

State of California

## Memorandum

To : Commissioners Gupta, Houston,  
McAndrews, Metzger and Wade

Date : May 21, 1981

From : **FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION**  
Robert E. Leidigh

Subject: Review of Commission Regulations

Recent amendments to the Administrative Procedure Act require each agency to review its regulations during 1981. At the May meeting, the first group of regulations was presented to you for your review. At the June meeting, the second installment, concerning lobbyists, is presented to you.

As with the last month's review, the staff's procedures were to review our advice letter file and interview staff members to determine what regulations had been a source of confusion or difficulty in recent years. We also examined recent changes in the Act and court decisions to determine whether they necessitated any changes in our regulations. We tried to avoid the temptation to make merely stylistic changes; however, errors have been corrected.

Once these steps were completed, we translated our findings into proposed changes in the Commission's regulations. These changes generally may be broken down into two categories: Substantive -- changes involving the discretionary policy of the Commission to interpret the Act; and technical -- changes made necessary by recent amendments to the Act and court decisions, to correct minor inconsistencies among regulations, or to correct grammatical or punctuation errors.

In addition to the notice required by the Administrative Procedure Act, we noticed the proposed changes in the Lobbyist Bulletin, dated May 13, 1981.

Following is a summary of all the regulations reviewed, indicating whether the proposed changes to each regulation are essentially substantive or technical. The accompanying Statement of Reasons will explain the proposed changes in more detail.

18239

A-81-05-112

Commissioners Gupta, Houston,  
McAndrews, Metzger and Wade  
May 21, 1981  
Page Two

<u>Substantive Change</u>	<u>Technical Change</u>	<u>No Change</u>
18616	18239	18202
18623	18600	18615
	18601	18618
	18619	18620
	18650	18622
		18630

To date, I have had several calls and one letter regarding the proposed amendment to 2 Cal. Adm. Code Section 18616, which would require lobbyists to indicate, on their reports, the 5 or 10 bills on which they have spent the most time during each reporting period. Thus far, all the comments and questions have come exclusively from "association" lobbyists, as opposed to "contract" lobbyists. An "association" lobbyist is one employed full-time as a lobbyist by one employer. "Contract lobbyists" represent numerous employers. Specifically, calls have been received from the following:

Jim Evans, United Transportation Union;  
Peter Schilla, Western Center on Law & Poverty;  
Don Reisner, California Railroad Association;  
Jim Eller, California Farm Bureau Federation;  
Jack Schreder, San Diego City School District;  
Dick Kelley, California Forest Protective Association;  
Emery Dowell, Blue Cross Plans of California (Letter);  
Bill Thomas, California Food Producers, Inc.

Only 3 lobbyists have made specific comments, another has written a general letter. The comments all have expressed concern, reservation, or displeasure with the new requirement. One lobbyist stated that he currently keeps no time records, so the requirement would be burdensome to him. He now reports his full salary, and does not have to keep track of how much time he spends on each bill, since he is full-time lobbyist for only one association. I asked him his opinion of the possible alternative of using the standard of "most important" as opposed to "most time." He felt this was too subjective and also was concerned that his employers might be upset if his determination of "most important" did not coincide with theirs.

Two other lobbyists suggested as an alternative to our proposal that a de minimus test be devised to eliminate over-reporting of minor bills on which little or nothing is done. For example eliminate reporting when a lobbyist only contacts the author's office,

Commissioners, Gupta, Houston,  
McAndrews, Metzger and Wade  
May 21, 1981  
Page Three

the author, or the committee consultant to find out what a bill really does, and then takes no further action. Now lobbyists report this, but if we instituted a de minimus test, they wouldn't have to and would only report their major bills. The problem with this suggestion is developing a workable de minimus standard. One phone call from some lobbyists may be sufficient to kill a bill, for others, much greater effort is involved. Furthermore, there now exists a form of de minimus test since the Commission only requires that lobbyists identify "specific legislation ... he or she has actively influenced or attempted to influence during the reporting period." FPPC Lobbyist Manual, page 14. Enclosed in your packet is a copy of the only letter received to date, from Mr. Dowell, which underscores the problem of over-reporting. When he reports 109 bills, the public won't know what bills he is actively or extensively working.

