5.

¹⁷ Section 84100.

¹⁹ Section 82047.6.

¹⁸ Section 84102, subdivision (c).

²⁰ Sections 81004, 84100, 84104, and 84213, and Regulation 18427.

²¹ Section 83116.5 and Regulation 18316.6.

²² Section 82047 ["person" includes any group of persons acting in concert] and 84510, subdivision (a) [imposing liability on any "person" who violations an advertising provision of the Act.] See also, Section 84505 [which applies not just to the committee placing the advertisement, but also to any "persons acting in concert with that committee."]

//

After receiving a copy of the sworn complaint, the Committee updated the Facebook page to include a disclosure statement. The Committee took down the original video posts and re-posted the 23 videos with a conforming disclosure statement. This was completed by May 14, 2018.

For the reporting period of January 1, 2018 through June 30, 2018, the Committee reported costs, paid and accrued, to Facebook totaling \$2,262.07. During the same reporting period, the Committee reported contributions totaling \$3,500 and reported expenditures totaling \$3,651.

As the Committee spent more than \$500 to oppose candidates on the ballot by the end of the second pre-election reporting period, the Committee was required to file a campaign statement for the reporting period of January 1, 2018 through May 19, 2018 by the deadline of May 24, 2018. A statement for the reporting period of May 20, 2018 through June 30, 2018 was due by July 31, 2018. Instead, the Committee filed one campaign statement for the period of January 1, 2018 through June 30, 2018 on September 25, 2018, which was 124 days after the pre-election campaign statement deadline, and 56 days after the semi-annual campaign statement deadline.

VIOLATIONS

Count 1

Failure to Include Correct Disclosure Statements on Advertisements

The Committee and Pressley failed to include proper disclosure statements on advertisements, in violation of Government Code Sections 84502, 84504, and 84506.5.

Count 2

Failure to Timely File Campaign Statements

The Committee and Pressley failed to timely file a pre-election campaign statement for the reporting period of January 1, 2018 through May 19, 2018 by the deadline of May 24, 2018 and failed to timely file a semiannual campaign statement for the reporting period of May 20, 2018 through June 30, 2018 by the deadline of July 31, 2018, in violation of Government Code Sections 84200.5 and 84200.

PROPOSED PENALTY

²³ See Section 83116, subdivision (c.)

This matter consists of two counts. The maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$5,000 per count.²³ Therefore, the maximum penalty in this matter is \$10,000.

In determining the appropriate penalty for a particular violation of the Act, the Enforcement Division considers the typical treatment of a violation in the overall statutory scheme of the Act, with an emphasis on serving the purposes and intent of the Act. Additionally, the Enforcement Division considers the facts and circumstances of the violation in the context of the following factors set forth in Regulation 18361.5 subdivision (e)(1) through (8): (1) The extent and gravity of the public harm caused by the specific violation; (2) The level of experience of the violator with the requirements of the Political Reform Act; (3) Penalties previously imposed by the Commission in comparable cases; (4) The presence or absence of any intention to conceal, deceive or mislead; (5) Whether the violation was deliberate, negligent or inadvertent; (6) Whether the violator demonstrated good faith by consulting the Commission staff or any other governmental agency in a manner not constituting complete defense under Government Code Section 83114(b); (7) Whether the violation was isolated or part of a pattern and whether the violator has a prior record of violations of the Political Reform Act or similar laws; and (8) Whether the violator, upon learning of a reporting violation, voluntarily filed amendments to provide full disclosure.

This matter does not qualify for the streamline penalty program. While advertisement violations are part of the streamline program, a Committee is ineligible where the advertisement contains more than two of the following types of errors: (1) "ad paid for by" or "paid for by" requirement, (2) top contributor information (top contributor must be substantially correct), (3) the statement that the advertisement was not authorized by a candidate or committee controlled by a candidate, or (4) the committee name requirement.²⁴ In this case, the Committee's advertisements had more than two of these errors by missing the "paid for by" language, the committee name requirement, and by failing to include the independent expenditure disclaimer. In aggravation, the Committee did not disclose the contributors or expenditures until well after the June primary. It should be noted that the campaign late-filing violations would otherwise qualify for the streamline program.

²⁴ See Regulation 18360.3, subdivision (d)(7)(B)(i)(c).

With respect to the first factor, the public harm is in the failure to include disclosure on the video advertisements and on the Facebook landing page. In mitigation, the committee name could be found on the Facebook page's "About" page. In further mitigation, the Committee was responsive to the complaint and made changes. The disclosures were updated within a month of the first videos being posted.

With respect to the second factor, this Committee was formed in 2017. According to a statement made by Pressley, the Committee was relying on older disclosure rules and had not realized that the advertisement rules had changed, effective January 1, 2018. This statement implies that the group or persons responsible had some familiarity with the Act but failed to keep current with the rules.