Another lobbyist raised the following concern with the "most time spent" standard. He may spend 3 solid days sitting in Ways & Means Committee waiting for 20 bills that he is following to be heard. How does he attribute that time among these 20 bills? What if he doesn't have to testify on 4 of the bills, but does on the other 16?

REL:plh  
Enclosures

# PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 CCP)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

S.S.

County of Sacramento

I am the principal clerk of THE DAILY RECORDER, a newspaper of general circulation published in the City of Sacramento, County of Sacramento, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Sacramento, State of California, under date of May 2, 1913, Case Number 16,180 that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than non-pareil) has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

4/17

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

  
Signature

Date Apr. 17, 1981

# THE DAILY RECORDER

"Serving Sacramento Since 1911"

1115 "H" Street, P.O. Box 1048  
Sacramento, CA 95805  
(916) 444-2355

## NOTICE OF PROPOSED CHANGES IN THE REGULATIONS OF THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

This sp  
P  
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Fair Political Practices Commission, pursuant to the authority vested in it by Section 83112 of the Government Code, to adopt, amend and repeal rules and regulations to carry out the purposes of the Political Reform Act of 1974, proposes to adopt, amend or repeal its regulations in Title 2, Division 6, Chapters 2 (Sections 18200 and 18219), and 6 (Sections 18600-18650), of the Administrative Code pursuant to Government Code Sections 11349.1 and 11349.6.

The purpose of this review is, as stated by the Legislature, "...to reduce the number of administrative regulations and to improve the quality of those regulations which are adopted." The review process requires that all existing regulations be analyzed and assessed against five specific standards. Regulations which do not meet one or more of the standards must be either repealed or amended to bring them into conformity with the standards. The standards are:

1. "Necessity." Is the regulation reasonably necessary?
  2. "Authority." Is the regulation based on a provision of law which permits or obligates the Department to adopt, amend or repeal the regulation?
  3. "Clarity." Is the regulation written or displayed so that it is easily understood by those persons directly affected by the regulation?
  4. "Consistency." Is the regulation in harmony with, and not in conflict or contradictory to, existing law?
  5. "Reference." Does the regulation implement, interpret, or make specific a statute, court decision or other provisions of law?
- In addition, the Fair Political Practices Commission proposes to adopt, amend, or repeal the regulations contained in these Chapters and Sections as follows:

### INFORMATIVE DIGEST

Amend Section 18229 - To correct a typographical error which mislabeled the term "administrative" in subdivision (e)(2)(B)1, rather than in subdivision (e)(2)(A)1, where it belongs. (Government Code Sections 82002, 82004, 82032, 82039)

Amend Section 18600 - To correct a typographical error and to correct a term so as to be consistent with statutory definition. (Government Code Sections 82021, 86100-86111, 86200-86205)

Amend Section 18601 - To delete reference to Section 86202, which was invalidated by the California Supreme Court: FPPC v. Superior Court, 25 Cal. 3d 33 (1979). (Government Code Sections 86100-86103, 86202)

Amend Section 18616 - To require lobbyists to designate, by use of an asterisk, those bills on which they have spent the most time in each reporting period. (Government Code Sections 86105, 86107)

Amend Section 18619 - To delete reference to Section 86202, which was invalidated by the California Supreme Court, FPPC v. Superior Court, 25 Cal. 3d 33 (1979). (Government Code Sections 86109, 86202)

Amend Section 18623 - To delete "exchanges" from subdivision (b) and make conforming changes and to clarify to whom certain other provisions apply. (Government Code Sections 86107, 86108, 86109)

Repeal Section 18650 - Reportable Exchanges.

NOTICE IS ALSO GIVEN that any interested person may present statements or arguments orally or in writing relevant to the proposed action at a hearing to be held at the following date and location:

10:00 a.m. - June 1, 2 and 3, 1981  
State Personnel Board  
801 Capitol Mall, Room 150  
Sacramento, California 95814

or  
10:00 a.m. - June 1, 2 and 3, 1981  
State Building  
107 S. Broadway  
Los Angeles, California 90012

If you desire to know the location of this hearing, please contact the person listed for information below, one week prior to the hearing date.

The Fair Political Practices Commission, upon its own motion or at the instance of any interested person, may thereafter adopt the above proposals without further notice.

The Fair Political Practices Commission has prepared a Proposed Statement of Reasons pursuant to Government Code Sections 11346.6 and 11346.7 containing the specific purpose of the proposed regulation or amendment and the information relied upon in proposing the regulation or amendment.

A copy of the regulation proposed to be repealed, adopted or amended and the Proposed Statement of Reasons may be obtained from the Commission offices, 1100 K Street, Sacramento, California 95814, telephone (916) 322-5442.

It is anticipated that the above proposals will have no cost to local, state or federal government pursuant to Section 2231 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

For further information concerning these proposed changes contact Robert E. Leidigh, Counsel, Legal Division, (916) 322-5901.  
DATED: April 16, 1981

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION  
TOM K. HOUSTON, Chairman

# Memorandum

To : Office of Administrative Law

Date : July 24, 1981

From : **FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION**  
Robert E. Leidigh

Subject: Statement of Review Completion

The Fair Political Practices Commission has completed its review pursuant to Government Code Sections 11349, et seq. of Chapters 2 and 6 of Title 2 of the California Administrative Code the following regulations were included in this review:

<u>Regulation</u>	<u>Disposition</u>
18202	No Change
18239	Amended
18600	No Change
18601	Amended
18615	No Change
18616	No Change
18618	No Change
18619	Amended
18620	No Change
18622	No Change
18623	Amended
18630	No Change
18650	Repealed

Pursuant to Government Code Section 11347.3, the following are attached to this memo:

- A. Notices of Proposed Review of Regulations.
- B. Original Statements of Reasons and proposals for changes in the regulations.
- C. Written comments submitted on the regulations. (The written comment consists of several letters; some public testimony was given on Regulation 2 Cal. Adm. Code Section 18616 only.)

Office of Administrative Law  
July 24, 1981  
Page Two

- D. Minutes of the public hearings held by the Commission on the regulations.
- E. Final Statements of Reasons and the regulations as finally acted upon by the Commission.
- F. Tapes of the Commission's public hearings on the regulations.

Note: This packet includes amended and repealed regulations which were a part of the review process. For your convenience, the regulations and final Statements of Reasons are organized in the same order and by the same categories as they are listed on the Face Sheet, next attached. Also, those regulations, for which there was no change made in the review process, still retain the authority and reference lines at the top. Your editor will change these to the new appropriate location.

REL:plh  
Enclosures

"Unapproved and Subject to Correction"

MINUTES OF MEETING

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

June 1, 1981  
Sacramento, California

1. The meeting of the Fair Political Practices Commission convened at 10:02 a.m. on June 1, 1981, in Room 150 of the State Personnel Board, 801 Capitol Mall, Sacramento, California. The chairman called the meeting to order. All members of the Commission were present.
2. A motion was made by Ms. Gupta and seconded by Mr. Metzger to dispense with a reading of the minutes of the May 4, 1981, meeting and approve the minutes as distributed. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried.
3. The Commission considered the proposed amendment to 2 Cal. Adm. Code Section 18616 - to require lobbyists to designate by use of an asterisk, those bills on which they have spent the most time in each reporting period. Robert Leidigh made the staff presentation. Public testimony was heard from: Robert Monagan, California Manufacturers Association, Allan E. Tebbetts, attorney for IGA; Suzanne Hutchinson, California Chamber of Commerce; and, Don Collin, a registered lobbyist. A motion was made by Mr. Wade and seconded by Ms. McAndrews to reject this proposed amendment. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried. The staff was then instructed to research past lobbyist reports to ascertain how many bills are reported by the "average" lobbyist.
4. The Commission considered the following regulations:
  - a. 2 Cal. Adm. Code Section 18239 - amendment to correct a typographical error which misplaced the term "or administrative." Robert Leidigh made the staff presentation. No public testimony was presented. A motion was made by Mr. Houston and seconded by Mr. Metzger to approve the amendment. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried.
  - b. 2 Cal. Adm. Code Section 18600 - amendment to correct a typographical error and to correct a term so as to be consistent with statutory definition. Robert Leidigh made the staff presentation. No public testimony was

MINUTES OF MEETING

Page Two

- presented. A motion was made by Ms. McAndrews and seconded by Mr. Houston that the amendment not be approved. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried.
- c. 2 Cal. Adm. Code Section 18601 - to delete reference to Section 86202. Robert Leidigh made the staff presentation. No public testimony was presented. A motion was made by Mr. Houston and seconded by Mr. Metzger to approve the amendment. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried.
- d. 2 Cal. Adm. Code Section 18619 - to delete reference to Section 86202. Robert Leidigh made the staff presentation. No public testimony was presented. A motion was made by Mr. Houston and seconded by Mr. Wade to approve the amendment. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried.
- e. 2 Cal. Adm. Code Section 18623 - to delete "exchanges" from subdivision (b), make conforming changes to the regulation and to clarify to whom certain other provisions apply. Robert Leidigh made the staff presentation. No public testimony was offered. A motion was made by Houston and seconded by Ms. Gupta to approve the amendment. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried.
- f. 2 Cal. Adm. Code Section 18650 - repeal the regulation. Robert Leidigh made the staff presentation. No public testimony was presented. A motion was made by Mr. Houston and seconded by Ms. McAndrews that the regulation be repealed. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried.
- g. A motion was made by Mr. Houston and seconded by Mr. Metzger to approve the following regulations with no change:  
2 Cal. Adm. Code Sections 18202, 18615, 18618, 18620, 18622 and 18630. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried.
5. The Commission considered a memorandum from Robert Stern regarding possible statutory limitation on lobbyist contributions. Barbara Milman made the staff presentation. No public testimony was presented. The Commission instructed the staff to insure wider distribution of the proposal so that the Commission could receive more public input. Further discussion of the proposed legislative amendment was put off.
6. The Commission discussed a memorandum from Barbara Milman regarding advising lobbyists that "gifts" made to elected officials in the form of picking up dinner tabs, etc. could not be treated or reported as contributions. Barbara Milman made the staff presentation. No public testimony was offered.

A motion was made by Mr. Houston and seconded by Mr. Wade that a short article be published in the Lobbyist Bulletin providing such advice. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried.

7. The Commission adjourned for lunch at 11:50 a.m. to reconvene in Executive Session at 1:15 p.m., the public session to reconvene at 1:45 p.m.
8. The Commission considered 2 Cal. Adm. Code Section 18430. Barbara Milman made the staff presentation. Public testimony was heard from John Hodgson, Dobbs & Nielsen. A motion was made by Mr. Houston and seconded by Ms. Gupta to set up a task force of outside experts to assist the staff in formulating a new draft regulation and accompanying draft reporting forms. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried.
9. The Commission considered the following regulations:
  - a. 2 Cal. Adm. Code Section 18306 - compensation and reimbursement for members of the FPPC. Barbara Milman made the staff presentation. No public testimony was offered. A motion was made by Ms. McAndrews and seconded by Mr. Metzger to amend subsection (a)(2) redefining "necessary travel." AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger and Wade. ABSTAIN: Houston. The motion was carried. A motion was made by Mr. Houston and seconded by Ms. Gupta to amend subsection (b)(2) deleting "while traveling" for reimbursement of telephone expenses and to adopt the regulation as so amended. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried.
  - b. 2 Cal. Adm. Code Section 18322 - procedures for hearings on opinion requests. Barbara Milman made the staff presentation. No public testimony was offered. A motion was made by Mr. Houston and seconded by Ms. McAndrews to remove the 5 day time limits for submitting written materials to the Commission in subsections (b) and (d). AYES: McAndrews and Houston. NOES: Gupta, Metzger and Wade. The motion was defeated. A motion was made by Ms. Gupta and seconded by Mr. Houston to delete the last sentence in subsection (d) and to add subsection (e) stating that all time limits imposed by the regulation might be waived by the Commission, and to adopt the regulation as amended. AYES: Gupta, Metzger, Wade and Houston. NOES: McAndrews. The motion was carried.

MINUTES OF MEETING

Page Four

- c. A motion was made by Ms. McAndrews and seconded by Ms. Gupta to approve all housekeeping regulations as presented by staff and to amend 2 Cal. Adm. Code Section 18351 to add the position of Special Assistant to the Commission to the list of "designated positions" and disclosure categories of the FPPC's Conflict of Interest Code. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried.
10. A motion was made by Ms. Gupta and seconded by Ms. McAndrews to approve the Conflict of Interest Codes and amendments to Conflict of Interest Codes as presented by the staff. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried. The following were approved:

Conflict of Interest Codes

Office of Administrative Law  
Metropolitan Transportation Commission  
North Kern Vocational Training Center  
Central Coast Cities Self-Insurance Fund

Amendments to Conflict of Interest Codes

Serra Cooperative Library System  
Department of Motor Vehicles

11. The Commission considered a memorandum from Jay Greenwood regarding a proposed study of campaign contributions received by legislative candidates. A motion was made by Mr. Houston and seconded by Mr. Metzger to approve the proposed study. AYES: Gupta, Metzger and Houston. NOES: McAndrews and Wade. The motion was carried.
12. A motion was made by Ms. Gupta and seconded by Mr. Houston to adjourn the public session and meet in executive session. AYES: Gupta, McAndrews, Metzger, Wade and Houston. The motion was carried.
13. The meeting was adjourned at 4:33 p.m.

# State of California



## Fair Political Practices Commission

P.O. BOX 807 • SACRAMENTO, 95804 • • • 1100 K STREET BUILDING, SACRAMENTO, 95814

Technical Assistance • • Administration • • • Executive/Legal • • Enforcement • • Statements of Economic Interest  
(916) 322-5662 322-5660 322-5901 322-6441 322-6444

LOBBYIST BULLETIN

Vol. 5, No. 1  
May 13, 1981

### FPPC TO REVIEW AND AMEND LOBBYIST REGULATIONS

The FPPC, along with all other state agencies, is undergoing a complete review of its regulations (Cal. Adm. Code, Title 2, Division 6). Those dealing with lobbying will be considered by the Commission at its June 1st meeting. All lobbyist regulations are proposed to be continued without change except those which follow. Most of these changes are non-substantive and merely reflect changes in the law or corrections of typographical errors. The proposed change most likely to be of interest to lobbyists is found in Section 18616. Written and oral comments are welcomed. For further information concerning these proposed changes, contact Robert E. Leidigh, Counsel, FPPC Legal Division, (916)322-5901.

Amend Section 18239 - To correct a typographical error which misplaced the term "or administrative" in subdivision (e)(2)(B)1. rather than in subdivision (e)(2)(A)1. where it belongs. (Government Code Sections 82002, 82004, 82032, 82039)

Amend Section 18600 - To correct a term so as to be consistent with statutory definition. (Government Code Sections 82021, 86100-86111, 86200-86205)

Amend Section 18601 - To delete reference to Section 86202, which was invalidated by the California Supreme Court, FPPC v. Superior Court, 25 Cal. 3d 33 (1979). (Government Code Sections 86100-86103, 86202)

Amend Section 18616 - To require lobbyists to designate, by use of an asterisk, those bills on which they have spent the most time in each reporting period. (Government Code Sections 86105, 86107)

(over)

**FACE SHEET  
FOR FILING STATEMENT OF REVIEW COMPLETION  
WITH THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW**

<p><b>DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE</b></p>	<p>Copy below is hereby certified to be a true and correct statement by:</p> <p><u>FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION</u> (Agency)</p> <p><u>10410</u> (Billing Code)</p> <p>By: <u><i>Tom K. Johnston</i></u> Chairman (Title)</p>	<p><b>DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE</b></p>
--	--	--

<p>CONTACT PERSON</p> <p><u>Robert E. Leidigh</u></p>	<p>TELEPHONE NUMBER</p> <p><u>(916) 322-5901</u></p>
---	--

Review of rules and regulations contained in Title(s) 2 Chapter(s) 2, 6  
 Division(s) 6 Article(s) \_\_\_\_\_ or Section(s) \_\_\_\_\_ of the California Administrative  
 Code was completed on June 1, 1981  
 (Date)

Pursuant to Government Code § 11346 Notice of Proposed Regulatory Action must be submitted to OAL for any regulations adopted, amended or repealed resulting from the review (see procedures outlined in OAL letter of July 25, 1980).

I. Cite all regulations to be repealed.  
 2 Cal. Adm. Code Section 18650

II. Cite all regulations to be amended.  
 2 Cal. Adm. Code Sections 18239  
 18601  
 18619  
 18623

III. Cite all regulations retained. Attach a Statement of Findings summarizing why the regulations are retained. Provide reasons and related information for retaining. State reasons for rejecting public comments recommending repeal of regulations. Provide specific references where none now exist.

2 Cal. Adm. Code Sections	18202	18618
	18600	18620
	18615	18622
	18616	18630

FACE SHEET  
FOR FILING ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS  
WITH THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW  
AND WITH THE SECRETARY OF STATE  
(Pursuant to Government Code Section 11342.1)

ADOPTED PURSUANT TO THE  
POLITICAL REFORM ACT,  
SUBMITTED FOR PROCEDURAL  
REVIEW AND PUBLICATION

Copy below is hereby certified to be a true and correct copy of regulations adopted, or amended, or an order of repeal by:

Fair Political Practices Commission

(Agency)

10410

(Filing Code)

Date of adoption, amendment, or repeal:

June 1, 1981

By:

Ken K. Norstein  
Chairman, Fair Political Practices Commission

(Title)

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE

The attached regulations which are being adopted, amended or repealed are contained in Title II of the California Administrative Code. Division, Part, Chapter, etc., affected by this order: Division VI

TYPE OF ORDER (Check as applicable)

- Emergency (Attach Finding of Emergency)
- Certificate of Compliance
- Certificate of Non-Compliance
- Regular
- Procedural and Organizational

CONTENTS OF MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS

- One Certified Copy With Original Signature and Six Copies of Order or Certificate of Compliance Attached
- Regulation Summary (Form 150) Attached (1 copy)
- Publication Date (in Notice Legislative) of Notice for Attached Order or Certificate of Compliance is April 18, 1981
- Authority and Reference Citation Placed Beneath Each Section in Attached Order
- Case Statement Attached (Refer to SAC Section 1058)
- Effective Date:
  - On \_\_\_\_\_ as specified by statute \_\_\_\_\_, or
  - On \_\_\_\_\_, if later than 10 days after filing with the Secretary of State (Emergency regulations will be effective upon filing with the Secretary of State; all other regulations will be effective 10 days after filing with the Secretary of State.)
- Rule-Making File -- N/A

BUILDING STANDARDS (Check one)

- These regulations contain no building standards under Health and Safety Code Sections 18900-18915.
- These regulations do contain building standards under Health and Safety Code Sections 18900-18915. The attached copy contains Building Standards approval.

CONTENT OF INSTRUMENT (Check one if attached are Condition of Incomplete Regulations)

The attached Condition of Incomplete Regulations contain the FPCC approval stamp and:

- Are to be published in full in the Administrative Code.
- Are to be codified by appropriate reference in the Administrative Code, and include a statement as to where the full text may be obtained.

CAMPAIGN DISCLOSURE (Check if emergency Campaign Disclosure Regulations)

- These are emergency regulations pertaining to campaign disclosure law and were adopted by unanimous vote of all board or commission members present at the regulation adoption proceeding.