With respect to the third factor, the following cases were considered as comparable cases:

In the Matter of Kurt DeMeire, FPPC No. 18/1285 (The Commission approved a stipulation in this matter on August 20, 2020.) DeMeire qualified as an independent expenditure committee when producing several advertisements that were identical in content in support of and opposed to candidates and ballot measures during the November 6, 2018 General Election. Several advertisements failed to say that they were paid for by DeMeire. Instead, some stated, "Paid for by a Seal Beach citizen for NO on BB," or "Paid by Seal Beach Taxpayers." Two advertisements correctly disclosed that DeMeire paid for the ads. None of the advertisements included the independent expenditure disclaimer statement. In addition to the missing advertisement disclosures, DeMeire failed to timely file a campaign statement. For violating the Act's advertisement disclosure rules, the Commission imposed a penalty of \$2,000. For failing to timely file a campaign statement, the Commission imposed a penalty of \$1,000.

In this matter, a slightly higher penalty than the comparable case is recommended for Count 1. Here, the number of advertisements with incorrect disclosures was higher than in the DeMeire case and the Committee here had some experience with the Act. However, the Committee corrected the disclosures shortly after contact with the Enforcement Division, thereby mitigating the public harm. A similar penalty to the DeMeire case is recommended for Count 2.

With respect to the fourth factor, the Enforcement Division did not find evidence to support a finding that there was intent to conceal, deceive, or mislead. The Committee had disclosure on the Facebook page, but in the wrong place. The Committee made corrections to the disclosures upon contact.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

negligent. The Respondents demonstrated a willingness to make corrections and did so upon receiving the complaint. The Respondents appeared to have some familiarity with the Act, contending that they were not aware that the advertisement disclosure rules had changed recently, with the new rules having gone into effect on January 1, 2018. With respect to the sixth factor, there is no relevant information available for this factor.

With respect to the fifth factor, the Enforcement Division did not find evidence to support a finding

With respect to the seventh factor, the violations appear to be isolated and limited to a single election. Neither the Committee nor Pressley have prior enforcement history.

With respect to the eighth factor, this factor is not applicable here.

After considering the factors listed in Regulation 18361.5 and penalties in prior similar cases, a penalty of \$2,500 is recommended for Count 1 and a penalty of \$1,000 is recommended for Count 2, for a total penalty of \$3,500.

CONCLUSION

Complainant, the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission, and Americans for Safe Neighborhoods and Candice Pressley, hereby agree as follows:

- Respondents violated the Act as described in the foregoing pages, which are a true and accurate summary of the facts in this matter.
- 2. This stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Fair Political Practices Commission at its next regularly scheduled meeting—or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
- 3. This stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter—for the purpose of reaching a final disposition without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine the liability of Respondents pursuant to Section 83116.
- Respondents understand, and hereby knowingly and voluntarily waive, any and all 4. procedural rights set forth in Sections 83115.5, 11503, 11523, and Regulations 18361.1 through 18361.9. This includes, but is not limited to, the right to appear personally at any administrative hearing held in this matter, to be represented by an attorney at Respondents' own expense, to confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying at the hearing, to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, to have an impartial

administrative law judge preside over the hearing as a hearing officer, and to have the matter judicially reviewed.

- 5. Respondents agree to the issuance of the decision and order set forth below. Also, Respondents agree to the Commission imposing against them an administrative penalty in the amount of \$3,500. One or more payments totaling said amount—to be paid to the General Fund of the State of California—is/are submitted with this stipulation as full payment of the administrative penalty described above, and same shall be held by the State of California until the Commission issues its decision and order regarding this matter.
- 6. If the Commission declines to approve this stipulation—then this stipulation shall become null and void, and within fifteen business days after the Commission meeting at which the stipulation is rejected, all payments tendered by Respondents in connection with this stipulation shall be reimbursed to Respondents. If this stipulation is not approved by the Commission, and if a full evidentiary hearing before the Commission becomes necessary, neither any member of the Commission, nor the Executive Director, shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.
- 7. The parties to this agreement may execute their respective signature pages separately. A copy of any party's executed signature page, including a hardcopy of a signature page transmitted via fax or as a PDF email attachment, is as effective and binding as the original.

| Angela J. Brereton, Chief of Enforcement |
|--|
| Fair Political Practices Commission |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Candice Pressley, individually and on behalf of Americans for Safe Neighborhoods |
| |

| 1 | The foregoing stipulation of the parties "Americans for Safe Neighborhoods and Candice | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | Pressley," FPPC Case No. 2018-00314 is hereby accepted as the final decision and order of the Fai | |
| 3 | Political Practices Commission, effective upon execution below by the Chair. | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Dated: | |
| 8 | Richard C. Miadich, Chair Fair Political Practices Commission | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |